## OHIO RIVER VALLEY WATER SANITATION COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING

DATE: JULY 26, 2018

LOCATION: HOLIDAY INN CINCINNATI AIRPORT

1717 AIRPORT EXCHANGE BOULEVARD

ERLANGER, KENTUCKY 41018

		Page 2
1	ATTENDEES:	
2		
3	TOBY FREVERT	
4	PETER GOODMAN	
5	RONALD POTESTA	
6	THOMAS FITZGERALD	
7	JASON HEATH	
8	RICHARD HARRISON	
9	LISA COCHRAN	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 MR. FREVERT: It's now a little bit after
- 2 6:00, Thursday, July 26, 2018, and I hereby call the
- 3 hearing to order. I wish to welcome you all, and thank
- 4 you for attending. The hearing is being held to receive
- 5 comments on a proposed revision to the Ohio River Valley
- 6 Water Sanitation Commission's pollution control
- 7 standards for discharges to the Ohio River.
- 8 My name is Toby Frevert, and I'm an ORSANCO
- 9 commissioner representing the State of Illinois. I
- 10 currently serve as chairman of the pollution control
- 11 standards committee. With me today, comprising the rest
- of the hearing panel, are two other commissioners that
- 13 hopefully will be joined shortly by a third
- 14 commissioner.
- 15 Peter Goodman, right there, who is commission
- 16 proxy for Lieutenant Governor Jenean Hampton,
- 17 representing Kentucky; Ron Potesta, commissioner from
- 18 West Virginia who is our current commission chairman;
- 19 and Tom Fitzgerald, a federal commissioner, is in
- 20 transit and will join us shortly.
- 21 The hearing was announced in a notice that was
- 22 published and distributed on June 26, 2018, pursuant to
- the commission's bylaws, by the commission's website,
- 24 through 3,266 e-mails to interested parties, through 197
- 25 media outlets, and through 719 Ohio River committees.

- 1 A copy of the notice is in the information
- 2 package, which is available on the table at the outside
- 3 of the hearing room. A copy of that notice will be
- 4 entered into the record.
- 5 As I told the others, if you have a cell
- 6 phone, would you please mute it?
- 7 This hearing has been called under the
- 8 authority of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation
- 9 Commission.
- 10 That document was signed on June 30, 1948, by
- 11 the governors and their appointed representatives of the
- 12 states of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio,
- 13 Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia, following
- 14 enactment of enabling legislation by each of the states
- and approved by the United States Congress.
- 16 Under Article 1 of the compact, each of the
- 17 signatory states promises to take such action as is
- 18 needed to place and maintain the waters of the compact
- 19 district in a safe and sanitary condition available for
- 20 use as public industrial water supplies and after
- 21 reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational use, as
- 22 well as maintaining fish and other aquatic life free
- 23 from unsightly or malodorous nuisance and adaptable for
- 24 such other uses as may be legitimate.
- 25 That was pretty common terminology back in

- 1 1948.
- 2 The hearing has been called under the
- 3 authority of the compact Article 6, which authorizes the
- 4 commission to adopt, prescribe, and promulgate rules,
- 5 regulations, and standards for treatment or modification
- 6 of sewage and industrial waste to such degree as may be
- 7 necessary to meet the river quality objectives specified
- 8 in Article 1 after due notice and public hearing.
- 9 On January 10, 2018, this year, this
- 10 commission proposed notice of its intent to conduct a
- 11 review of its pollution control standards. The
- 12 commission's pollution control standards committee is
- 13 proposing to revise pollution control standards for the
- 14 Ohio River, discharges to the Ohio River. That's the
- 15 current 2015 version.
- The purpose of this hearing is to receive
- 17 comments on those proposed revisions in order to assist
- 18 the commission as it considers what action it will take
- 19 with respect to those proposed revisions.
- 20 Let me emphasize that no final decision has
- 21 been made with respect to the proposal before you
- 22 comment.
- 23 Your comments will be an important element in
- 24 informing the commission and as part of the decision-
- 25 making process the commission will go through.

- 1 That's just some brief opening comments I
- 2 wanted to make, and at this time, I'd like to turn the
- 3 podium over to Richard Harrison, our executive director,
- 4 who's going to give you a little background and
- 5 presentation on the -- in the proposal.
- 6 Richard?
- 7 MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Commissioner
- 8 Frevert.
- 9 Also providing some brief comments is Mr.
- 10 Jason Heath, our director of technical programs. And on
- 11 -- again, on behalf of the commission, I want to welcome
- 12 everybody here tonight for this public hearing.
- So I'll be providing some overview on -- on
- 14 the commission, really some background information on
- 15 the current process, where we are in the process, and
- 16 really how we -- we came to this -- this point through
- 17 this.
- 18 So the commission reviews its pollution
- 19 control standards on a three-year basis. As
- 20 Commissioner Frevert mentioned, that was last concluded
- 21 in October of 2015, so we are -- we are well within the
- 22 process as we speak here tonight.
- 23 And then as part of our process, we conduct
- 24 two public hearings -- or no. I'm sorry, two -- two
- 25 phases of the public review. So we are actually in the

- 1 -- in the second phase. We had an initial public
- 2 comment period that has concluded. That information is
- 3 all available on our website at www.ORSANCO.org, and we
- 4 really have -- have kept all of those records, again, on
- 5 our website.
- And so, the purpose of this review is to
- 7 solicit specific comments and input from the folks here
- 8 tonight on the 2015 provision, or current version.
- 9 (SPEAKER GIVES POWERPOINT PRESENTATION)
- 10 At this point, I'm going to turn it over to Jason
- 11 Heath to talk a little bit more in detail about the --
- 12 the process here tonight, and then really -- really
- 13 closing out the remainder for the process as we really
- 14 go to the end of the comment period, which has been
- 15 extended to August 20th.
- 16 So I will turn it over to Mr. Heath. And
- 17 again, thanks for being here.
- 18 MR. HEATH: Thank you, Richard.
- 19 Of course folks that have indicated they want
- 20 to speak, we have nearly 40 folks that have indicated
- 21 they would like to speak, so I'll go through the hearing
- 22 procedures just very briefly. The remainder of this
- 23 presentation here will be ten minutes or so, and
- 24 following that, we'll get to the main purpose of the
- 25 hearing, is to receive comments from you folks.

- 1 (SPEAKER GIVES POWERPOINT PRESENTATION)
- 2 That concludes my part of the presentation.
- 3 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- We're now going to proceed into the main part
- 5 of the hearing where we're going to receive comments
- 6 from various people. I've got, as of this point, 39
- 7 cards for people that have --
- 8 MR. HEATH: Forty-two.
- 9 MR. FREVERT: Forty-two cards of people
- 10 wanting to speak. In order to keep this orderly, we're
- 11 going to try to ask everybody to restrict your comments
- 12 to a maximum of five minutes. We'll time them, we'll
- 13 give you a four-minute warning, and at five minutes,
- 14 we'll tell you your time is up and ask you to wrap
- 15 things up.
- One other thing: With 42 names here, I
- 17 guarantee you at least one of your names I'm probably
- 18 going to mispronounce. I apologize for that ahead of
- 19 time.
- 20 But knowing that, we're going to move forward
- 21 and let -- and let the citizens tell us what they want
- 22 to tell us at this point. And I'm going to start with
- 23 John Blair.
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I just ask you:
- 25 Did everyone introduce themselves who's from ORSANCO? I

- 1 came a little late, and I might have missed it.
- 2 MR. FREVERT: Yes. I'm Toby Frevert. I'm a
- 3 commissioner from Illinois. That's Peter Goodman. He's
- 4 a commissioner proxy from Kentucky. Ronald Potesta,
- 5 who's a commissioner from West Virginia.
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MR. FREVERT: And Tom Fitzgerald, a federal
- 8 commissioner, I believe is in transit and may join us
- 9 later.
- JOHN BLAIR: Is there anybody from Indiana?
- 11 MR. FREVERT: There are no commissioners here
- 12 from Indiana. I believe some Indiana staff might be
- 13 present in the audience. I -- I'm going to start taking
- 14 comments now.
- John? John Blair, is that you?
- JOHN BLAIR: Yep.
- 17 MR. FREVERT: Welcome, John.
- JOHN BLAIR: Thank you.
- 19 As he indicated -- I've got the Evansville
- 20 crud.
- I'm sorry. Sometimes I have to clear my
- 22 throat because of all of the pollution that I have to
- 23 deal with in southwestern Indiana. It's been a chronic
- 24 condition for about ten years in my life now.
- I run a group called Valley Watch. Our

- 1 purpose is to protect the public health and environment
- 2 of the lower Ohio River Valley. And that, of course, is
- 3 the reason why I'm here this evening.
- 4 You know, I kept hearing the word "revision"
- 5 used by Mr. Heath and -- and others tonight and, you
- 6 know, whenever you're going to wholesale eliminate
- 7 something, it's kind of a platitude, isn't it, to call
- 8 it a revision?
- 9 Well, welcome Tom. I'll wait until you get
- 10 seated.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: I can hear you.
- JOHN BLAIR: I don't think this is a revision.
- 13 I think this is a -- an absolute butcher chop on one of
- 14 the things that I need most, drinking water out of this
- 15 river at mile 791.
- I also saw on one of the slides that the
- 17 mission of ORSANCO is to control interstate water
- 18 pollution.
- 19 How in the hell are we going to control
- 20 interstate water pollution by eliminating the one common
- 21 set of standards that we have amongst the states?
- 22 That's not controlling interstate water pollution.
- 23 That's saying, "Let's do everything in a hodgepodge
- 24 manner and let all the states just pollute all they want
- 25 and we'll figure it out later."

- 1 It's not a revision. It's not controlling
- 2 interstate water pollution protection.
- In the redline version, I really like this,
- 4 you know, and -- and even the -- the one table of
- 5 contents change was -- was pretty amazing. But looking
- 6 through this document, gosh, I'm surprised they had
- 7 enough red ink. You know, almost every line in this
- 8 whole document, mark it out, mark it out, we don't want
- 9 that anymore.
- 10 And we asked several of us, a number of groups
- 11 along the Ohio valley that are interested in water
- 12 quality and -- and other environmental issues, asked
- 13 earlier this month to have hearings elsewhere besides a
- 14 four -- a four-hour drive from the far reaches --
- 15 actually, it's probably a five-hour drive if you live in
- 16 Paducah.
- 17 And, you know, we asked for hearings someplace
- 18 on the lower part of the river. Cincinnati's not the
- 19 lower part of the river. Cincinnati's kind of
- 20 conveniently located in the middle. Why can't we have a
- 21 hearing in Evansville? I mean, the explanation in the
- 22 letter, and I -- I've talked to Mr. Harrison about this
- 23 earlier tonight, you know, it's -- I understand that
- 24 there's a time line that was decided sometime, I guess,
- 25 in late December or -- or whenever it was, in 2017, that

- 1 we had to maintain this guideline, this time line that
- 2 we -- that we have for these standards to be revoked.
- 3 But that doesn't make any sense to me.
- 4 Whenever you have something so important as the water
- 5 quality of five million people drinking it, that it has
- 6 to be so expediently done just for the convenience of a
- 7 bunch of people that get paid for being there. I don't
- 8 -- I don't understand that. I do not understand that,
- 9 and never will.
- 10 Expedience is not the way to set standards,
- 11 and it never will be. Good scientific knowledge is the
- 12 way to -- to set standards, and people should have a
- 13 right --
- MR. FREVERT: Four minutes.
- JOHN BLAIR: -- in Evansville, Indiana, and
- 16 Paducah, Kentucky, and anywhere else up and down this
- 17 river, to have the ability to speak their mind instead
- 18 of having -- having to drive a half a day to get here.
- 19 And last, in the 2006 wet water standards,
- 20 there was a hearing in Evansville -- oh, maybe that's
- 21 why we aren't having a hearing in Evansville this time,
- 22 because there were over 200 people that showed up.
- 23 There was a line from that hearing that was one of the
- 24 best lines I've ever heard in a hearing, and I bet I've
- 25 been to almost 2,000 hearings over the course of my

- 1 career.
- There was a line, a guy said, "You know why
- 3 they turn on the lights at 2:00 a.m. in a bar? So you
- 4 won't lower your standards."
- 5 MR. FREVERT: Thank you, Mr. Blair.
- Next, we have Betsy Bennett.
- 7 While you're coming up, Betsy, I -- I want to
- 8 make another comment to everybody: If those people who
- 9 want to speak have a written copy of their statement, we
- 10 would appreciate you leaving a copy of it with the
- 11 staff. Thank you.
- 12 Betsy?
- BETSY BENNETT: My name is Betsy Bennett, and
- 14 I drove from Louisville tonight. I'm going to submit my
- own written comments later, but I've been asked to read
- 16 comments from River Fields.
- 17 River Fields is a 60-year-old nonprofit
- 18 dedicated to the protection, preservation, and
- 19 enhancement of the natural and cultural resources in the
- 20 Ohio River around Louisville on both sides of the river.
- 21 River Fields is strongly opposed to the
- 22 following: Any lowering or revision of water quality
- 23 standards in the Ohio River, the limited time the public
- 24 has to comment on this proposed action, the reduced
- 25 number of public hearings for such an important public

- 1 health position, and the sense of urgency that has been
- 2 falsely used to fast track this position.
- In an era when more is known daily about the
- 4 deleterious and unhealthy impact of certain chemicals
- 5 present in water quality, this is not the time to lower
- 6 standards. We believe this position does not align with
- 7 the original intent of the charter that established
- 8 ORSANCO and for which public dollars are invested
- 9 annually.
- 10 The executive director of River Fields severed
- 11 for years on the public interest advisory committee and
- 12 dedicated countless hours to upholding and executing the
- 13 highest possible standards. A written statement will be
- 14 submitted from River Fields before August 20th.
- 15 Thank you.
- MR. FREVERT: Thank you, Betsy.
- 17 Next is Catherine Moberly.
- 18 CATHERINE MOBERLY: Thank you. My name's
- 19 Catherine Moberly, and I am from Louisville, Kentucky,
- 20 and I'm a kayaker. And I kayak together in groups of
- 21 two, of 25, or in the waterman series, which is --
- 22 races, kayak races, of large groups of people.
- I kayak all of Kentucky, southern and central
- 24 Indiana, Tennessee, Ohio, feeder waterways of the Ohio
- 25 River, as well as Florida, the east coast states,

- 1 western United States, and the Great Lakes. In other
- 2 words, we travel to kayak, which means we're taking
- 3 tourist dollars with us.
- 4 So when we go kayaking, we spend money to eat,
- 5 we spend money on gas, we spend money on lodging, we go
- 6 shopping. We contribute to the local economy of places
- 7 we visit.
- 8 Many of us -- in Louisville, they started a
- 9 new park system called The Parklands of Floyds Fork.
- 10 And Floyds Fork is a creek in eastern Jefferson County,
- 11 and many of us no longer kayak there because it stinks.
- 12 Smells like sewage. And so, who wants to be
- 13 in that?
- And as a result, I mean, when we kayak, we --
- 15 we generally go out to lunch afterwards, at the very
- least, buy gas, go shopping, do whatever we do, but at
- 17 the very least, we eat. And no longer do we eat in
- 18 Jefferson County, and no longer do we buy our gas in
- 19 Jefferson County, because it's cheaper to buy outside of
- 20 the county and we're outside of the county.
- 21 So my concern is for my enjoyment of nature,
- 22 is that how much joy I get out of being in the cathedral
- 23 of nature. But I would think if you lower the
- 24 standards, then you're destroying local economies, and I
- 25 think that's unfortunate.

- 1 Thank you. And I will e-mail you.
- 2 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- Next is Colleen Kaylin. Thank you all.
- 4 Colleen?
- 5 COLLEEN KAYLIN: Thank you. Can everyone hear
- 6 me?
- 7 My -- my name is Colleen Kaylin from
- 8 Frankfort, Kentucky. I retired in December from the
- 9 Kentucky Department For Public Health as the
- 10 epidemiologist in charge of environmental health impact.
- One of my main focuses recently has been on
- 12 the impact of climate change in extreme weather on
- 13 public health, and climate has many impacts on water
- 14 quality and water security. And one of the things we've
- 15 seen is that virtually every major waterborne disease
- 16 outbreak in recent years has been preceded by an extreme
- 17 precipitation event.
- The forecast for the coming years is for more
- 19 frequent and more extreme weather events of all kind,
- 20 including heavy rainfall. In some parts of Kentucky, in
- 21 the extreme west, there are predictions of drought, but
- 22 I said this is not the time to be lowering our
- 23 monitoring of water quality and water security.
- We need to increase the watchfulness over our
- 25 water quality because we will be seeing more infectious

- 1 disease outbreaks, more situations similar to the lead
- 2 poisoning in Flint, if we do not continue to monitor,
- 3 and consistently monitor, our water quality and our
- 4 water security.
- 5 That was the extent of my comment. Thank you.
- 6 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- Next is Barbara Anderson.
- 8 BARBARA ANDERSON: My name is Barbara
- 9 Anderson, and I'm an advocate for the League of Women
- 10 Voters of Indiana, and the president of the South
- 11 Central Indiana League of Women Voters, which is located
- 12 in Jeffersonville.
- We ask you, the commissioners of the Ohio
- 14 River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, to vote "no"
- on eliminating numeric pollution limits and public input
- 16 from the pollution control standards for the Ohio River.
- 17 Although our organization may be primarily
- 18 known for voter service work, we also study issues. And
- 19 if a consensus is reached, we take action on those
- 20 issues.
- 21 Water quality has been a primary league focus
- 22 since the early 1960s. We commend the work that ORSANCO
- 23 has done throughout its 70-year history. We are,
- 24 however, very concerned about the current pollution
- 25 control standards review.

- 1 Some states do not have standards as high as
- ORSANCO's and, unfortunately, Indiana, the one
- 3 I live in, is in that category. Over 100 standards are
- 4 either weak or missing in Indiana's regulation. Two
- 5 related points: From the perspective of fiscal and
- 6 regulatory efficiency, it makes sense to have consistent
- 7 scientifically determined numeric pollution limits
- 8 established and updated by ORSANCO rather than what it
- 9 would be likely to be more expensive overall and highly
- 10 variable results if it's done state by state.
- 11 Also, ORSANCO can provide a reasonable
- 12 protocol for addressing what any one state would
- 13 consider an out-of-state source of pollution.
- In summary, please retain what would be
- ORSANCO's important role in helping move our treasured
- 16 Ohio River out of the category of being one of the most
- 17 polluted rivers in the U.S. Do not eliminate numeric
- 18 pollution limits and public input from your control
- 19 standards.
- 20 On a more personal note, I grew up on this
- 21 river.
- When I was 7 years old, I could play in Silver
- 23 Creek and see the bottom of it, and it was beautiful.
- 24 And I remember when I was 9, for the first time seeing
- 25 the sludge that backed up from the Ohio River. It's

- 1 been like that since.
- Now, you can go fishing there and pull out any
- 3 kind of a deformed fish that you wish to have. If you
- 4 eat it, you're just foolish. It stinks. It's a river
- 5 that -- you know, it's our history. Lewis and Clark
- 6 founded us on that river. And for people to take this
- 7 and -- I mean, you are eliminating standards that are
- 8 very important to the very fiber. My granddaughters
- 9 will never know the magic of the river that I knew when
- 10 I was a little girl.
- 11 So we beg you, do your jobs. You're appointed
- 12 to protect our river, not to protect current efforts to
- 13 release standards that will make it easier to pollute.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 MR. FREVERT: Next is Gwen Marshall.
- BARBARA ANDERSON: And we've got to head back
- 17 to Louisville because I, too, think you need a meeting
- 18 in Indiana.
- 19 GWEN MARSHALL: Hi. My name is Gwen Marshall.
- I'm from Cincinnati, so I had to figure out
- 21 how to get across the river in rush hour. So you guys,
- 22 at least you were on the right side.
- 23 I'm here representing the Hamilton County
- 24 Green Party. I'm a co-chair. I did turn in, like,
- 25 brief comments before, but I think I just did that as an

- 1 individual. And I don't have a written statement here
- 2 because I don't want to duplicate what people have
- 3 already said so far. I haven't disagreed with anything
- 4 people said from the audience, but I had questions about
- 5 things you folks said.
- 6 I'm reading from your chart. It said,
- 7 "Program areas. Regulatory. Establish Ohio River
- 8 pollution control standards." Yeah, I don't see how
- 9 that's going to work out.
- 10 "Water quality monitoring and assessment."
- 11 Again, I don't see how that's going to work
- 12 out with this document. And I think I could have
- 13 shortened your presentation on what's in there, because
- 14 you scratched everything out, and then you've added
- 15 something that says, "Because all states are mandated,
- 16 the commission has concluded that everything's being
- 17 satisfied by these state programs." And I'm going,
- 18 "Huh?" That's the part that doesn't add up to me.
- 19 And -- oh, and then it says you're going to
- 20 get rid of your every-three-year review, and that's what
- 21 you've added. And what you've left is definitions, and
- 22 what you haven't left is how to do anything about any
- 23 goals that we thought you were here to do in the first
- 24 place.
- So that's why I've been against this change.

- 1 I don't want to have less control.
- Now to a specific issue that I had in
- 3 Cincinnati.
- I work with the Mill Creek. I'm in a group
- 5 called the Mill Creek Yacht Club. Just to be non-
- 6 confusing, there's 32 Mill Creeks in Cincinnati. It was
- 7 a development plan by -- the early guys got rid of the
- 8 name Mackatee Walrich. Sounded so, like, local.
- 9 So anyway, we had a bit of an issue this
- 10 winter.
- 11 It was the flooding that we had on February
- 12 28th, and it brought everything out of the creek, all
- 13 sorts of woody debris, plastics, bottles, tires,
- 14 grappling hooks that belong to the barrier damn. We
- 15 held that in place until the flooding went down in the
- 16 Ohio River. Then we let it go. It stayed stuck
- 17 together for three months.
- I looked for help to get rid of that, to get
- 19 it cleaned out. I've even got a letter that was sent to
- 20 me by the Army Corps registered mail that said, "Sorry."
- 21 and I went to the Ohio River Co-op out of Cincinnati,
- 22 and they said, "No, we don't do that. We just do oil
- 23 and stuff like that."
- So, yes, I checked with everybody. And then
- 25 the Mill Creek finally said, to heck with all of you,

- 1 and it was the night that they were doing that program
- 2 on water, it rained. And the Mill Creek flushed itself
- 3 out, and the last I heard, the big chunks of it were
- 4 down by the I-275 bridge.
- 5 So then you guys go out and you organize your
- 6 cleanups. Well, we had an idea. You could have gotten
- 7 it done a lot easier. So, no, I don't think people are
- 8 currently meeting our standards. I don't think the
- 9 state is -- the states are. I don't think the locals
- 10 are.
- I think everyone's looking for the cheapest
- 12 way to get things done.
- And in Cincinnati, we have a problem with
- 14 septic tanks, lots of them. I grew up in a septic-tank
- 15 neighborhood. So that stuff is not being inspected
- 16 enough, and it's coming into our creek, which then goes
- into the river. And up at Cowan Lake, where I sail, I
- 18 learned from the park manager that we're getting rid of
- 19 the sewage treatment plant that we've got for the beach
- 20 because you have to have all of these monitoring
- 21 requirements, and in its place is going to be a septic
- 22 tank, which doesn't have to be monitored the same way.
- 23 So I'm not seeing this moving in the right
- 24 direction, and I think you guys need to keep your real
- 25 mission. You need to hold these people accountable in

- 1 these different states and let them know that we are
- 2 going to be making sure that you're not taking the
- 3 cheapest way out, causing more expense to everyone else.
- 4 Because, of course, Cincinnati gets its water
- 5 from above the city and dumps it out below. And
- 6 everyone's doing that, but we need to make sure they're
- 7 not hurting each other economically or our water
- 8 quality. And that's your job, and we appreciate you
- 9 continuing to do it.
- 10 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- 11 Next individual is -- I believe it's Sarah
- 12 Tansy.
- 13 ERA TANSY: It's Era. Close enough.
- MR. FREVERT: I warned you I might not get all
- 15 of the pronunciations right.
- 16 ERA TANSY: That's all right. That's okay.
- 17 Hi. Can everyone hear me?
- 18 (All say yes.)
- 19 ERA TANSY: Great. Good evening. My name is
- 20 Era Tansy. I'm also from Cincinnati, and I drove also
- 21 over the bridge to get here. I get my drinking water
- 22 from the Ohio River. I'm a member of the Metro
- 23 Cincinnati Northern Kentucky Democratic Socialists of
- 24 America. The Democratic Socialists of America is an
- organization of over 45,000 people across the United

- 1 States fighting against the capitalist system that
- 2 silences the voices of working people.
- That's why I'm here today. Only a few years
- 4 after the water crises of Flint and Standing Rock, we
- 5 are on the verge of another nightmare in which
- 6 regulators are more interested in carrying out the wish
- 7 list of polluting industry than protecting the health of
- 8 the public.
- 9 Make no mistake -- make no mistake: The public
- 10 doesn't want this commission to abdicate its authority
- 11 and responsibility for setting regional unified
- 12 pollution control standards. Many of us want you to
- 13 make existing standards stronger. Over 97 percent of
- 14 the responses from the 900 pages -- and I know, because
- 15 I read every single one of those pages -- 900 pages of
- 16 first round public comments called on you to make
- 17 existing standards stronger.
- 18 We've been told that a majority of ORSANCO's
- 19 commissioners favor alternative two, a path towards
- 20 deregulation that happens to line up with the interests
- 21 and stated preferences of polluting industry. We've
- 22 been told that the federal Clean Water Act is sufficient
- 23 to clean up the river, but this is anything but
- 24 reassuring.
- 25 As Mary from West Virginia wrote to you on

- 1 February 22nd, "If state and EPA agency work is
- 2 adequate, then why do I keep reading that the Ohio River
- 3 is the most polluted inland waterway in the country?"
- 4 Ohio's -- Ohio's status as one of the dirtiest
- 5 rivers in the country can be directly traced to several
- of the companies who have requested this commission to
- 7 gut pollution control standards.
- 8 Alcoa, AK Steel, American Electric Power,
- 9 Arsular Middle, First Energy, Duke Energy, and Jupiter
- 10 have all had dozens of Clean Water Act violations in the
- 11 last three years. The majority of the commission has
- 12 not acted in good faith. Your own reporting has found
- over 100 pollutants for which you've issued standards
- 14 that are not found elsewhere within federal and state
- 15 quidelines.
- It is outrageous, and many of my people here
- 17 have already said this today: It is outrageous that the
- 18 only public hearing during this comment period is
- 19 happening at an out-of-the-way hotel in the middle of
- 20 the work week. It suggests that the commission is not
- 21 interested in hearing from the public.
- 22 So we have to ask: Why is the majority of
- 23 ORSANCO leadership more interested in protecting
- 24 polluting industry than in protecting the five million
- 25 individuals who depend on the Ohio River for their

- 1 drinking water?
- 2 Could it be because half of the commissioners
- 3 have ties to polluting industry? They have either
- 4 worked directly in the mining and energy industries or
- 5 they've represented them as clients of their consulting
- 6 firms and law practices.
- 7 Commissioner Snavely of Kentucky retired from
- 8 Excel Mining. Commissioner Caperton of West Virginia
- 9 worked at Massey Energy. If you know that company, you
- 10 know it is a very bad company.
- 11 Commissioner Flannery of West Virginia is on
- 12 the National Coal Council. And Commissioner Potesta of
- 13 West Virginia has represented clients like DuPont, which
- 14 has been one of the worst polluters of our river.
- This is not sound science or policymaking.
- 16 This is the fox guarding the henhouse door. If the
- 17 commission guts regional pollution control standards, it
- 18 is selling out the health and safety of everyone living
- 19 downstream from polluting industry for the ability of
- 20 corporations to make more money.
- 21 MR. FREVERT: I think -- excuse me, Gail
- 22 Hesse, I believe, is our next speaker.
- 23 GAIL HESSE: That's a hard act to follow.
- Good evening. My name is Gail Hesse, and I'm
- 25 with the National Wildlife Federation. Prior to coming

- 1 to NWF, I had a 32-year career with the State of Ohio,
- 2 both with the water programs at Ohio EPA and then as
- 3 director of the Ohio Lake Erie Commission.
- 4 At NWF, we strongly oppose any retraction in
- 5 ORSANCO's role in the development and administration of
- 6 the PCS. We believe that the proposal is an abdication
- 7 of ORSANCO's responsibilities for the faithful
- 8 cooperation called for in Article 1 of the compact.
- 9 In brief, our concerns are -- are about
- 10 sanctioning a frame work that allows for conflicting
- 11 standards for the same section of river and the
- 12 complications that creates in preparing the 305B report
- 13 to U.S. EPA.
- In addition to the confusion that it creates
- 15 for both the regulated community as well as the public
- 16 with regard to advisories, we are very concerned about
- 17 the lack of any criteria for selection of the proposed
- 18 alternative. We are also very concerned about the lack
- 19 of any cost-benefit analysis as a part of this review.
- 20 The tables released in February indicate there
- 21 are many gaps and inconsistencies among the states,
- ORSANCO, and U.S. EPA, yet there is no information or
- 23 analysis as to why these discrepancies have been allowed
- 24 to persist for so long.
- We understand the challenges that some states

- 1 have in promulgating water quality standards; however,
- 2 we have grave concern that the response to this
- 3 variability is to eliminate ORSANCO's role in the
- 4 standards program and defer to the states.
- 5 This may seem like an expedient approach to
- 6 the member states, but it represents a failure to invest
- 7 in the collaboration needed to think beyond
- 8 jurisdictional boundaries. The issue that needs to be
- 9 solved is not the role of ORSANCO but, rather, the lack
- 10 of adoption and implementation by the states of the PCS
- 11 into state standards.
- 12 The commission has allowed a culture of no
- 13 accountability for the member states to fulfill their
- 14 pledge to the compact. Forty years of water program
- 15 administration under the Clean Water Act has taught us
- 16 that we need to manage our water bodies as a -- as whole
- 17 systems within drainage boundaries, not jurisdictional
- 18 boundaries.
- 19 The commission has the mandate to manage the
- 20 Ohio River as a basin system, a unique role that was
- 21 forward thinking in 1948 and just as necessary today.
- 22 The compact compels the member states to act on behalf
- 23 of a water body beyond its jurisdictional waters, a
- 24 unique role that demands actions beyond parochial
- 25 interests.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. FREVERT: Eli Polaski.
- 3 ELI POLASKI: I'm not used to feeling like the
- 4 moderate in the room, but I had a long list of things I
- 5 wanted to say but, frankly, a lot of intelligent and
- 6 well-read women who knew more than me just blew
- 7 everything I said out of the water.
- 8 So I -- I guess I'm going to just make a
- 9 confession, and that's that I'm a very rude person. You
- 10 see, I came in here quite early, and I have a very
- 11 impolite habit of eavesdropping. As I was
- 12 eavesdropping, I heard the members of the commission
- 13 talk about how excited they were about hydrocarbon
- 14 reserves in Kentucky.
- Now, to me it seems odd that 20 minutes before
- 16 a discussion on water policy, they are so focused on the
- 17 gamma ray laws and what they might or might not indicate
- 18 about the potential oil and coal reserves in Kentucky.
- 19 Now, it seems to me that perhaps water
- 20 regulators should be invested in the duty to develop
- 21 clean water for the citizens of the Ohio River Valley
- 22 and not enriching themselves and their friends.
- 23 And again, I am quite rude, and I continued
- 24 eavesdropping. And Pete Goodman got up to speak to
- 25 someone, and I believe, Pete, you said that water policy

- 1 shouldn't be driven by standards. You then said they
- 2 should be driven by, and I'm quoting, "other things."
- What are they supposed to be driven by, Pete?
- 4 MR. FREVERT: You're supposed to make your
- 5 comments.
- 6 ELI POLASKI: I'm making my comments. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 MR. FREVERT: Well --
- 9 ELI POLASKI: Now, I want to know what the
- 10 commission wants to replace these standards with,
- 11 because they have cut almost every standard. They have
- 12 cut the definitions. That PowerPoint slide said that
- it's supposed to be defining and enforcing use of the
- 14 river.
- 15 It cuts what it defines as use of the river.
- This is insanity, and I'm disgusted by your
- 17 behavior. I'm disgusted by the fact that you were
- 18 laughing as a woman talked about wishing her children
- 19 and grandchildren could see how clean the water was when
- 20 she was a child. You should be ashamed.
- 21 MR. FREVERT: I believe the name is Lou
- 22 Epstein.
- 23 Hello?
- LOU EPSTEIN: Yeah.
- MR. FREVERT: Did I pronounce your name

- 1 properly?
- 2 LOU EPSTEIN: Yeah. Good enough.
- 3 Hi. I'm Lou Epstein. Thank you for the
- 4 opportunity to speak before you today.
- 5 I'm representing a group called Shomrei Olam
- 6 Jewish Environmental Advocates of greater Cincinnati.
- Where our main mission is to abdicate for the
- 8 Jewish community to take better care of the environment,
- 9 the issue of this water quality and this hearing came to
- 10 our attention.
- 11 Shomrei Olam means, roughly, guardians of the
- 12 Earth. In the earliest references of Adam and Eve and
- 13 the mandates that they had to tend and keep the garden,
- 14 we take a collective responsibility to protect our
- 15 natural resources that were here when we were brought
- into this world and will survive our passing.
- 17 The question before us is: How will we treat
- 18 those resources while we are here? Clearly, now is not
- 19 the time to rely on others to do the work that has been
- 20 assigned to this commission and carried out for decades.
- 21 Relying on the EPA, and, in turn, the states,
- 22 to maintain and enforce high quality standards against a
- 23 backdrop of an administration that is intent on rolling
- 24 back as many protective regulations as possible is in no
- 25 way in the public interest.

- 1 Just last week, the EPA eased rules on
- 2 how coal ash waste is stored across the U.S. and, to
- 3 quote The
- 4 Washington Post, empower states to suspend
- 5 ground water monitoring in certain cases. Yeah, okay.
- 6 Read: Reduced enforcement. Cited ash ponds have
- 7 received another 1.5 years without remediation begging,
- 8 just begging, for ground water contamination.
- 9 Your own writings describe the alternatives --
- 10 describing the alternatives state that 50 percent of
- 11 your funding comes from the EPA, grant 106, to do the
- work in alternatives three and four you're proposing not
- 13 to do. How will you continue to do the work necessary
- 14 to prepare your biannual 305B report describing the
- 15 state of the river quality for aquatic life, water
- 16 supply, and recreation?
- In those same writings, it was also -- it was
- 18 -- it has already identified that there's a fair amount
- 19 of inconsistency of standards from state to state.
- 20 Abandoning the work of standards enforcement
- 21 monitoring will only allow a further drift amongst the
- 22 states, making the problem all the more intractable.
- 23 Today we are urging the commission to accept
- 24 the combination of alternatives three and four to
- 25 maintain the highest level of monitoring and oversight

- 1 possible.
- 2 There's a saying in our tradition that comes
- 3 from the sages of old. It is non-incumbent upon you to
- 4 finish the task, but neither are you free to absolve
- 5 yourself from it.
- 6 The importance of oversight of the Ohio River
- 7 is too important to abandon and hope that others will do
- 8 this important work.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 MR. FREVERT: I'm just going to -- are you
- 11 ready?
- Okay, now.
- 13 Indra Frank.
- 14 INDRA FRANK: Commissioners, thank you for the
- 15 opportunity to speak. My name is Indra Frank. I'm a
- 16 physician specialized in environmental health. I serve
- 17 as the director of environmental health and water policy
- 18 for the Hoosier Environmental Council.
- 19 The Hoosier Environmental Council is an
- 20 Indiana based not-for-profit that has been working on
- 21 Indiana's environmental issues since 1983. I'd like to
- 22 discuss two points about the proposal to eliminate
- 23 ORSANCO's pollution control standards: First, the
- 24 question of redundancy; and, second, the impact on
- 25 Indiana.

- One of the primary justifications that we've
- 2 heard for the proposal to eliminate ORSANCO's pollution
- 3 control standards is that they are redundant and that
- 4 the Ohio River is adequately protected by the standards
- 5 developed by the states under the Clean Water Act.
- 6 There may be some chemicals for which the
- 7 state and ORSANCO standards are the same and, therefore,
- 8 redundant, but for many chemicals, there is not
- 9 redundancy.
- 10 The ORSANCO staff compiled data on the various
- 11 standards in a report titled, "Detailed Compilation of
- 12 ORSANCO PCS versus U.S. EPA and Main Stem States Water
- 13 Quality Standards." And in that report, if I stick just
- 14 with my home State of Indiana, there are 54 ORSANCO
- 15 standards that Indiana does not have at all, and 63
- 16 ORSANCO standards that are more protective than the
- 17 Indiana standards.
- 18 So there are more than 100 ORSANCO standards
- 19 that are not redundant for Indiana's stretch of the Ohio
- 20 River. If the commission goes ahead with this proposal,
- 21 it will significantly reduce protection of Indiana's
- 22 segment of the river.
- There's also going to be a regulatory impact
- 24 and, potentially, costs for the State of Indiana if this
- 25 proposal goes forward. The Indiana Department of

- 1 Environmental Management staff currently rely on the
- 2 ORSANCO standards when writing discharge permits
- 3 regarding the Ohio River.
- 4 ORSANCO's pollution control standards are
- 5 directly incorporated into the Indiana Administrative
- 6 Code at 327 IAC 5-2 in section 10, which is
- 7 the section on NPDES water discharge permits. And in
- 8 that section, it includes the following language:
- 9 "Permits shall contain terms and conditions
- 10 that ensure compliance with the following requirements
- 11 as applicable."
- 12 And then one of those requirements, and I -- I
- 13 quote again, is: "Incorporate in accordance with section
- 14 301B1C of the Clean Water Act any more stringent
- 15 limitations, treatment standards, or schedules of
- 16 compliance requirements" schedule -- "established under
- 17 federal or state law or regulations, including those
- 18 adopted under interstate agreements or compacts, such as
- 19 the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission."
- 20 So ORSANCO's standards are directly cited in
- 21 Indiana's Administrative Code. If Indiana wishes to
- 22 restore full protection of the Ohio River after ORSANCO
- 23 eliminates standards, it will have to spend significant
- 24 time and money on the rule-making process to reestablish
- 25 those standards.

- 1 It's my understanding that the commission has
- 2 not yet studied in detail the impact to Indiana and the
- 3 other states of this kind of regulatory work if the
- 4 ORSANCO standards are discontinued. I encourage the
- 5 commission to study and understand these kinds of
- 6 repercussions prior to taking any such dramatic or
- 7 sweeping step.
- 8 I -- I support efficiency and removing
- 9 duplication where it exists, but there clearly isn't
- 10 duplication of all of ORSANCO's standards and,
- 11 therefore, it doesn't make any sense to eliminate all of
- 12 the standards, which is what is being proposed.
- 13 And I'd like to make another comment. Those
- 14 standards that are being proposed for elimination, think
- 15 about it. Those have been generated over the seven
- 16 decades of ORSANCO's existence by hosts of scientists
- 17 working for ORSANCO and going through a very careful
- 18 scientific process one chemical at a time to determine
- 19 what level of that chemical could exist in the river
- 20 without damaging either the health of the aquatic
- 21 organisms or human health.
- 22 So this body of standards is actually a
- 23 substantial piece of work over the -- those decades, and
- 24 I'd like to commend all of the present and former
- 25 ORSANCO scientists who helped put that body together.

- 1 MR. FREVERT: I believe the name is Geri
- 2 Krause.
- GERI KRAUSE: My name is Geri Krause, and I am
- 4 a citizen of the City of Cincinnati. I speak here today
- 5 to urge ORSANCO to continue its original mission to set
- 6 uniform standards for a baseline pollutant level for
- 7 chemicals and heavy metals for all states along the Ohio
- 8 River and the Ohio River basin.
- 9 Of the five alternative options ORSANCO is
- 10 currently considering, alternative three and four best
- 11 incorporates ORSANCO's original mission, and we -- and
- 12 we want you to -- I want you to reject alternative two,
- 13 which would deregulate uniforms -- uniform standards and
- 14 leave pollution control standards in the hands of
- 15 individual states that touch the Ohio River.
- 16 Alternatives three and four would continue
- 17 establishment and coordination of the uniform standards
- 18 that would be applicable to all the states along the
- 19 Ohio River. The Ohio River is consistently ranked as
- 20 the most polluted river in the United States, even with
- 21 current ORSANCO pollution control standards.
- 22 Why would you want to further deregulate
- 23 pollution standards and further jeopardize the health
- 24 and safety of millions of people living along the Ohio
- 25 River and who, like me, a resident of Cincinnati,

- 1 receive their drinking water from the Ohio River? If
- 2 states up river from Ohio set less restrictive pollution
- 3 standards, I am effectively less protected from toxic
- 4 drinking water.
- 5 It has been said that ORSANCO's standards are
- 6 redundant to the federal Clean Water Act. Research has
- 7 proven that this is not true. There are at least 188
- 8 instances in which ORSANCO has set a standard for a
- 9 pollutant that neither a member state nor EPA has set a
- 10 standard for. Even when EPA or member states have set a
- 11 standard, often the ORSANCO standard for the pollutant
- 12 has been more stringent.
- 13 It has been said that those who have not
- 14 learned from history are condemned to repeat it. Think
- 15 Flint, Michigan. Think unintended consequences.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. FREVERT: Peter Titleman.
- 18 PETER TITLEMAN: My name is Peter Titleman. I
- 19 am a resident of Montgomery, Ohio, and my comments will
- 20 be very brief.
- 21 The reason that I requested to speak was to
- 22 support the comments made just previously by my
- 23 colleagues Geri Krause and Lou Epstein, but also in
- 24 listening to the testimony to support all of the
- 25 comments that have been made by the previous speakers.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. FREVERT: I believe next is Myron Krause.
- 3 MARVIN KRAUSE: My name is Marvin Krause. You
- 4 got a second chance.
- 5 MR. FREVERT: I learn.
- 6 MARVIN KRAUSE: It's nice to know that.
- 7 I'm Marvin Krause of Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 8 The quality of Ohio River water affected by
- 9 the eight states from its source to its junction with
- 10 the Mississippi River is only as high as required by its
- 11 weakest link, a principle recognized by the eight
- 12 ORSANCO states who have agreed to uniform standards for
- 13 all.
- 14 These standards reflect what is collectively
- in the best interest of these eight states who are the
- 16 best judges of what's best for them, not other agencies
- 17 with different axes to grind. I support alternatives
- 18 three and four. Dilution of controls is not the
- 19 solution.
- Thank you.
- 21 MR. FREVERT: I think the next -- David Savat?
- 22 DAVID SAVAT: Perfect.
- MR. FREVERT: Did I pronounce that properly?
- 24 DAVID SAVAT: Perfect.
- MR. FREVERT: Okay.

- 1 DAVID SAVAT: Outstanding. It's so rare to
- 2 hear my name pronounced correctly.
- 3 My name is Dave Savat. My remarks will be
- 4 brief.
- I don't ever go to these kinds of hearings. I
- 6 don't go to city hall. I don't go to the state house.
- 7 I don't go to rallies. If my neighborhood
- 8 association is going to have a meeting, well, there
- 9 better be snacks.
- 10 This one came my way. I learned about it, and
- 11 I thought it rose to the level that I need to -- I need
- 12 to be here this afternoon, despite the traffic jams and
- 13 whatnot. And that is because -- well, I'm not here
- 14 representing any group and -- but I am representing
- 15 myself.
- 16 I'm a citizen. I'm a citizen who drinks
- 17 water.
- 18 My water doesn't come from upstate New York or
- 19 France or Fiji. It comes -- it doesn't come out of a
- 20 bottle. It comes out of the tap that the Cincinnati
- 21 Water Works provides for me. I drink that. I wash my
- 22 lettuce in that. I go to restaurants that do the same.
- 23 And it frightens me to think that the water quality
- 24 standards in my city, let alone folks downstream, would
- 25 diminish by one iota.

- 1 And I can't claim to know so much about the
- 2 alternatives one, two, three, four, five, but what I
- 3 have learned is that alternative two should be rejected.
- 4 Alternatives three and four should be
- 5 embraced.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 MR. FREVERT: Chris Tabner.
- 8 CHRIS TABNER: Members of the Ohio River
- 9 Valley Water Sanitation Commission, my name is Chris
- 10 Tabner, and I'm here on behalf of the Ohio Environmental
- 11 Council to oppose the proposed amendments to the
- 12 pollution control standards. If it repeals the
- 13 substantive portions of the standards, ORSANCO will
- 14 abdicate its responsibility to ensure a clean Ohio River
- 15 and safe drinking water to the millions of people that
- 16 live within its watershed.
- 17 The Ohio Environmental Council is a state-wide
- 18 nonpartisan, nonprofit environmental and conservation
- 19 advocacy organization. We are committed to ensuring
- 20 clean water, air, and energy for all of Ohio's
- 21 residents. For well over half a century, ORSANCO has
- 22 provided robust protections, especially as it developed
- 23 its pollution control standards following the passage of
- 24 the Clean Water Act in the 1970s.
- ORSANCO's efforts to repeal the substantive

- 1 portions of the pollution control standards flies in the
- 2 face of the commission's historical efforts to ensure
- 3 consistent and reliable pollution control throughout the
- 4 Ohio River.
- 5 As illustrated by ORSANCO's minority
- 6 report and the comments of numerous organizations,
- 7 ORSANCO'S pollution control standards provide numerous
- 8 numeric criteria not included in the water quality
- 9 standards of some of the states along the river. For
- 10 example, ORSANCO listed a pollution control standard for
- 11 the protection of aquatic life regarding lead that
- 12 mandates an acute limit of 64.6 micrograms per liter and
- 13 a chronic limit of 2.52 micrograms per liter.
- The Ohio EPA developed a lead water quality
- 15 standard protective of aquatic life and dependent on the
- 16 hardness of the water, and the lowest value for aquatic
- 17 life protection put forth by Ohio EPA is 190 micrograms
- 18 per liter. If ORSANCO rescinds its pollution control
- 19 standards, the Ohio River will lose this greater
- 20 protection.
- 21 Additionally, ORSANCO prescribes more
- 22 stringent trichloroethylene standards than the Ohio EPA.
- ORSANCO's pollution control standards list the
- 24 carcinogen -- carcinogenic limitation to protect health
- 25 at 2.5 micrograms per liter for trichloroethylene. The

- 1 Ohio EPA water quality standards specifically for the
- 2 Ohio River list a trichloroethylene outside a mixing
- 3 zone average of 5 micrograms per liter.
- 4 While potentially minor, these differences
- 5 between ORSANCO's pollution control standards and just
- 6 Ohio EPA's water quality standards represent the tip of
- 7 the iceberg of differences that exist between the
- 8 several member states of ORSANCO and the proposed repeal
- 9 standards. By repealing these standards, we lose all
- 10 hope of developing consistent standards for the entire
- 11 Ohio River.
- 12 Finally, ORSANCO's decision to repeal the
- 13 substantive sections of the pollution control standards
- 14 eliminates the potential collective power held within
- 15 this commission to protect the Ohio River from
- 16 contaminants that state agencies fail to regulate and
- 17 ORSANCO already fails to regulate, too.
- 18 For instance, the U.S. EPA continually waivers
- in its responsibility to regulate PFAS, perfluoroalkyl
- 20 substances. There's thousands of them. And only a few
- 21 states throughout the Ohio River watershed have
- 22 individually taken steps to regulate PFAS.
- 23 PFAS represents a significant public health
- 24 concern for drinking water. ORSANCO could take
- 25 collective steps to provide water quality criteria for

- 1 all states within the watershed for PFAS, but the repeal
- 2 of the pollution control standards eliminates this
- 3 capability.
- 4 MR. FREVERT: Would you slow down for the
- 5 court reporter?
- 6 COURT REPORTER: I would just like you to
- 7 spell PFAS for me, the whole --
- 8 CHRIS TABNER: P-F-A-S.
- 9 COURT REPORTER: Well, no. Like --
- 10 CHRIS TABNER: Oh. Oh.
- 11 COURT REPORTER: -- the whole big word.
- 12 CHRIS TABNER: Yeah. Perfluoroalkyl
- 13 substances is P-R -- P-E-R-F-L-U-O-R-O-A-L-K-Y-L
- 14 substances.
- 15 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
- 16 CHRIS TABNER: The OEC urges ORSANCO to
- 17 reconsider and rescind its proposed changes to the
- 18 pollution control standards. Instead, it should return
- 19 to the drawing board and pursue the regulatory frame
- 20 works considered under alternatives three and four, as
- 21 proposed by this commission last year, or whenever they
- 22 specifically proposed those alternatives.
- We will submit more detailed written comments
- 24 prior to the now August 20th deadline, and we thank you
- 25 for your time in this public hearing today.

- 1 MR. FREVERT: Thank you, Chris. Thank you.
- 2 Linda Newman.
- 3 LINDA NEWMAN: To the members of the
- 4 commission, my name is Linda Newman. I'm a resident of
- 5 Highland Heights, Kentucky. I depend on the Ohio River
- 6 for life -- the water in the Ohio River for life.
- 7 I'm trying to understand how this could have
- 8 happened. How could there be a commission charged in
- 9 its compact to set standards for the Ohio River
- 10 pollution standards that is proposing formally that it
- 11 remove all such standards. I fear that, despite all of
- 12 the excellent arguments that have been made by the
- 13 speakers before me, that they will not be listened to,
- 14 that there is no rationality to this decision, or this
- 15 proposal wouldn't even be on -- be on the table.
- I fear that we are being, despite the
- 17 formalities and indications to the contrary, a little
- 18 bit too polite in our forms of address. This is -- this
- 19 is putting our lives at risk. It is putting five
- 20 million people's lives at risk. This is abdicating your
- 21 responsibility.
- 22 If you go forward, members of the commission,
- 23 with alternative two, removing all standards, there must
- 24 be some way to sue you collectively and individually for
- 25 putting our lives at risk. There must be some way to

- 1 ask: Are you going to continue to meet and to pay
- 2 yourselves, to reimburse yourselves for your expenses,
- 3 while you overtly decide to do nothing, to not carry out
- 4 your very mission?
- 5 This is -- this is an abdication of your
- 6 appointed role, and as citizens we must hold you
- 7 accountable.
- 8 MR. FREVERT: Lon Coleman.
- 9 LON COLEMAN: My name is Lon Coleman. I got
- 10 five points.
- I drink this water. I have a direct interest
- 12 in this water's quality. This is not some sort of
- 13 academic exercise on my part.
- 14 Number two: The Ohio River is very polluted,
- 15 and new pollution challenges are always at hand.
- 16 Number three: The Ohio River needs more
- 17 oversight, not abandonment organized as bureaucratic
- 18 scrutiny.
- 19 Number four: This organization has been in
- 20 existence for over 70 years, and their organizational
- 21 standards have been important and essential.
- 22 Number five: Why is this grand abandonment of
- 23 effective standards being considered now? Something is
- 24 very fishy.
- 25 That's it.

- 1 MR. FREVERT: It's now 7:20, and in
- 2 consideration of the audience and particularly the court
- 3 reporter, I'm going to ask for a ten-minute recess.
- 4 Give the reporter a little chance to catch her breath.
- 5 So please be back here in ten minutes, and
- 6 we'll carry on with the comments.
- 7 (OFF THE RECORD)
- 8 MR. FREVERT: Okay. According to my --
- 9 according to my phone, it's 7:30, and we're going to
- 10 reconvene the hearing. And take your seats as fast and
- 11 quietly as you can, and I'll call the next -- I'll call
- 12 the next comment when I get over to the table.
- 13 And that individual is Elaine Walter.
- 14 ELAINE WALTER: Hi. My name is Elaine Walter.
- 15 MR. FREVERT: Use the mic.
- 16 ELAINE WALTER: Hi. My name is Elaine Walter.
- 17 I was a -- I'm a retired public health nurse from the
- 18 city of Cincinnati. Yay, nurses. Come on. I did
- 19 hospice work. Started out when everybody died at home.
- 20 Hey, that's something that they no longer do.
- Just want to say, I used to teach a -- a -- a
- 22 course about infection control, and if you remember back
- 23 in the day, in the 1800s, this beautiful city of
- 24 Cincinnati, we live here in a valley, and all pollution
- 25 would collect in this valley. The amount of typhoid.

- 1 Remember these words? Cholera. Remember
- 2 things like -- well, now we have things like Giardia and
- 3 all other sort of diseases that are carried in our
- 4 waters, and not to mention the rest of the pollution.
- 5 We also have a lot of airborne problems in our
- 6 city, too. So over -- over this time, we have gone from
- 7 being a highly polluted industrial city -- remember
- 8 Procter & Gamble? Remember the stockyards? And
- 9 regulations have taken us out of the dark ages and
- 10 brought us to where we are now.
- 11 We cannot go back. I ask you, gentlemen, our
- 12 lives are in your hands. If you turn your backs on us
- 13 now, where will we be in years to come? It is your
- 14 responsibility. We women do what we can, but it is your
- 15 responsibility to put the regulations and fight for
- 16 those regulations and fight for us so we can care for
- 17 our people.
- 18 MR. FREVERT: Gene Nightingale.
- 19 GENE NIGHTINGALE: Good evening. I'm Gene
- 20 Nightingale. I'm a concerned citizen. Live in Price
- 21 Hill. Look over the Ohio River Valley and get my
- 22 drinking water from that river. I just want to thank
- 23 all the speakers here tonight. You have excited me,
- 24 informed me. I feel like, God, I've got to really do my
- 25 homework -- more of my homework.

- 1 But I think more people need to be involved in
- 2 this, and more people need to be part of this debate. I
- 3 have -- I am -- I'm in the Sierra Club, and I'm a member
- 4 of the Green Umbrella, and I'm a -- I was a past
- 5 president of Women's City Club, and I'm on the league
- 6 board, and I belong to a lot of different environmental
- 7 organizations.
- 8 This is such an urgent, urgent issue. I think
- 9 more people need to know about it and be part of it.
- 10 I'm -- I intend to use all of my contacts to
- 11 bring this to the floor so we can have more programs on
- 12 it, so we can debate it publicly in -- in other forums,
- 13 other town halls. This is our -- our drinking water,
- 14 folks.
- So I -- I need to understand more. I just
- 16 learned about this hearing from reading an article in
- 17 City Beat, and I saw Brewster Rhoads comment there, and
- 18 I wanted just to come here and agree with him.
- 19 I just -- I feel like we need to maintain the
- 20 historic role of ORSANCO as a champion of clean water
- 21 standards in Ohio River, which is our vital source of
- 22 our drinking water. And skipping -- this is no time to
- 23 stop these regulations, given the political climate, the
- 24 environmental climate, to stop and erode the regulations
- 25 that safeguard this high quality drinking water.

- 1 Even though it's somewhat endangered, we have
- 2 to constantly be on guard to keep it clean. This is --
- 3 we -- we keep it protected from these harmful pollutants
- 4 that more and more industry is beginning to put into our
- 5 -- our water.
- 6 So I just want to thank you all tonight, and
- 7 let's get to work. We have to -- we have to stop what
- 8 you're doing here.
- 9 I thank you very much for listening to us.
- 10 This is a good part of the process, but the process has
- 11 to continue. Thank you.
- 12 MR. FREVERT: Geri Geldon? Is Geri
- 13 Geldon in the room?
- 14 I'll set that aside for now.
- 15 Robin Blakeman.
- ROBIN BLAKEMAN: Good evening. My name is
- 17 Robin Blakeman, and I work with the Ohio Valley
- 18 Environmental Coalition. We have been partnering with,
- 19 or trying to work with, ORSANCO for 30-plus years of
- 20 your 40-plus years of existence. Currently, I am the
- 21 OVEC rep on the ORSANCO watershed organization's
- 22 advisory committee, and as such, I know that we signed
- 23 onto the recent letter requesting an extension to the
- 24 comment period and two additional hearings.
- We appreciate the ten-day extension on the

- 1 comment period; however, one public hearing, folks, is
- 2 not enough, as you can see from tonight. We need at
- 3 least two more, one in the upper part of the basin and
- 4 one in the lower part of the basin.
- 5 Please reconsider this even if it delays your
- 6 decision into the first of next year.
- 7 So we want to urge you, as strongly as
- 8 possible, to reject option two and to reconsider option
- 9 three and four plus some additional protections for the
- 10 river.
- 11 And I want to speak personally from my
- 12 experience as a nearly life-long resident of the Ohio
- 13 River Valley.
- I appreciate the decades of work that ORSANCO
- 15 has done to make our river cleaner and safer. Many of
- 16 the ORSANCO standards go beyond what U.S. EPA and state
- 17 regulatory agencies require. Some of the information
- 18 published is essential to the operation of other public
- 19 agencies, such as our state, our West Virginia
- 20 Department of Natural Resources, which regularly shares
- 21 ORSANCO's fish consumption advisories on their website.
- Now, here's a critical question for all of the
- ORSANCO commissioners: Can we afford to lose
- 24 these important standards and this great source of
- 25 coordinated information about the entire Ohio River

- 1 watershed? I am concerned that we possibly might due to
- 2 the recent decision made by the ORSANCO commission.
- I strongly disagree that state level pollution
- 4 control standards are adequate, and many questions arise
- 5 from the proposed changes, such as our West Virginia
- 6 Department of Environmental Protection enforcement
- 7 office is chronically understaffed.
- 8 This fact was acknowledged by DEP leaders at a
- 9 recent meeting I attended with other West Virginia
- 10 citizens who voluntarily monitor streams in the path of
- 11 the three major at least 36-inch diameter pipelines
- 12 being constructed in West Virginia. That's the
- 13 Mountaineer Xpress, the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, and the
- 14 Mountain Valley Pipeline.
- 15 These are all on head water streams of the
- 16 Ohio River. If DEP inspectors in West Virginia are
- 17 finding themselves stretched beyond maximum capacity
- 18 with the current pipeline monitoring, how are they going
- 19 to add monitoring of potential pollution on the Ohio
- 20 River?
- It's impossible.
- 22 Several states rely on ORSANCO's uniform
- 23 standards set by representatives from all member states
- in the Ohio River watershed and adopt them as their own.
- 25 If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards,

- 1 these states will have to expend the financial resources
- 2 to develop standards. Will any of the states coordinate
- 3 these same standards with their close neighbors and try
- 4 to ensure they are uniform along the course of the
- 5 entire watershed?
- 6 Why give up a system we know works? If all
- 7 Ohio river states have to develop their own standards,
- 8 then the situation can lead to interstate conflicts and
- 9 possibly litigation.
- 10 And I want to make two important points, and
- 11 I'll try to do so quickly.
- ORSANCO has yet to place a high priority on
- 13 evaluating the effects of waste products and leaks from
- 14 unconventional oil and gas drilling industry, and I'm
- 15 upset tonight because I possibly heard a reason from the
- 16 man who overheard some commissioners talking.
- 17 Yet these pollutants are already causing more
- 18 harmful stuff to enter our watershed, and this will get
- 19 worse if the proposed Appalachian storage hub gets
- 20 built. This is a massive infrastructure along the Ohio
- 21 river. It's going to turn the Ohio River Valley into
- 22 cancer alley.
- 23 Who will be responsible for monitoring and
- 24 dealing with any leakage from this proposed mega
- 25 infrastructure project, which will span at least three

- 1 different Ohio River bordering states and, in some
- 2 cases, traverse underneath the river in caverns and
- 3 pipelines?
- 4 MR. FREVERT: Okay, your five minutes -- could
- 5 -- you can come back later when the others are through
- 6 if you want to add.
- 7 ROBIN BLAKEMAN: I'm not able to come back
- 8 later.
- 9 MR. FREVERT: Well, wrap it up, please.
- 10 ROBIN BLAKEMAN: It seems this kind of
- 11 cross-state monitoring is part of the mission of
- 12 ORSANCO, as stated on your website, and in this we agree
- 13 with statements made by federal commissioner Tom
- 14 Fitzgerald.
- On a local and personal level -- and this is
- 16 the conclusion of my comments, I, as a West Virginia
- 17 resident who has dealt with our West Virginia DEP on
- 18 many issues, do not trust them. I do not trust them to
- 19 maintain a current level of water quality standards on
- 20 the Ohio River or any other waterway in my state. And I
- 21 know they do not have adequate staffing to send
- 22 enforcement officials to monitor the river, industrial
- 23 outflows, or anything else for current standards. They
- 24 aren't really able to keep up with the current amount of
- 25 pipeline construction going on, as I've said before.

- 1 So if ORSANCO leaves pollution control
- 2 criteria setting and monitoring up to the states, I
- 3 would bet there's a very low chance of West Virginia
- 4 officials maintaining standards that are adequate for
- 5 the river to remain at its current level of health.
- 6 There could be a catastrophic storm brewing
- 7 for those of us who live downstream. And I live in
- 8 Huntington, West Virginia, and so does the whole rest of
- 9 my family, and we get -- have -- all of our water comes
- 10 from the Ohio River.
- 11 Please reconsider your decision.
- 12 MR. FREVERT: Connie Male.
- Did I pronounce that properly?
- 14 CONNIE MALE: You did. Thank you.
- My name's Connie Male. I'm not with any
- 16 environmental group. I'm just a concerned citizen that
- 17 lives on the Ohio River. I drove up here from
- 18 Huntington, West Virginia, so it would be great if you
- 19 had these meetings a little closer.
- I grew up near Belpre, Ohio, and I'm also a
- 21 former resident of Marietta, Ohio. I now live in the
- 22 Huntington, West Virginia tristate area. This means
- 23 that all my life, I have been dependent upon the Ohio
- 24 River for my tap water.
- I oppose the current ORSANCO commission's

- 1 tentative pollution control standards decision. I am
- 2 aware that since the 1940s, the Ohio River Valley
- 3 sanitary commission, or ORSANCO, has set pollution
- 4 standard -- pollution control standards for discharges
- 5 into the Ohio River. Some of these standards go beyond
- 6 what U.S. EPA and state regulatory agencies require.
- 7 I am also aware that ORSANCO met in June and
- 8 decided to the extent that all member states are
- 9 operating under programs appropriate for implementation
- 10 of the federal Clean Water Act, the commission may
- 11 conclude that it need not continue the triennial review
- 12 process related to the water quality criteria and
- 13 related provisions of the pollution control standards.
- I strongly disagree that state level pollution
- 15 control standards are adequate for the following
- 16 reasons: Several states rely on ORSANCO's uniform
- 17 standards set by representatives from all member states
- 18 in the Ohio River watershed and adopt them as their own.
- 19 If ORSANCO no longer sets these standards,
- 20 those states will have to expend the financial resources
- 21 to develop standards. If all Ohio River states have to
- 22 develop their own standards, then the situation can lead
- 23 to interstate conflicts when an up river state has
- 24 weaker standards that lead to increased pollution
- 25 treatment costs for a down river state.

- 1 This would inevitably lead to litigation among
- 2 the states and problems with communication and
- 3 enforcement of pollution standards. And waste products
- 4 and leaks from the fracking industry are causing more
- 5 harmful pollutants to enter the watershed by the day,
- 6 and this will get worse if the proposed Appalachian
- 7 storage hub gets built.
- 8 And in conclusion, according to ORSANCO's own
- 9 statistics, five million people, including me and my
- 10 family, rely upon water sourced from the Ohio River for
- 11 our tap water. We need that water to remain as
- 12 protected and well monitored as possible. If ORSANCO
- 13 stops their pollution control standards setting and
- 14 review processes, I am truly afraid that the quality of
- our tap water will be degraded to the point it is
- 16 unhealthy for human consumption. This would be
- 17 devastating to me and my family. Please protect our
- 18 water.
- MR. FREVERT: Jay O'Reilly, I believe it is.
- JAY O'REILLY: Thank you.
- Good evening. I'm Professor Jim O'Reilly, but
- 22 I begin with the disclaimer: I'm not speaking for my
- 23 city council, City of Wyoming. I'm not speaking for the
- 24 executive committee of the Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana
- 25 Regional Council of Governments. I'm not speaking for

- 1 the executive committee of the First Suburbs Consortium.
- 2 I'm not speaking for the College of Medicine
- 3 at the University of Cincinnati where I teach. And I'm
- 4 not speaking for Thomson Reuters, which has been
- 5 publishing my textbooks on federal and state
- 6 environmental issues since the 1970s.
- These are my own views as an individual: Let's
- 8 not retreat. Let's not retreat. I wrote opposition to
- 9 alternative two, the abandonment of the historic mission
- 10 of ORSANCO for uniform water quality norms in the river
- 11 basin. The defense of the existing standards of quality
- 12 will greatly matter to many people who could not fit
- into this room this evening.
- 14 Look ahead, please. Look ahead at the
- 15 alternative.
- In the committee meetings, in state
- 17 legislatures, and in the hallways outside those
- 18 committee meetings, there will be persons who are very
- 19 well known to the elected officials for the funding that
- 20 they're giving to their election campaigns. Those
- 21 persons will say, you know, state rep, we shouldn't do
- 22 this. Or, you know, state rep, we ought to adopt a
- 23 lower number.
- I'm not indicting any legislator, and I'm not
- 25 indicting any particular state, but I'm saying, ORSANCO

- 1 was the bulwark against being sold out for 30 pieces of
- 2 silver. Frankly, the standards -- and if we remove that
- 3 standard, we professors use big language, desuetude the
- 4 conscious decision not to enforce, not to protect. It's
- 5 a real loss to those downstream.
- I want you to remember that China is investing
- 7 heavily in the Appalachian storage hub. Read my
- 8 textbook, "The Law of Fracking." Look at natural gas
- 9 fracking and what's going to be done in the Ohio River
- 10 Valley, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Ohio, for that
- 11 use of the fracking material. Look at that and say,
- 12 hmm, why would we want to get rid of ORSANCO's standards
- 13 and lower standards?
- 14 Could it be that in the 2020s, the Ohio
- 15 River's going to get better? Let's think about that.
- So writing my 51st textbook has been a real
- 17 understanding for me. I look specifically at the
- 18 question in the draft whether redundancy is present.
- 19 Redundancy is not. I look at the risk that
- 20 the giant chemical facilities will be a problem, and
- 21 they will be.
- China is going to be investing heavily in West
- 23 Virginia, in Pennsylvania, and the like. The Yangtze
- 24 River is the model they're following. It's yellow not
- 25 because of nature. I strongly descent from the argument

- 1 that region 4 of U.S. EPA would do the job. They won't
- 2 have the staffing. They won't have the travel budget.
- They won't have the laboratory capacity to act
- 4 in place of ORSANCO's standards.
- 5 Scott Pruitt left a legacy in the U.S. EPA.
- 6 Many of those enforcement efforts have been emasculated.
- 7 Why would we in Ohio care about this? Because we are
- 8 cut off. Since 1788, when the Continental Congress
- 9 reached the northwest ordinance compromise, Ohio has not
- 10 been able to police any of the waters below the historic
- 11 high water mark on the north side of the Ohio River.
- So we in Ohio cannot speak against what West
- 13 Virginia or Kentucky or Pennsylvania might dump into the
- 14 river. We are not going to be able to substitute Ohio
- 15 for those other states. I think the loss of region 5's
- 16 capability is particularly relevant when region 5 -- I'm
- 17 sorry, region -- region 4. Region 5 in Chicago, which
- 18 governs Ohio, region 3, which governs Pennsylvania, are
- 19 not going to have the clout to step in and do what Ohio
- 20 EPA might be willing to do. But U.S. EPA's just going
- 21 to be understaffed.
- 22 So I want to apologize to -- to Shakespeare
- 23 for -- for using his language. He said in the Julius
- 24 Cesar, "I've come here to bury Cesar, not to praise him.
- 25 The evil that men do is remembered after their

- 1 deaths, but the good is often buried with them. It
- 2 might as well be the same with Cesar."
- Well, uniform quality standards created
- 4 effectively over many years and carefully monitored are
- 5 being buried for what reason? For what good? What is
- 6 the consequence? The good -- yes, sir. The good that
- 7 ORSANCO has been doing should be applauded. The loss of
- 8 this alternative -- or alternative two, the loss should
- 9 be questioned.
- 10 Those of you who will be drinking what China
- 11 will be putting out from the Appalachian storage hub
- 12 should think seriously about talking to your state
- 13 legislator before your state legislator gets money from
- 14 the people representing the China development.
- So I urge you, and I urge ORSANCO, not to bury
- 16 the good that you've done, to use alternatives three and
- 17 four. Remember that the financial wizards from
- 18 Shanghai, who will be funding the next level of fracking
- 19 in our river, are already looking out their windows in
- 20 Shanghai at a yellow river full of chemicals streaming
- 21 by. This is not a faint issue. This is a local issue,
- 22 and this is a regional issue. This is an issue of great
- 23 concern.
- So let's learn from China. Let's not go to
- 25 the Yangtze model. Let's maintain strong local

- 1 precautions against environmental degradation. There is
- 2 not redundancy here. There is desuetude, abandonment.
- 3 Thank you for your attention.
- 4 MR. FREVERT: John Gauge.
- JOHN GAUGE: Thank you.
- I have a commitment to brevity, so I'm going
- 7 to say, first of all, I wore my peace seeker t-shirt to
- 8 remind us of somebody who made a lifelong commitment to
- 9 the quality of the Hudson River. And it's one thing I
- 10 want to keep in front of us all the time.
- 11 And I just -- in thinking about this, I
- 12 applaud your commitment and your temerity to speak
- 13 boldly and truthfully. Thank you. We stand together.
- I think that maybe perhaps some day, once we
- 15 have poisoned ourselves and the planet and there's no
- 16 longer any life there, that perhaps at that point,
- 17 Mother Earth might begin to heal herself, but I hope
- 18 that doesn't come to be.
- 19 I was watching a news reel of a flash flood,
- 20 and in awe of houses and cars flowing down, and I
- 21 thought of this poem called "Flash Flood." "The
- 22 irrepressible force of water sweeps aside artifacts of
- 23 civilization.
- Our lust for power and control reduced to
- 25 flotsam.

- 1 Disregarding all boundaries and predictable
- 2 behaviors, swift currents strip away the engineering of
- 3 modern minds in blatant mockery of our pretension. My
- 4 one consoling consideration of this apocalyptic
- 5 cleansing is its undeniable prophecy that one day, our
- 6 destructive prowess will become its own debris, and
- 7 Earth will shed herself of human will and calculation
- 8 and be free."
- 9 MR. FREVERT: Melanie Phillips.
- 10 MELANIE PHILLIPS: Hi. Thank you for this
- 11 opportunity. My name is Melanie Phillips. I live and
- 12 work in the area. I didn't plan on speaking tonight,
- 13 and I want to just say I agree with everything that
- 14 everyone has said. I did want to add some personal
- 15 comments.
- 16 You know, in my 39 years -- I'm sorry -- I
- 17 have been privileged enough to never have to worry about
- 18 the quality of my drinking water. Sorry. Just by
- 19 proposing alternative two, you have completely eroded my
- 20 trust, and I may never trust again that this commission
- 21 has my best interest at heart. With -- coupled with the
- 22 daily attacks on Clean Water Act and the upheaval at the
- 23 EPA, it doesn't matter what your original intent was. I
- 24 will never have peace of mind again.
- Also, I am a veteran, and being near the water

- 1 is some of the only peace of mind that I have. Please
- 2 take care of it.
- 3 MR. FREVERT: Melinda Mitchell.
- 4 MELINDA MITCHELL: Hello. I'm Melinda
- 5 Mitchell.
- 6 I'm not in -- just a regular old person. Not
- 7 involved in any foundation, group, or anything like
- 8 that. I live in a small town along the Ohio River, in
- 9 Newburgh, Indiana, right outside of Evansville, for you
- 10 that have travelled, what, four hours, I think, to get
- 11 here. I hope you guys consider having a meeting closer.
- 12 That would be nice.
- There's almost 800 people that it seems like
- 14 have spoken in behalf of you guys not making any
- 15 changes.
- Obviously, there's a lot of heart and passion
- in this group of people that's here. Last night one of
- 18 our local TV channels said that the cancer rate in
- 19 Warrick County, which I live in, is the highest in the
- 20 area, and the closer that you live to the river, the
- 21 higher the chance that you have cancer.
- There are four women in my neighborhood that
- 23 have cancer right now that are dying. I live three
- 24 houses from the Ohio River, so that is very concerning.
- 25 In fact, what you guys are proposing, it would be nice

- 1 if you increased what you're doing. It's -- to
- 2 eliminate is disheartening for -- for all of us that get
- 3 our water from the Ohio River.
- 4 I'm right downstream from AK Steel and Alcoa,
- 5 which AK Steel's the number one polluter on the Ohio
- 6 river. I don't understand why they're not being
- 7 stopped. I do appreciate you guys monitoring everything
- 8 that's coming downstream from -- from them.
- 9 Ten years ago, I had a opportunity from
- 10 Leavenworth, Indiana, to Newburgh, Indiana, to canoe
- 11 down the river. I was warned by everyone, don't do
- 12 that. The river's too polluted. And certainly don't
- 13 get into the water. And if you do have to get in the
- 14 water, make sure you're upstream from the cities because
- of all the pollution downstream.
- I took jugs of water to bathe myself. It was
- 17 a ten-day trip. I saw things on the river, pollution
- 18 firsthand. I was devastated by the lack of people
- 19 caring.
- 20 In 1997, the Ohio River flooded. I had just
- 21 moved into the -- or to the house that I live in, in the
- 22 town of Newburgh. I took photographs of the river
- 23 because I was just in -- in awe of how high it got.
- There was little to no trash. It was amazing.
- 25 There wasn't, like, plastic everywhere.

- 1 Two years ago, the town of Newburgh, in June,
- 2 the whole riverfront was just plastic trash everywhere,
- 3 so I reached out to a few people. I've got a little
- 4 local -- my hometown Newburgh, Indiana Facebook page.
- 5 And I asked people to help me go down there every Monday
- 6 night to pick up trash.
- 7 I got four other people to help me. Every
- 8 single Monday night through the month of June, I went
- 9 down there. If other people didn't show up -- the first
- 10 two times, no one showed up. I was just down there
- 11 bagging trash, dragging it up for the town of Newburgh
- 12 to -- to pick it up.
- And it was disheartening, and I remember just
- 14 bawling because I felt all alone, and all I wanted to do
- 15 was get that riverbank clean for the Fourth of July
- 16 celebration that we have. So -- and I went to the town
- 17 council, and I couldn't get anybody to help, but I was
- 18 like, "Screw them." I'm going to do it myself. Like I
- 19 said, I got four -- four other people to help in that
- 20 month time frame.
- 21 And we got it all cleaned up. It looked
- 22 beautiful. Had one town council member say thank you to
- 23 me. The next year, the town, which was this -- this
- 24 year, the Ohio River sweep took place, and we
- 25 participated in it. And we got I can't even remember

- 1 how many tons of trash, and there were about 60
- 2 something people that showed up because of your
- 3 organization and dedication, and we made a difference.
- 4 We need to do that more than once a year, but
- 5 you guys have played such an important role in helping
- 6 us and informing us. Please, please don't step away
- 7 from that. We -- we need you now more than we've ever
- 8 needed anybody.
- 9 This is our river. This is our life. This is
- 10 our home. And I just -- don't give up on us, please.
- Just don't walk away from us. And I just hope
- 12 that right now you're not using us as a bunch of monkeys
- 13 to dance around and entertain you and that we're not
- 14 here just for a show.
- We mean this, and -- and we love everything
- 16 that your organization has done over the years. I mean,
- 17 you guys have been a blessing to us, so please, please
- 18 keep it up. Please.
- MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- 20 MELINDA MITCHELL: And have a meeting in
- 21 Evansville.
- MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- 23 Sarah Hoffman.
- 24 SARAH HOFFMAN: I've been told I can cut to
- 25 the chase like a knife, so maintain the highest

- 1 standards possible for our river, the Ohio River. I am
- 2 a resident. I'm a registered nurse. I work with people
- 3 who cannot speak for themselves. So we need you to
- 4 maintain the standards. Reject number two.
- 5 MR. FREVERT: Mary Shartman, I believe it is.
- 6 MARY SHARTMAN: Hi. My name is Mary Shartman.
- 7 I'm also a member of Democratic Socialists of
- 8 America, and I'm here today to speak not so much to the
- 9 commissioners here, because I honestly don't believe
- 10 that you-all are listening to us, and I'm here to speak
- 11 to you, the people.
- 12 This is our shared resource. This is our
- 13 river.
- 14 This is our water. We need it to live. And I
- 15 quess I do have a question I would ask the commissioners
- 16 here, and I know you won't answer because that's not
- 17 what this is: Who do you work for? How would you even
- 18 think it is appropriate to lessen the standards of our
- 19 water that we get to drink?
- 20 And it's obvious, and we heard who you work
- 21 for.
- You work for the industries that want to see
- 23 our river as a place to dump their waste because it's a
- 24 -- it flows right by. They can just dump it in, and
- it's cheap, and it goes away, and they don't have to

- 1 deal with it. And it's -- so I would like to ask the
- 2 people here: Who rejects the alternative two? Who
- 3 rejects alternative two?
- 4 And for the record, basically everyone in the
- 5 room has raised their hand to say that they reject
- 6 alternative two, and they stand for having regulations
- of our water for our health and safety. And, yeah,
- 8 everyone has spoken to what really matters to me, and
- 9 they've shared their experiences with the river and the
- 10 need for us, as a public, to come together.
- 11 And the work that we need to do will happen
- 12 outside this room. We are here kind of doing the dance.
- 13 As -- as the presentation earlier showed, they
- 14 -- they checked all the boxes. This is a pro forma
- 15 event that we are at today. And we need to really
- organize so that we can hold these people accountable.
- 17 They are selling out all of the work that the
- 18 scientists and the workers of ORSANCO have done for the
- 19 past 70 years, and we cannot allow that. Those
- 20 scientists and workers have worked for us, and the
- 21 commissioners here have shown that they are not working
- 22 for us.
- So let's talk about how we hold them
- 24 accountable and how we increase the standards of our
- 25 water, our shared resource.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. FREVERT: Joseph Lipari.
- JOSEPH LIPARI: Hi. I don't represent anybody
- 4 either. I'm just a retired person who has lived in
- 5 Cincinnati for 30 years and drinks the Ohio River water.
- 6 Several months ago, I was diagnosed with
- 7 Parkinson's disease, which is a progressive muscle
- 8 disease which is chronic and incurable. Right now, my
- 9 symptoms are mild, but evidently, it's a chronic disease
- 10 that doesn't go away and gets worse over the years.
- I just -- when I was diagnosed, I did some
- 12 research and discovered that there are two ways to get
- 13 Parkinson's disease. One is through hereditary. Well,
- 14 turns out that there is zero incidence of -- of
- 15 Parkinson's disease in my family.
- The other way is through environmental toxins.
- So my only question is: Did I get it through
- 18 the air?
- 19 Did I get it through the water? Did I get it
- 20 through contact with my skin? What -- what exactly
- 21 caused it?
- In any case, so I don't know that, but I do
- 23 know that we should be increasing standards and
- 24 strictness, not decreasing them.
- 25 And so that's what I have to say.

- 1 MR. FREVERT: Lynn Hamilton.
- 2 LYNN HAMILTON: Hi. I'm Lynn Hamilton. I
- 3 live in Louisville, Kentucky, and I do want to thank you
- 4 for having this hearing so that we can discuss this and
- 5 for respecting the Democratic process.
- At the same time, I was a little surprised
- 7 that we're -- okay. I was very surprised that we're
- 8 having a meeting to discuss whether it's okay to pollute
- 9 the Ohio River some more. I thought that it was
- 10 generally understood that a civilized community wants to
- 11 have clean water and will take reasonable action to get
- 12 clean water.
- 13 And so, I was really expecting to have a
- 14 hearing about how we're going to clean up the Ohio River
- 15 and -- and not this hearing. And I'm the publisher of
- 16 the AnimalRightsChannel.com, so I'm eventually going to
- 17 have to also say something about wildlife that depends
- 18 on the Ohio River.
- 19 I don't think anyone in this room wants to
- 20 live on the Ganges. The -- we -- we have the luxury of
- 21 living in a really wealthy place with a tax base and the
- technology, and we have a choice about whether to have
- 23 clean rivers or not. And so, it seems pretty self-
- 24 evident that we do want to have clean rivers, because we
- 25 can. We can have them. If we have the will, we can

- 1 step up. We can use our technology to clean up -- clean
- 2 up our rivers.
- 3 So that's what I would like us to do. We
- 4 don't have to live like a third world country where our
- 5 only recourse for disposing of our waste is to dump it
- 6 in the river. We don't do that, and we don't have to do
- 7 that.
- 8 Americans want clean drinking water. I think
- 9 that much has been clear from this meeting. We also
- 10 want to fish in our rivers, and we want to be able to
- 11 swim in our rivers and kayak in our rivers. I heard all
- 12 of these things tonight.
- 13 And, yes, we also want to view wildlife. A
- 14 quick Google search shows that according to the United
- 15 States Fish and Wildlife Service, this is just a short
- 16 and very incomplete list of animals that rely on the
- 17 Ohio River.
- 18 That would be fish, turtles, minks, otters, a
- 19 variety of waterfall -- water foul, and migratory birds.
- 20 And these animals don't just exist for our
- 21 entertainment. In many cases, they descend -- are
- 22 descended from animals that were here before we were
- and, therefore, we have no right to make ourselves the
- 24 only inhabitants of the Ohio valley.
- 25 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.

- Joel Worth.
- JOEL WORTH: Good evening. I'm Joel Worth
- 3 from Louisville. I wasn't going to speak tonight, but
- 4 my wife signed me up without me knowing it. I don't
- 5 want to speak, but the more I heard, I said, I got to
- 6 say something.
- 7 And I'm a common sense guy, okay? We can live
- 8 without oil. We can live without natural gas. We can
- 9 live without coal. But no humans or any living thing
- 10 cannot live without clean water. And I would like to
- 11 see at least one of you guys drinking tap water.
- 12 MR. FREVERT: Bill Cahallan.
- 13 BILL CAHALLAN: I handed my piece in earlier
- 14 before the meeting. It's Bill Cahallan, actually.
- 15 That's a common --
- MR. FREVERT: Apologize.
- 17 MR. CAHALLAN: That's a common
- 18 mispronunciation all my life.
- 19 I've lived in east Price Hill, Cincinnati, for
- 20 30 years and have seen the Ohio River on my way in and
- 21 out almost every day. Of course, my drinking, bathing,
- 22 and dishwashing water comes from the river. In other
- 23 words, my life comes from the river, as someone said
- 24 earlier.
- 25 And much of it has probably flowed through

- 1 landscapes and streams of many upstream states on its
- 2 way to the river and to me.
- 3 Since the 1980s, I have had an Ohio River
- 4 watershed map from ORSANCO on my wall at home and have
- 5 always seen your cooperative interstate regulation of
- 6 our water quality as a great bridge. You have helped
- 7 overcome competition among states as we deal with water
- 8 pollution impact from industry and power plants.
- 9 Now all that is threatened by the attempt of
- 10 many ORSANCO commissioners, not all, not all have deep
- 11 ties to industry, I guess, to back off from your
- 12 historic protective regulatory role and to leave things
- 13 to individual states and to the ever faltering
- 14 Trump-directed EPA. I have no confidence in that as a
- 15 backup anymore.
- 16 As someone said earlier, let's not let the
- 17 foxes quard the henhouse. Please continue protecting
- 18 the drinking water source for all Ohio River watershed
- 19 citizens by reversing this weakening of ORSANCO's
- 20 historic role. We're all part of this majestic
- 21 watershed landscape. We all live downstream.
- MR. FREVERT: Mary O'Connell.
- 23 MARY O'CONNELL: My name is Mary O'Connell.
- 24 I'm here with the Democratic Socialists of America. A
- 25 lot of people have made some comments that I will

- 1 probably repeat, but I don't care, because I want to go
- 2 on record with mine.
- In the face of tremendous opposition from the
- 4 public, environmental and scientific communities, the
- 5 commission is trying to expedite an agenda designed to
- 6 line the pockets of the wealthy, one that will grossly
- 7 reduce and eliminate pollution regulations for the Ohio
- 8 River and put five million people at risk.
- 9 The very idea of this points to the grim but
- 10 very real fact that the commission does not want to act
- in the interests of the people and is certainly not
- 12 interested in the health of the future. Everyone here,
- 13 including the commissioners, should not be asking
- 14 themselves: What if our water becomes undrinkable, what
- if our air becomes un-breathable, but when this will
- 16 happen.
- 17 We all know about and are seeing and are
- 18 experiencing climate change every day. Our future, our
- 19 children's futures are doomed if we let moneyed
- 20 interests and greed expedite it even more. We simply
- 21 don't have time to entertain anything less than active
- 22 aggressive change to improve our river. And ORSANCO'S
- 23 proposal, which is incredibly short-sighted and
- 24 selfishly dismissive of our future, to say the least, is
- 25 a waste of our time.

- 1 MR. FREVERT: Nathan Alley.
- NATHAN ALLEY: Good evening, and thank you for
- 3 this opportunity to speak. My name is Nathan Alley. I
- 4 live in Cincinnati. I work on policy and legislation
- 5 for the Ohio chapter of the Sierra Club, and tonight I'm
- 6 speaking on behalf of our more than 22,000 members.
- 7 I want to agree with everything that folks
- 8 have said tonight, and I'm going to get into that in
- 9 just a moment, but I would actually like to start by
- 10 thanking you-all for being here tonight. Obviously, not
- 11 the entire commission is here.
- 12 You've taken the time to hear some pretty
- 13 fired-up comments and some frustration about what's
- 14 potentially going to happen with the Ohio River. I know
- 15 not everybody at the -- the dais there necessarily
- 16 agrees with that recommendation, so I want to thank you
- 17 for being here and for listening to us tonight.
- 18 The Sierra Club Ohio Chapter stands with our
- 19 brothers and sisters in Indiana and Kentucky, and the
- 20 other five member states of ORSANCO, in asking you,
- 21 urging you, to adopt some combination of alternatives
- 22 three and four and to reject alternative two. You've
- 23 heard this before.
- We are adamantly opposed to any efforts to
- 25 weaken or eliminate standards that protect public health

- 1 and the environment in the Ohio River Valley.
- I want to point something out: ORSANCO was
- 3 established by a interstate compact in 1948. 1948 was
- 4 also the year that the federal Water Pollution Control
- 5 Act was established. Now, you may know that that was
- 6 the precursor to what we now call the Clean Water Act.
- 7 It was amended in 1972 somewhat significantly,
- 8 and now we call it the Clean Water Act.
- 9 When ORSANCO was created, I'm going to quote
- 10 here, its mission was "to control the future pollution
- 11 and the abatement of existing pollution in the waters of
- 12 the basin, which are of prime importance to the people
- 13 thereof and can best be accomplished through the
- 14 cooperation of the states and by and through a joint or
- 15 common agency; i.e. ORSANCO."
- When the Clean Water Act was essentially
- 17 created in 1972, it included a goal, the national goal,
- 18 to eliminate the discharge of pollutants into any
- 19 habitable water in the country by 1985.
- Okay. It's 2018. There's still a lot of
- 21 pollution entering the Ohio River. Now, the Clean Water
- 22 Act has done a tremendous job. The states have done
- 23 some things. Nothing in the last 70 years has suggested
- 24 that their efforts are redundant. I can really think of
- only one thing that's massively changed in the last 70

- 1 years, and that is that we currently have an
- 2 administration who's goal is to dismantle every
- 3 environmental protection that we have in this country.
- 4 Now, I'm not saying that you are necessarily a
- 5 product of that administration, but there seems to be an
- 6 opportunity for dischargers to game this system in this
- 7 current moment in time.
- 8 Don't do it. Please stand up. Please do the
- 9 role that you were appointed to play and protect our
- 10 water quality in every way that you have at your
- 11 disposal. One of the most important ways is to
- 12 establish the water pollution control standards that
- 13 interact with and enhance what the states are already
- 14 doing to protect us in coordination with federal EPA.
- 15 Congress understood that federal EPA is not
- 16 alone in this effort. Creating things like the citizens
- 17 due provision, which is explicitly there so that the
- 18 public can file lawsuits when the Ohio -- or federal EPA
- 19 doesn't have the capacity or resources to do so.
- The states can't do it all. EPA can't do it
- 21 all.
- We have to row together. So please, between
- 23 now and October, do some soul searching. Talk to the
- 24 folks who appointed you, listen to the people in this
- 25 room and the probably hundreds, if not thousands, of

- 1 comments you're going to receive between now and August
- 2 20, and do the right thing.
- 3 Thank you very much.
- 4 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- Jason Flickner.
- 6 THE COURT: Hi. (coughs) excuse me. I am
- 7 Jason Flickner. I am the director of the new Lower Ohio
- 8 River Waterkeeper Organization. Some of you may know
- 9 who Waterkeeper Alliance is, and I have long comments
- 10 prepared that I'm going to forego about Waterkeeper
- 11 Alliance's legal department analyzing this decision.
- 12 What I'm going to talk about is where I live,
- 13 which is in New Albany, Indiana. And when rain falls,
- it falls in my back yard, and I am the top of the
- 15 watershed. And it trickles down into Falling Run Creek,
- 16 which goes directly into the Ohio River.
- 17 That back yard was my grandfather's home, and
- 18 I've taken over that home, which means I will be there
- 19 permanently for the rest of my life. And I'm starting
- 20 this waterkeeper organization because I am sick of
- 21 living next to the most polluted river in the United
- 22 States of America.
- We are operating this organization with \$500
- in the bank, and I spent \$189 of it today to produce the
- 25 posters that you'll see outside, the display that

- 1 ORSANCO's standards are important, and they are not
- 2 redundant.
- And what I will do with the remainder money
- 4 that I have in my bank account and the money that I will
- 5 be given to build this organization, is working every
- 6 single day to prove that ORSANCO's standards are not
- 7 redundant. And if this is passed, I'll work every
- 8 single day to make sure every single ORSANCO standard is
- 9 adopted by the states of Kentucky and Indiana.
- 10 Thank you very much.
- 11 MR. FREVERT: Debra Simms.
- 12 DEBRA SIMMS: Hello. My name is Debra Simms.
- 13 I am a member of CUFA. CUFA is Communities United For
- 14 Action. What CUFA does, they give a voice to moderate
- 15 and low income people. I'm going to speak today.
- 16 Cincinnati had a problem. We have been paying
- into a sewer system, Metropolitan Sewer District, to get
- 18 our sewer system fixed, our 150-year-old sewer system.
- 19 We started having flooding, what they call the
- 20 hundred year floods, the hundred year rains. And a lot
- 21 of people, basements, yards, got flooded, and some
- 22 people got sick.
- 23 And they lost their furnaces. They lost their
- 24 -- their things that they had, keepsakes that they had
- 25 in their basements. They lost these things. And CUFA

- 1 had to be fighting. We've been fighting to help these
- 2 people get some money back so they can relive their
- 3 lives again.
- 4 But it's a hard battle because the City of
- 5 Cincinnati owns the water, and Metropolitan Sewer
- 6 District is owned by the county. So they don't want to
- 7 talk. They don't want to come together and solve the
- 8 problem, because we want to be able, the people of
- 9 Cincinnati and Hamilton County, to be able to monitor
- 10 because they lost \$65 million, and we don't know where
- 11 it went.
- So we want to be able to monitor this. Now I
- 13 come here today. I don't have a -- a -- a paper ready
- 14 or anything to say, you know, written out. Now you tell
- 15 me you're going to deregulate the river? This is the
- only place we can get our water from. We're already
- 17 fighting a battle. Now we got to fight another battle?
- 18 We shouldn't have to. This should not be.
- 19 Let's get this straight. We need the regulations.
- 20 There are companies -- we know there are companies all
- 21 along the river, and if we don't watch them, they're
- 22 going to pollute our water. This is the way we live.
- 23 We cannot live without water. Our children cannot live.
- 24 Our -- our grandchildren cannot live. We cannot afford
- 25 this to happen.

- 1 So I'm telling you, I'm asking you, I'm
- 2 begging you, please don't deregulate. Keep up with what
- 3 you're doing. In fact, get some more restrictions so we
- 4 won't have a dirty river.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MR. FREVERT: Shannon Isaacs.
- 7 SHANNON ISAACS: Good evening, and thank you
- 8 all for the opportunity to speak about this really
- 9 important issue. I'll keep this fairly brief.
- 10 I'm here to speak out in opposition of the
- 11 deregulation of the Ohio River. It's an issue that I
- 12 think is a deep concern, and I have three major reasons
- 13 that I think are really critical.
- 14 The first of all is that this is -- when we're
- 15 talking about deregulating pollution, we're talking
- 16 about the living space for billions of living things. I
- 17 think life on Earth matters. I think that every living
- 18 thing matters. And we're talking about these living
- 19 things. They're not just -- you know, they're not just
- 20 nothing. You know, this is billions of living things.
- 21 Secondly, so many medical advances come from
- 22 plant life and from ecosystems. When we're talking
- 23 about safeguarding ecosystems, we're talking about
- 24 safeguarding the future of medical research. Critical
- 25 medicines, from aspirin to codeine to Tamiflu to quinine

- 1 are all plant-based medicines.
- These medical pieces come from our ecosystems.
- They come from our preserved ecosystems. I
- 4 want to live in a world, and I know you-all do too,
- 5 where my children do not have to face the same diseases
- 6 that we're facing today, where medical research
- 7 continues to move forward.
- 8 And, finally, what we have seen globally is
- 9 the geopolitical role of water is critical to the
- 10 greater Cincinnati area and to your areas, as well. We
- 11 are seeing places that are running out of water. We are
- 12 seeing this in South Africa. We're seeing this in
- 13 Phoenix, Arizona, where they're really struggling.
- And what does this mean? And it doesn't mean
- 15 that much today in Cincinnati. It's not that critical.
- But as this continues as a global trend, our
- 17 significance as a region, and our ability to attract
- 18 businesses, our ability to function, our ability to
- 19 provide basic services, our -- our importance as a
- 20 region is dependent and will be enhanced by having clean
- 21 water, by having a clean Ohio River.
- 22 So I think that's an important piece of what
- 23 it means to be in Ohio and what the role of Cincinnati,
- 24 Ohio, and of the -- of the region as a whole will be
- 25 looking in the future as people are having to move due

- 1 to reduced water and due to climate change.
- 2 So really I just have three points.
- One: Living things matter. Two: The future
- 4 of medical research matters. The future of our children
- 5 and the future of our elderly and the future of our sick
- 6 matter. And -- and three: The geopolitical role of
- 7 clean water is critical to this region, and ten years
- 8 down the line, 20 years down the line, we will not be
- 9 sorry that we have a cleaner water.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 MR. FREVERT: I'm going to have to apologize
- in advance because I'm not sure I can pronounce the next
- 13 name properly. It's Randi Pokladnik, Pokladnik.
- 14 RANDI POKLADNIK: Okay. That wasn't too bad.
- 15 My name is Dr. Randi Pokladnik, and I have my Ph.D. in
- 16 environmental studies, and I have a bachelor's degree in
- 17 chemistry, and my family and all of my extended family
- 18 live in small communities all along the Ohio River.
- 19 I was born and raised in Toronto, Ohio. We
- 20 actually could walk to the Ohio River. I have family in
- 21 Follansbee, West Virginia, Wellsburg, friends in
- 22 Wheeling, friends in Shadyside, all the way down to
- 23 Marietta. I have friends and family along that region.
- 24 My husband and I came over five and a half
- 25 hours from Uhrichsville, Ohio, to talk today about this

- 1 issue, and I'll try to make it brief.
- When I was a child, the Ohio River was very
- 3 dirty. I was born in 1955, so I was before -- born
- 4 before all the laws came into effect. And by the time I
- 5 was a teenager and ready to choose a profession and
- 6 college, I decided to go into environmental engineering.
- 7 And at that time, if you fell in the Ohio
- 8 River, there's a good chance you were going to get sick
- 9 and come out with an oil slick on your head.
- Now, it has improved, and some of the mills
- 11 that have been along the Ohio River shut down, but I see
- 12 something coming down the road that scares me to death,
- 13 and it's the oil and gas industry. Already it's
- 14 affected so many communities, and you heard people talk
- 15 about it today.
- 16 And -- and these are not your grandfather's
- 17 pollutants. These are things like organic chlorinated
- 18 hydrocarbons that get into the fat tissue of humans and
- 19 other species and cause endocrine disruption, and these
- 20 are things that we should be very aware of and very
- 21 scared of because they can wreck havoc with the
- 22 endocrine system in small minute quantities.
- 23 So do we need ORSANCO? We -- you bet you we
- 24 do.
- 25 We need them more now than ever before. And a

- 1 lot of these compounds have to be tested using a gas
- 2 chromatograph, mass spectrometer, and I don't trust that
- 3 the states would have the capability of doing this,
- 4 purchasing the equipment, training the people to
- 5 actually use the equipment and interpreting the results
- 6 that they get once they do the testing.
- 7 When I was young, I had a professor in
- 8 college, and he was one of my first chemistry teachers.
- 9 And he said, "You can't live in a toilet and expect to
- 10 be healthy." And I feel like right now, that's what
- 11 we're doing, given that the Ohio River is the most
- 12 dirtiest river in the United States.
- 13 And it could get a lot dirtier, and things
- 14 could get a lot worse, and the cancer rates could spike.
- 15 I lost both my parents to cancer. It's not a fun way to
- 16 die, not that any way is fun, but this is something that
- 17 we have to think about for future generations. What do
- 18 we want this river valley to look like, and -- and what
- 19 do we want to give to our children as a legacy?
- 20 I -- I just find it disturbing that nobody
- 21 from industry showed up here today. So I'm hoping that
- 22 it's not a done deal. I'm hoping that this is not like
- one of the other people that spoke said, a dog and pony
- 24 show so it just looks like token participation by the
- 25 public, but in the end, they've already dotted the Is

- 1 and crossed the Ts, and it's a go for -- for option
- 2 number two.
- 3 So I would urge you to go back and talk to
- 4 your legal politicians, write the governor, write
- 5 anybody that you can about how you oppose this -- this
- 6 option two. And, you know, as far as I'm concerned, I
- 7 think this is something that we need to get on because
- 8 this is our lives, and -- and we're risking our lives if
- 9 we let options two go in.
- 10 So -- so don't let this be -- if they vote for
- 11 it, don't let this be your stopping point. Keep pushing
- 12 for something better because we deserve it, and our
- 13 grand kids, too.
- 14 Thank you.
- MR. FREVERT: Jonathan Woods. Jonathan Woods?
- I'll set that aside, and maybe he'll come
- 17 back.
- 18 Hank Grandy? Graddy? Grady?
- 19 MR. FREVERT: Graddy. Is Hank in the room?
- 20 I'm struggling with these names.
- 21 HANK GRADDY: Good evening. My name is Hank
- 22 Graddy. I am from Midway, Kentucky, so I drove from
- 23 central Kentucky to be here tonight, and I want to begin
- 24 by thanking ORSANCO for holding this public hearing. I
- 25 think the last time I was here -- last time that I knew

- of a ORSANCO public hearing that mattered to me, I think
- 2 I may have been in this room, or in this building.
- And I want to express a long-term appreciation
- 4 for the opportunity that I -- that I've had to work with
- 5 ORSANCO. And I want to thank ORSANCO for its history
- 6 and for what it has accomplished.
- 7 I am chair of the Sierra Club, Cumberland
- 8 Chapter Water Committee. I have served on the ORSANCO
- 9 watershed organization advisory committee, the WOAC,
- 10 since it was established. We submitted comments on
- 11 behalf of the Cumberland Chapter in February, and I'm
- 12 resubmitting them today. I'm not going to read them,
- 13 but I want to highlight some of the points and the
- 14 comments we made then.
- In summary, we believe that setting Ohio River
- 16 pollution control standards is the most important work
- 17 that ORSANCO does. If the ORSANCO board adopts the
- 18 alternative two, to stop setting pollution control
- 19 standards for the Ohio River, such action may call into
- 20 question the purpose of ORSANCO.
- Now, I am mindful of the role of monitoring
- 22 and the role of education, and a number of other aspects
- 23 of water pollution management, and ORSANCO performed
- 24 some of those, maybe all of them, some other entities.
- 25 But ORSANCO is in a unique position to do

- 1 something that no one else can do, and that is set water
- 2 quality standards for the Ohio River. And that is what
- 3 you have done, and because you are uniquely in a
- 4 position to do it, I urge you to keep doing it.
- Now, I have worked with ORSANCO, ORSANCO
- 6 supported watershed watch in Kentucky monitoring efforts
- 7 in the past when ORSANCO had funding to do it. And I
- 8 have worked with ORSANCO in other respects. ORSANCO is
- 9 -- spoken at conferences that I've held about the dead
- 10 zone in New Orleans, and I consider ORSANCO to be a
- 11 great ally in my efforts on behalf of the Sierra Club to
- 12 improve water quality. And I think this proposal is a
- 13 unfortunate step backwards.
- I want to talk for a minute about some things
- 15 that have come tonight, redundancy. God gave us two
- 16 eyes and one mouth, and some say that is because God is
- 17 trying to get us to listen twice as much as we speak.
- Some people don't seem to understand that, but
- 19 I believe that there was an intent to give us two ears
- 20 and two lungs and two of some other organs, and there's
- 21 nothing wrong with that plan.
- It is, frankly, good planning. It's
- 23 engineering design. We put two pilots in an airplane, a
- 24 commercial airplane. We do that for a reason.
- 25 Redundancy is sometimes extremely good policy. I am a

- 1 lawyer, and we have two court systems in this nation.
- 2 We have a federal and a state court system.
- Few people argue that we are over justiced,
- 4 that we should remove some of the justice. We need for
- 5 both of those systems to work as well or better than
- 6 they're currently working. And I look at ORSANCO and
- 7 its relationship to the states and the EPA as a similar
- 8 system, where we benefit from EPA doing some national
- 9 science and providing guidance that helps us set
- 10 standards and, in some cases, promulgating standards,
- 11 and we benefit from state efforts to set standards, but
- 12 we also desperately need ORSANCO's standard setting.
- In my written comments, I refer to my friends,
- 14 Bruce Scott and Peter Goodman. I -- I call them
- 15 friends. I think they would call me friends, as well as
- 16 Tom Fitzgerald. And I've known Bruce and Peter for a
- 17 long time. As far as I know, Peter has spent his entire
- 18 professional life working for the division of water,
- 19 from the ground water department through director of the
- 20 division of water.
- 21 And I believe that he is very committed to
- 22 improving water quality across the Commonwealth. I know
- 23 the same thing about Bruce Scott. I'm not here to
- 24 criticize them. Frankly, I'm here to begin the job of
- 25 trying to persuade them to change their vote to support

- 1 options four or five.
- We should be here tonight, but we should be
- 3 talking about setting new trade standards for the Ohio
- 4 River and not talking about removing those standards.
- 5 I'd like to tender an article in circle of
- 6 blue, "More Evidence that Nitrate Cancer Risk in
- 7 Drinking Water, " with my comments.
- 8 Thank you very much. Those are my comments.
- 9 MR. FREVERT: Cara Cooper.
- 10 CARA COOPER: Hi. Good evening. I think I
- 11 might be the last to go, so --
- MR. FREVERT: No, no, no, no, no.
- 13 CARA COOPER: No? Really? Sorry I got your
- 14 hopes up.
- I work with the Kentucky Student Environmental
- 16 Coalition. We're a network of young people all across
- 17 the State of Kentucky. We have 1,800 members. And
- 18 there's not much I could say that hasn't already been
- 19 said. I do think that it is interesting to note that of
- 20 all the hearings I've been to, this was the first time
- 21 that we haven't had industry folks in the room.
- I think that that's something worth noting.
- 23 And it definitely makes me afraid that maybe this
- 24 doesn't actually matter.
- Like I said, there's not much that I can say

- 1 that hasn't been said, but I do want to say that when
- 2 making this decision, I hope that you-all will think
- 3 about what your mothers would say. Think about what
- 4 your granny would say. Think about what you will tell
- 5 your children; what you will tell your grandchildren.
- 6 You know, I work with a lot of folks who are
- 7 14 to 30, and when we look around at what's happening in
- 8 the world, what's happening in our country, it's not a
- 9 very pretty picture. It's not a very hopeful future.
- 10 And, you know, I think that we're getting
- 11 really sick and tired of begging people to stop killing
- 12 us.
- 13 We shouldn't have to be here. We shouldn't
- 14 have to be in this room. We shouldn't have to be asking
- 15 you to please not poison our water. I mean, it's just
- 16 absurd to think that you would even offer that as an
- 17 option. You know, sometimes I just wonder how you sleep
- 18 at night, because I can't sleep at night thinking about
- 19 what the future holds.
- 20 You know, I think about wanting to have
- 21 children some day, and my friends, think about wanting
- 22 to have children some day and we're honestly asking
- 23 ourselves, is that even fair? Is it even fair to bring
- 24 a child into a world where there are industries that are
- 25 buying our politicians, when there are industries that

- 1 are deciding whether we get to breathe clean air or
- 2 drink clean water?
- And I shouldn't have to be asking myself, is
- 4 it fair to bring a child into this world? You know, I
- 5 have friends who are -- are literally choosing not to
- 6 have children, not because they don't want to be
- 7 parents, but because the world is a scary freaking place
- 8 right now, and we can't even trust our regulators to do
- 9 the right thing.
- 10 I'm asking you, please, please stop making us
- 11 beg for clean water. You have an important job to do.
- 12 If you don't like your job, quit. Someone will do your
- 13 job for you. Please, like so many have asked already
- 14 tonight, just do the right thing. You know what the
- 15 right thing is. Do the right thing.
- 16 MR. FREVERT: Jennifer Warner. Excuse me,
- 17 Warner.
- 18 JENNIFER WARNER: Hi. My name's Jen Warner.
- 19 I didn't have any intention of speaking tonight, but I
- 20 worked in environmental protection four years ago, and I
- 21 worked for a utility 30 years ago, and for the last 20
- 22 years, I've had a small business in the tourism
- 23 industry.
- I want to, first of all, encourage you-all to
- 25 do not change any of the existing regulations. They

- 1 need to be made stronger. Lots of people have made that
- 2 case tonight, and it is very true and very obvious.
- 3 Much more needs to be done. Look at the goals that were
- 4 set when ORSANCO was set up, and follow those goals,
- 5 rather than try to gut it.
- 6 With my experience in industry, I can tell you
- 7 that all of these industries have been planning for
- 8 years to meet these standards. It's not something they
- 9 -- they say, oh, gee, this standard has been here for 15
- 10 years. I guess we better plan to meet it tomorrow, and
- 11 that's going to cost us whatever.
- This is a long-range plan. And the money's
- 13 already budgeted. Gutting all these standards is just
- 14 going to mean all kinds of people are going to have
- 15 different jobs, and the executives and the board members
- 16 of these corporations are going to get great big fat
- 17 bonuses.
- 18 The current political climate is to gut all
- 19 regulations and provide more money for big business.
- That doesn't work for those -- for any of us.
- 21 We've got to live on this earth.
- Do any of you have children? Do any of you
- 23 have grandchildren? I'm 62 years old, and I debated
- 24 whether it was -- whether I should have a child 23 years
- 25 ago because of the situation that the Earth was facing.

- 1 And I have one now. And when we moved to Cincinnati, a
- 2 month after we moved to Cincinnati, I spent three days
- 3 in children's hospital with her because she couldn't
- 4 breathe the air.
- If you have ever sat with your child, with any
- 6 child, with any family member, watching them fight to
- 7 breathe, you would not be gutting pollution standards.
- 8 Your position seems to be simple greed, and
- 9 that's the current climate, and I am disgusted by it.
- 10 My dad was an elected Republican for 28 years
- 11 back when being Republican meant you were fiscally
- 12 responsible and you cared about people. He authored an
- 13 education program in Indiana that was exemplary for the
- 14 nation.
- That wouldn't be done by a Republican in this
- 16 day and age, and it's disgusting.
- 17 Think about your future generations. Behave
- 18 responsibly. Be adults. That's what's missing in the
- 19 world today. Be responsible adults. We all have to
- 20 live in this region and are served by the Ohio River.
- 21 Please take care of it.
- 22 MR. FREVERT: Martin Marks.
- 23 MARTIN MARKS: Good evening. My name's Martin
- 24 Marks. I live in Milford, Ohio. I work in the City of
- 25 Cincinnati where most of the drinking water, I think all

- of it really, comes from the Ohio River. My friends and
- 2 family live in the city of Cincinnati. They depend on
- 3 the Ohio River for their drinking water, for the water
- 4 they bathe in, for the water they need to survive.
- 5 At a time where catastrophes like Flint,
- 6 Michigan, and Standing Rock are allowed to occur,
- 7 pollution is allowed to run rampant, it is vital now
- 8 more than ever that we strengthen environmental
- 9 protections, not eliminate them.
- 10 The Ohio River is considered one of the
- 11 dirtiest rivers in the country, so it is no surprise
- 12 that 97 percent of commenters during the first public
- 13 commenting period opposed option two. What's more,
- 14 these regulations are hardly redundant or pointless.
- 15 Your own reports have found over 100 pollutants where
- 16 ORSANCO has issued regulations on which the federal and
- 17 member state governments have issued no such guidelines.
- There are also over 250 instances where
- 19 ORSANCO guidelines are 10 percent -- up to 10 percent
- 20 stronger than the preexisting ones. To eliminate these
- 21 regulations will do more than just create dangerous gaps
- 22 in protection. It'll actively harm more than anything
- 23 else.
- It is often the case that we find that the
- 25 human rights we take for granted, such as clean drinking

- 1 water, are under threat by forces, who through their own
- 2 self-interest, endanger the rights of the majority,
- 3 often in the pursuit of profit.
- 4 What I have found is some of these proposals
- 5 on the table reflect that observation wholesale.
- 6 Alternative two seems to be where this
- 7 commission is leaning, and it seeks to eliminate the
- 8 environmental protections five million people depend on
- 9 for continued access to clean drinking water.
- 10 Culprits of extreme pollution, like AK Steel,
- 11 Alcoa, First Energy, and Duke Energy stand to gain a lot
- 12 from the repeal of these regulations. Coincidentally,
- 13 some of the members of this commission have worked for
- or on behalf of industry organizations. I'm sure this
- 15 has been brought up. But it's very important to
- 16 remember that these folks have made money for these
- 17 industries.
- 18 For instance, Commissioner Snavely from
- 19 Kentucky has retired from the industrious career at
- 20 Excel Mining.
- 21 Commissioner Caperton, from West Virginia,
- 22 previously worked for Massey Energy. Commissioner
- 23 Flannery, of West Virginia, is a member of the National
- 24 Coal Council.
- 25 And the esteemed commission chair, Mr.

- 1 Potesta, has represented clients such as DuPont, whose
- 2 track record of environmental disregard is, frankly,
- 3 appalling.
- 4 If this -- if this commission pursues
- 5 alternative two, it'll be a demonstration of corruption
- 6 in action that threaten the lives of millions of people
- 7 for years to come.
- 8 The eyes of five million people are upon you.
- 9 Make the right call.
- MR. FREVERT: Steve Meyers.
- 11 STEVE MEYERS: My name's Steve Meyers. I'm a
- 12 native of Cincinnati. I was born here. Did my
- 13 undergraduate and graduate degrees at the University of
- 14 Cincinnati. Played sports at University of Cincinnati.
- I was very fortunate to be born in and raised
- in Milford, Ohio. We have a national and scenic river
- 17 there, the Little Miami and the East Fork of the Little
- 18 Miami. They are tributaries of the Ohio River, as is
- 19 the Great Miami, the Hocking, the Scioto, et cetera.
- 20 We have some of the best fresh water rivers in
- 21 the United States of America in Ohio. And I've learned
- 22 a lot. Over the years, my son and daughter started an
- 23 environmental club at Milford High School that paid for
- 24 itself by collecting cans and paper and things like
- 25 that.

- 1 My daughter's an environmental scientist
- 2 battling the longhorn beetle and the emerald ash borer,
- 3 which, unfortunately, is centrally located at East Fork
- 4 State Park, partial named after Bill Harsha, our former
- 5 congressman. And I have attended some seminars,
- 6 including ones put on by Professor O'Reilly over there.
- 7 I would highly recommend that anybody that has
- 8 the opportunity, to do that. He's an awesome author, an
- 9 awesome expert on environmental law and environmental
- 10 issues, and I was very impressed.
- 11 So, my kids made it through college. She was
- 12 at Transylvania and UK doing sports and studying
- 13 environmental science. My son went to OU. He, in turn,
- 14 with the city manager of Cincinnati, one of the city --
- or one of the county commissioners in Hamilton County
- 16 and also one of the U.S. Senators from Ohio, met all
- 17 these people and was talked into running for office back
- 18 in 2012.
- 19 My background's in HR, human resources, the
- 20 people side of business, and I worked for some pretty
- 21 big companies along the way. I've seen good, and I've
- 22 seen bad. And I'm working on a doctorate degree to --
- 23 to finalize and go back and teach what's going on that
- 24 I've experienced.
- 25 What I would like to say is that in -- in

- 1 Milford, we have some of the best water around the area.
- When there were droughts, Indian Hill would
- 3 come in and pipe water in over to their facilities that
- 4 they needed.
- 5 We had a natural aquifer there. We also, at
- 6 one point in time, had a site designated as a Superfund
- 7 site in
- 8 Milford, due to a dry cleaning operation that had
- 9 leached some chemicals out into one of the wells.
- 10 Milford since took action, brought in some
- 11 current technology to help deal with that about 15 years
- 12 ago, and it -- it -- it's so unfortunate that that was
- 13 the only Superfund site named in Clermont County,
- 14 because one of my -- one of my plans was for increased
- 15 jobs in the area, especially the counties from east of
- 16 Hamilton County all the way through Scioto County, are
- 17 among the poorest in the State of Ohio.
- 18 We have in Clermont County, in Jackson
- 19 Township, the highest topographical area in Clermont
- 20 County, a one billion gallon toxic waste dump. Not many
- 21 people know about that, and those that did some have
- 22 forgotten it.
- 23 It's called CECOS. I put a media dossier
- 24 together with articles from 1984 to current, articles
- 25 that have been written about this. The chemicals in

- 1 CECOS were generated by all kinds of companies; General
- 2 Electric, General Motors, Procter & Gamble, et cetera,
- 3 et cetera.
- 4 Back when it was first started, my family were
- 5 builders. My six uncles, dad, World War II veterans,
- 6 built homes. My great-grandfather was one of the
- 7 original designers and builders of Mariemont. They
- 8 would take organic waste up there when it was an open
- 9 dump, and you went in, you -- you measured -- you know,
- 10 you got your pickup truck measured, and you dump what
- 11 you dumped, and you paid what you paid.
- But it was all organics then. Then they found
- 13 out that Clermont County clay compacts real easy, and it
- 14 compacts real thoroughly. So then they got the bright
- 15 idea of dumping some liquid waste in there, and that
- 16 went for several years until -- there are two creeks
- 17 surrounding the 230-acre site that were starting to
- 18 experience fish kills.
- 19 There was pink liquid that was being released
- 20 through the ground into the creeks, and it caused quite
- 21 a stir. So some of the articles in here, one from 1984
- 22 is from The New York Times. It made the national scene.
- 23 Another one from The Los Angeles times. And
- 24 we have a lot from the local papers.
- But the point -- I'm good. Thank you -- the

- 1 point I'm trying to make here is that nobody has done
- 2 anything about this. So I -- I did a lot of research on
- 3 Fernald, the radioactive problem that we had over in
- 4 Ross, Ohio. That was -- was named a Superfund site. It
- 5 was by -- by the U.S. Congress, who are the ones that
- 6 have to do that. And we -- we lack such leadership in
- 7 our congressional representatives. They're a bunch of
- 8 lickspittles. They do nothing for the people that elect
- 9 them there, okay?
- 10 So I was talked into running, so I ran. I
- 11 didn't win, but I won the debates and took a big hunk
- out of my opponent's derriere. So they want me to run
- 13 again. I'm going to run again. The problem that we
- 14 have in CECOS is not if but when. When they finally
- 15 started to shore up the 19 football field size pits that
- 16 are filled with PCBs, heavy metals, phenols, any kind of
- 17 liquid you can think of, every year this stuff
- 18 chemically reacts with -- with itself, and it percolates
- 19 some up to the top, some to the point, about 300,000
- 20 gallons, that they have to take out west and destroy it
- 21 with 5,000 degree heat.
- Now, they've done nothing about CECOS, and
- 23 they -- they finally got to the point that they lined
- 24 the pits that they were building with 2 foot thick
- 25 rubber bladders. That stuff is setting up there

- 1 underneath the ground, and it's not a matter of if but
- 2 when. These bladders were designed to last 45 to 50
- 3 years. Well, we're currently about year 35 right now,
- 4 and the clock is ticking.
- Now, think about this: It's surrounded by two
- 6 creeks. Above Williamsburg, these creeks feed into the
- 7 East Fork River, that then feeds into East Fork Harsha
- 8 Lake, which is the largest aquifer in Clermont County
- 9 and largest drinking source in Clermont County, which
- 10 eventually feeds into the Ohio River.
- 11 Now, as part of an --
- MR. FREVERT: Steve --
- 13 STEVE MEYERS: What's that?
- 14 MR. FREVERT: -- if you want to come back and
- 15 finish after we finish the other --
- 16 STEVE MEYERS: Okay.
- 17 MR. FREVERT: -- guests, that's fine.
- 18 STEVE MEYERS: All right.
- 19 MR. FREVERT: Unless it's close.
- 20 STEVE MEYERS: It's close. It's close.
- 21 MR. FREVERT: We'll give you more opportunity,
- 22 but I don't want to go too --
- 23 STEVE MEYERS: I got you. I got you.
- We -- we formed a ValleyViewCampus.org. Go on
- 25 and look at it. We have bald eagle, we have beavers, we

- 1 have all kinds of natural hiking trails out in Milford,
- 2 Ohio. It's on a site of the Gatch Farm, which dates
- 3 back to 1797. And we're fighting desperately to keep
- 4 that pristine, because it's on the East Fork River.
- Right up river, we have a uniform company that
- 6 runs a cleaning part of their business that has large
- 7 80,000 gallon -- I would describe it like a concrete
- 8 truck mixer. They wash the uniforms, and all that stuff
- 9 goes in the local -- local water, you know, after
- 10 they've dumped it.
- 11 So what I would say to everybody is, take a
- 12 look at CECOS. I'm going to give this to the committee
- 13 here.
- 14 They've done nothing about it. It's not been
- 15 designated as a Superfund site. If it were, it would be
- 16 like what happened in Fernald, a \$2 billion site that
- 17 gainfully employed about 20,000 people over 20 years in
- 18 jobs ranging from 15 to \$65 an hour, to remediate that
- 19 site.
- That's what we need to do.
- 21 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- 22 STEVE MEYERS: Thank you.
- MR. FREVERT: Marie Enonley.
- MARIE ENONLEY: Good evening, everyone. I
- 25 imagine at this point everyone is getting a little bit

- 1 tired, so I'm going to start with some wise words.
- 2 "Nature is party to all our deals and
- 3 delusions, and she has more votes, a longer memory, and
- 4 a steeper sense of justice than we do." Does anyone
- 5 recognize those words? Those words were written by
- 6 Wendell Berry, a native Kentuckian that seems apropos
- 7 for today. He was, in fact, the first living writer
- 8 adopted into the Kentucky Writers Hall of Fame, so that
- 9 indicates to me that a lot of people thought his words
- 10 were worth reading, repeating, and considering.
- 11 He also advocated solving for pattern -- for -
- 12 solving for pattern, which means finding solutions
- 13 that solve multiple issues while minimizing the creation
- 14 of new problems. I think one can argue that going
- 15 backwards in time with our standards may lead to some
- 16 unintended consequences because the world is changing.
- There are more people, more pollutants,
- 18 different types of pollutants, and we all know that the
- 19 law often doesn't keep up with changing technology. As
- 20 a former science teacher, right? We didn't think at
- 21 first of having rules for cell phones in the classroom
- 22 that are a distraction because we didn't know what
- 23 problems they would cause.
- 24 That may seem like a trivial example, but
- 25 that's something everyone can relate to. So if, you

- 1 know, listening to environmentalist words isn't your cup
- 2 of tea, if you disdain tree huggers, I will also quote
- 3 Peter Drucker, somebody who business people look up to,
- 4 read, and revere.
- 5 He said -- it's a tribute, actually,
- 6 originally to Deming, but he promulgated the words --
- 7 "If you cannot measure it, you cannot improve it. You
- 8 cannot manage what you cannot measure. Also, the best
- 9 way to predict the future is to create it."
- 10 Many people have spoken about some dire
- 11 predictions if there are changes. I hope we're not
- 12 interested in creating a world worse than one that we
- 13 were given.
- 14 Furthermore, if we want to look beyond our
- 15 region, in 2010, the UN general assembly recognized
- 16 access to safe and clean drinking water and sanitation
- 17 as a basic human right. So this is globally
- 18 acknowledged.
- 19 Furthermore, a World Health Organization study
- 20 in 2012 calculated that for every dollar invested in
- 21 sanitation, there was a return of \$5.50, in lower health
- 22 costs, more productivity, and fewer premature deaths.
- That sounds pretty compelling to me.
- 24 Again, like investing in early childhood care
- 25 in preschools, right, is worth it in the long term. So

- 1 my understanding is that ORSANCO stands for the Ohio
- 2 River Valley Water Sanitation Commission, and its goal
- 3 is to ensure that the Ohio River is safe for drinking,
- 4 fishing, and recreation.
- 5 Many people have spoken about drinking
- 6 already.
- 7 I am not a -- fond of fishing myself, so I
- 8 will speak just briefly about recreation.
- 9 I actually grew up in New Jersey, so we had
- 10 the mighty Atlantic Ocean to visit. I'll be honest,
- 11 when I first came to Cincinnati, I looked at the Ohio
- 12 River and said, (sound), this is the water I get to hang
- 13 out by?
- 14 Didn't quite cut it compared to the ocean.
- However, over the decades that I've been here,
- 16 I've grown a fondness for it, especially as the area on
- 17 the north side of the river, as well as the south, has
- 18 been improved with all sorts of recreation attractions.
- So it seems to me if the river gets smelly,
- 20 stinky, and otherwise unpleasant, that that investment
- 21 of beautifying and enriching our riverside to make it an
- 22 attractive place to visit is not going to be money well
- 23 spent.
- 24 Again, you know what your mission is. I'm
- 25 here because when my grandchildren ask me, where were

- 1 you when the shit hit the river, so to speak, what did
- 2 you do? There are other people tonight that have other
- 3 obligations that might say, well, it's not my job, but
- 4 it is your job.
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 MR. FREVERT: Rich Cogan.
- 7 RICH COGAN: Good evening. My name is Rich
- 8 Cogan. I'm executive director of Ohio River Foundation.
- 9 We're a regional conservation organization
- 10 based in Cincinnati, and we represent the 25 million or
- 11 so people that live in the watershed.
- 12 First, I want to thank the commission for
- 13 having this hearing. It's always a pleasure to -- to
- 14 visit with you as well as to provide an opportunity for
- 15 the public to be involved and provide comment on
- 16 anything that ORSANCO does. I want to thank the guests
- 17 who are still here for their perseverance and staying
- 18 till 9:00.
- 19 I did make a -- a bet that it was going to go
- 20 till 9:00, and I think I won that bet.
- 21 But I want to thank you all, especially I
- 22 heard some very eloquent explanations as to why people
- 23 think adoption of alternative two is not the right
- 24 course.
- 25 Also, I want the commission to understand that

- 1 while the capacity of the room was met, that these
- 2 people represent the 25 million people who could not be
- 3 here, that the number of organizations that were
- 4 represented as far as speakers are concerned only
- 5 numbered about ten organizations.
- 6 So of the others that were here, the 85 or so
- 7 quests, the rest were just, as one person said, a
- 8 regular person. But the importance of a regular person
- 9 should not be understated.
- 10 As far as the hearings are concerned, there
- 11 was a, I'll admit, a late ask for additional hearings in
- 12 addition to this hearing. However, the attendees at
- 13 this hearing I think illustrate the importance that
- 14 people hold their water quality in the Ohio River. The
- 15 attendees primarily, I believe, if not everyone, was
- 16 only from Indiana, Ohio, and Kentucky, and West
- 17 Virginia. There was nobody from Pennsylvania or
- 18 Illinois, as well as Virginia's also one of the basin
- 19 states. And New York. Thank you.
- 20 So I ask ORSANCO to take that in
- 21 consideration as far as their time line is concerned. I
- 22 know that they are trying to rush to an October 4th or
- 23 6th meeting deadline for consideration of alternative
- 24 two, but perhaps holding additional hearings is in the
- 25 best interest of the watershed and not the procedures of

- 1 ORSANCO.
- 2 Furthermore, as far as ORSANCO's decision
- 3 concerning alternative two, and stepping back from its
- 4 responsibility for writing water quality standards for
- 5 the Ohio River, I know that it is uncomfortable for
- 6 ORSANCO. Being a regulator and a regulatory body and
- 7 being in politics can be difficult and uncomfortable.
- 8 Adopting positions that the public may object
- 9 to and voice their objections.
- We saw that several years ago when the issue
- 11 about contact recreation was debated, and ORSANCO
- 12 stepped back from that particular position. This one is
- 13 a lot more serious, whether it is a budgetary decision
- or a political decision, especially if it is a budgetary
- 15 decision.
- 16 Look at the rest of the ORSANCO budget. What
- 17 is the core mission of ORSANCO? Is it monitoring and
- 18 research and not control of pollution? I believe in
- 19 looking at the compact. Control of pollution is
- 20 paramount. Not saying that monitoring research is not
- 21 important, but control of pollution should be paramount.
- The vital function of ORSANCO is to push for
- 23 uniform standards across all the basin states. If it
- 24 needs to spend more of its budget on those particular
- 25 items and functions, then so be it. And perhaps some of

- 1 the other programs need to go away.
- 2 Finally, you will receive my written comments
- 3 as you have from the rest of the watershed organization
- 4 advisory committee members, and I implore you to reject
- 5 alternative two.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 MR. FREVERT: Thank you, Rich.
- 8 That concludes the -- the list of people who
- 9 had indicated earlier on they want to comment, but
- 10 before I close the hearing, I want to make sure
- 11 everybody understands if there's anybody in the room
- 12 that still wants to make a comment, we'd be willing to
- 13 receive that.
- 14 Yes. Come on up.
- 15 MELANIE PHILLIPS: Can I add to my comment?
- MR. FREVERT: Certainly.
- 17 MELANIE PHILLIPS: Okay. I really appreciate
- 18 it.
- I promise not to get emotional.
- 20 I touched on the issue of veterans' issues. I
- 21 am a service-connected disabled veteran. I just wanted
- 22 to tell you briefly about -- I'm one of about 108,000 in
- 23 the State of Ohio. I'm a member of TRR Cincinnati. If
- 24 you're not familiar, it's Team River Runner, which is a
- 25 nonprofit group, and I just want to briefly read what

- 1 they do.
- 2 They give active duty service members and
- 3 veterans an opportunity to find health healing and
- 4 challenges through whitewater boating and other paddling
- 5 sports. The benefits of TRR have much to do with
- 6 creating a social network and support system, as they do
- 7 with learning water sports skills that provide lifestyle
- 8 that seems lost due to injury.
- 9 And it also includes -- encourages family
- 10 members to participate, which is good for veterans. So
- 11 I do want you to consider the needs of disabled veterans
- 12 and water sports.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 MR. FREVERT: Before you leave, I want to make
- 15 sure the court reporter got your name the second --
- 16 MELANIE PHILLIPS: Oh, I'm sorry. Melanie
- 17 Phillips.
- 18 MR. FREVERT: Thank you.
- 19 Yes, sir. You have one more comment?
- 20 JOHN BLAIR: Yeah, I have a short one.
- MR. FREVERT: And repeat your name, please.
- JOHN BLAIR: Yes, I will. My name is John
- 23 Blair.
- I'm with the organization Valley Watch.
- 25 Before I became an environmental health

- 1 advocate, I -- my issue that I was mostly a public
- 2 citizen about was civil liberties. And -- and civil
- 3 liberties depend upon democracy in so many ways. Well,
- 4 democracy. The comments that were put up on the screen
- 5 awhile ago that you received in the first round were 797
- 6 to 17.
- 7 Tonight, I don't know how many people spoke,
- 8 but it was 100 percent that said that they didn't want
- 9 alternative two. That's the bottom line.
- 10 JASON FLICKNER: Yeah, I'm Jason Flickner.
- 11 I'm going to add to my comments. (coughs) Excuse me.
- 12 I am the director of the Lower Ohio River
- 13 Waterkeeper. In 2006, I had the pleasure of working for
- 14 Judy Peterson at the Kentucky Waterways Alliance when we
- 15 defeated ORSANCO's proposal to adopt wet weather
- 16 standards. And we're not going to get into what wet
- 17 weather standards were in 2006, but I'm going to tell
- 18 you what we're going to do from now on to defeat this.
- ORSANCO's deadline -- we asked ORSANCO to
- 20 extend the deadline a minimum of 30 days and add two
- 21 more comments -- or two more public hearings. They
- 22 refused to do the public hearings, which is fine. I
- 23 understand the time line. They extended the comment
- 24 period to ten days to make it 55 days total. So it's
- 25 now October 20th.

- 1 That day is pretty much arbitrary -- August
- 2 20th.
- 3 I'm sorry.
- 4 After August 20th -- all of these
- 5 commissioners are appointed by the governor, so they are
- 6 doing what the governor tells them to do. So after
- 7 August 20th, we are going to start writing to the
- 8 governor, and we're going to tell the governor to stop
- 9 this proposal. We have to make a stink with the
- 10 governor's office. That's the only way this is going to
- 11 happen.
- The other thing we're going to do is kind of
- 13 rough up ORSANCO in the media. We're going to continue
- 14 to get letters to the editor, and we're going to
- 15 continue to get articles about this pretty much -- I
- 16 understand what ORSANCO's trying to do here. ORSANCO
- 17 wants to get out of the business of controversy.
- 18 By removing the criteria, you're out of the
- 19 business of controversy. You want to be an
- 20 environmental organization, and that's all great, but I
- 21 don't think that bowing to industry is the way to become
- 22 an environmental organization.
- Write the governor.
- 24 MR. FREVERT: I -- I don't see any indication
- 25 that anybody else has further comments to make, so at

```
Page 115
     this time, which is 9:13, I'm going to call the hearing
 1
     to a close. Thank you all for attending.
 2
                      (HEARING CONCLUDED AT 9:13 P.M.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 STATE OF OHIO 3 4 I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was 5 taken on the date, and at the time and place set out on the Title page hereof; and that the said matter was 6 7 recorded stenographically and mechanically by me and 8 then reduced to typewritten form under my direction, and constitutes a true record of the transcript as taken, 10 all to the best of my skill and ability. I certify that I am not a relative or employee of either counsel, and 11 that I am in no way interested financially, directly or 12 indirectly, in this action. 13 14 15 16 RACHEL MONAHAN, COURT REPORTER / NOTARY 17 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES ON: 04/16/2020 18 SUBMITTED ON: 08/08/2018 19 20 2.1 22 23 24

25