		1
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
2		
3		
4	ORSANCO PITTSBURGH PUBLIC HEARING	
5		
6	DoubleTree Hilton Green Tree	
7	500 Mansfield Avenue	
8	Pittsburgh, PA 15205	
9	Duquesne Room	
10		
11	Monday, April 1, 2019	
12	6:00 p.m.	
13		
14		
15	ORSANCO Hearing Board:	
16	Ron Potesta Chuck Duritsa	
17	Scott Mandirola	
18	ORSANCO Representatives:	
19	·	
20	Richard Harrison, Executive Director Jason Heath, Director of Technical Programs Lisa Cochran, Communications Coordinator	
21	LISA COCITAII, COMMUNICACIONS COOLUMNACOI	
22		
23		
24	REPRODUCTION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT PROHIBITED	
25	WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CERTIFYING AGENCY	
1		

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	
3	(Proceedings commence at 6:00 p.m.)
4	MR. POTESTA: Okay. It's 6:00 p.m., so
5	we'll call this hearing to order. I'd like to
6	welcome everybody. Thank you for attending. It's
7	being held to receive comments on a proposed
8	revision to ORSANCO's pollution control standards.
9	My name's Ron Potesta. I'm the
10	current chair of the Commission. Along with me is
11	Commissioner Chuck Duritsa from Pennsylvania and
12	Proxy Commissioner Scott Mandirola from West
13	Virginia.
14	This hearing was announced and a
	-
15	notice to the public was distributed on March 1st,
16	2019, pursuant to the Commission's bylaws. We
17	received, through the Comission's website, 3,266
18	e-mails of interested parties, 197 media outlets,
19	719 Ohio River permittees. A copy of the notice is
20	in the information packet, and that's available at
21	the entrance.
22	The hearing has been called under the
23	authority of the Ohio River Valley Sanitation
24	Commission Compact. That document was signed in
25	June of 1948 by the governors of the states of

- 1 Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New York, Ohio,
- 2 Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia.
- Following the enactment of the
- 4 enabling legislation by each of the states and
- 5 approval by the U.S. Congress, under Article I of
- 6 the compact, each of the signatory states promises
- 7 to take such action as is needed to maintain the
- 8 waters of the compact remain in a safe and sanitary
- 9 condition available for the use of public and
- 10 industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment
- 11 suitable for recreational usage, capable of
- 12 maintaining fish and other aquatic life and free
- 13 from unsightly odors and nuisances and adaptable to
- 14 such use as may be legitimate.
- This hearing's been called under the
- 16 authority of Compact Article VI, which authorizes
- 17 the Commission to adopt, prescribe and promulgate
- 18 rules, regulations and standards for treatment or
- 19 modification of sewage and industrial waste in such
- 20 degree as may be necessary to meet the river quality
- 21 objectives, which are specified in Article I of the
- 22 compact.
- 23 On January 10th, 2018, this Commission
- 24 published notice of its attempt to conduct a review
- of the pollution control standards. The pollution

- 1 control standards committee is proposing to revise
- 2 its pollution control standards for discharges to
- 3 the Ohio River. That is, the 2015 revision.
- 4 The purpose of this hearing is to
- 5 receive comments on those proposed revisions in
- 6 order to assist the Commission as it considers what
- 7 actions it will take with respect to those proposed
- 8 revisions. Let me emphasize that no final decisions
- 9 will be made with respect to the proposal before
- 10 you. Your comments will be an important element in
- 11 forming the revision and is part of the
- 12 decision-making process.
- 13 I would now like to call on ORSANCO's
- 14 staff right now to Richard Harrison, the executive
- 15 director, to provide a brief statement highlighting
- 16 the proposed revision.
- 17 MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 18 And on behalf of the Commission, it's a pleasure to
- 19 be here tonight. I want to first thank everyone for
- 20 taking the time to come to the hearing and listen to
- our presentation and then also provide comments to
- 22 the Commission.
- 23 So I'm going to go through a little
- 24 bit of the -- kind of how we got to this point and
- 25 really give some history for the review process. So

- 1 this has been a fairly lengthy review for this
- 2 particular proposal.
- 3 My name is Richard Harrison, and I'm
- 4 the executive director of the Commission. And then
- 5 also, we'll be introducing here, shortly, Mr. Jason
- 6 Heath, who is our technical programs director. So
- 7 Jason takes care of all of our technical programs
- 8 that we perform for the Commission.
- 9 And I'd just like to recognize our
- 10 hearing board here again. We have Commissioner
- 11 Chuck Duritsa with Pennsylvania; Commissioner Ron
- 12 Potesta from West Virginia, who is also the
- 13 Commission chairman, and Proxy Commissioner Scott
- 14 Mandirola, also from West Virginia.
- 15 And again, the purpose of the public
- 16 review is to solicit input from the public on
- 17 proposed specific revisions to pollution control
- 18 standards for discharges to the Ohio River Valley,
- 19 the 2015 revision. So that is the pollution control
- 20 standards that are currently in effect.
- 21 This particular hearing is to accept
- 22 public statements on proposed specific revisions to
- 23 pollution control standards for discharges to the
- 24 Ohio River, 2015 revision. So we will be accepting
- 25 comments for the record. We will not be responding

- 1 to that through this particular hearing. Again,
- 2 this is just an outreach to accept comments on a
- 3 particular proposal, and then Mr. Heath will be
- 4 going through other mechanisms for comments to
- 5 become part of the record.
- 6 So again, we're providing a brief
- 7 presentation, and then we will be inviting you to
- 8 make formal statements for the record. We do have a
- 9 court reporter that is going to be transcribing all
- 10 of the statements verbatim, and then we'll
- 11 ultimately get that up on our website here and see
- 12 if we can turn that around in the next few weeks.
- 13 And then all hearing statements will be entered into
- 14 the record. So please limit your statements to five
- 15 minutes or less to allow all the participants to
- 16 participate in tonight's hearing.
- 17 So who is ORSANCO Ohio River Valley
- 18 Water Sanitation Commission? We were formed in 1948
- 19 by the signing of our signatory compact by the
- 20 governors of eight states. The compact was also
- 21 approved by U.S. Congress. Our eight states that
- 22 participated are Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, New
- 23 York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia,
- 24 and then we also have participation by the federal
- 25 government. So we have all six main states that are

- 1 part of the compact. In addition, we have Virginia
- 2 and New York.
- 3 Our policy board, if you will, our
- 4 board of directors, is made up of three
- 5 commissioners appointed by the governors of each of
- 6 the eight states as well as three federal
- 7 commissioners appointed by the president, and this
- 8 really makes up our policy decision-makers, and that
- 9 is the group that will be presiding over the
- 10 decision for the current update of our PCS,
- 11 pollution control standards.
- 12 And our compact provides our mission;
- 13 essentially, sets our authority and direction for
- 14 the Commission and the staff as we go to implement
- 15 the programs that we work with, particularly
- 16 centered around the control of interstate water
- 17 pollution.
- 18 So a little bit of background now.
- 19 This has been a lengthy process. It actually began
- 20 some four years ago, and it started with the
- 21 appointment of an ad hoc committee to really step
- 22 back and review ORSANCO's role in pollution control
- 23 standards.
- Typically, we will do a pollution
- 25 control standards review on a triannual, or

- 1 every-three-year basis. So that's normally a
- 2 process where we'll look at the industry criteria,
- 3 see if any adjustments need to be made to those
- 4 specific criteria.
- 5 What makes this review different is,
- 6 it is a holistic review of our program, and it looks
- 7 at some fundamental questions. And really, this
- 8 started, again, through the appointment of an ad hoc
- 9 committee, and the Commission stepped back and
- 10 really asked the question whether our whole
- 11 pollution control standards program had become
- 12 largely redundant through the programs of our member
- 13 states as well as US EPA as required by the Clean
- 14 Water Act. And so this is a very different type of
- 15 review, and a part of the reason is, it has been
- 16 fairly lengthy in duration.
- 17 And so the ad hoc committee stepped
- 18 back and really, really looked at several
- 19 alternatives as to how to move forward. Those
- 20 ranged from totally eliminating the program and
- 21 everything about our pollution control standards and
- 22 deferring totally to the Clean Water Act to
- 23 essentially stepping up the program and really --
- 24 aspects in between.
- 25 So there were five alternatives, and

- 1 in one of those alternatives is what was called
- 2 alternative number two. And alternative number two
- 3 would have effectively eliminated ORSANCO's
- 4 criteria, numeric criteria. It would have kept some
- 5 of the wastewater discharge requirements and would
- 6 have eliminated the mixing-zones requirement. And
- 7 so again, this is called expanded or referred
- 8 alternative number two.
- 9 So the ad hoc committee brought that
- 10 recommendation forward to the Commission at its
- 11 October 2017 Commission meeting. And the Commission
- 12 accepted the committee's recommendation and moved
- 13 forward to initiate a public review.
- 14 Our public reviews are typically done
- 15 in two steps. We will do an initial public review,
- 16 where we'll let the public know, this is what the
- 17 Commission is thinking; is there anything we're
- 18 missing; is there any other comments that you might
- 19 have that you would like to consider with this
- 20 proposal.
- 21 And so the Commission completed its
- 22 initial review, and that was done through a period
- 23 between January 10th and February 24th of last year,
- 24 2018. And it rose solicited comments on all five
- 25 alternatives that were discussed by the ad hoc

- 1 committee, but also focused on expanding alternative
- 2 number two, which would have effectively eliminated
- 3 the criteria as the preferred alternative.
- 4 So without going, you know -- I won't
- 5 read it verbatim, but we had a lot of input through
- 6 that, you know, quite a bit of input that the
- 7 Commission considered. But part of the proposal
- 8 review really looked at some key goals that the
- 9 Commission had. So at the Commission's June 2018
- 10 commission meeting, the Commission authorized going
- 11 into phase two of the process, and this was
- 12 conducted by our pollution control standards
- 13 committee.
- As a commission of 8 states and 27
- 15 commissioners, we utilize committees pretty
- 16 extensively, and so one of those committees is our
- 17 pollution control standards committee. And so that
- 18 committee has really presided over the review, and
- 19 its goal was to provide a recommendation to the
- 20 Commission at the end of the comment period, of the
- 21 two review periods.
- 22 And so the second review was conducted
- 23 between June 26th and August 20th of 2018. We had
- 24 one hearing on July 26th. So through that process,
- 25 we had extensive comments. What really came through

- 1 are about 6,000 comments. You know, those are all
- 2 details here. But ten were detailed in favor of the
- 3 alternative, 38 were detailed not in favor of it,
- 4 and about 5,700 comments from the general public
- 5 came in opposed, also, to the alternative. We had a
- 6 hearing similar to the hearing tonight. There were
- 7 about 92 folks there, 48 commenters, and their
- 8 comments were opposed to the proposal there that was
- 9 open to public comment.
- 10 So the pollution control standards
- 11 committee stepped back and really, you know, wanted
- 12 to take a look at the significant amount of comments
- 13 that came through there and really try to reach more
- 14 of a consensus. Not all of the commissioners were
- in favor of the proposal, although the majority
- 16 were.
- 17 So they really wanted to step back and
- 18 take into account the newer comments and really
- 19 review the record, and there was not time to
- 20 sufficiently do that at our October 2018 commission
- 21 meeting. So the committee asked the Commission for
- 22 additional time to continue its deliberation, and
- 23 that was granted by the Commission.
- 24 And so, really, after that October
- commission meeting, the pollution control standards

- 1 committee stepped back. And so based on the
- 2 comments that we received -- and we want to reach
- 3 more of a consensus of the Commission. We're going
- 4 to step back and really think outside of the five
- 5 alternatives that had come in. Is there a better
- 6 way to do this? Is there something that we were
- 7 missing to the original proposal?
- 8 And so following that meeting, the
- 9 Commission met and developed a revised proposal for
- 10 the Commission's consideration. And this proposal
- 11 really brought together more of a consensus to
- 12 listen to the public comments, and also,
- importantly, took into account the goals of the
- 14 Commission.
- We had a Watershed Organisation's
- 16 advisory committee. Several of the folks are here
- 17 tonight. And we stepped back and worked with them
- 18 as well as our other advisory committee and really
- 19 tried to come up with a proposal that made sense in
- 20 terms of the public comment and the Commission's
- 21 needs.
- 22 And so at the February commission
- 23 meeting this past February, the Commission voted to
- 24 put forward a totally different proposal for public
- 25 comment. This proposal would keep the pollution

- 1 control standards. It would take into account the
- 2 needs of the commissions and the states and, again,
- 3 was a totally different proposal. It recognizes
- 4 that although member states may implement water
- 5 quality standards differently, they all must
- 6 maintain programs mandated by the federal Clean
- 7 Water Act for water quality.
- 8 Our standards, the Commission's
- 9 standards are largely derived by US EPA-recommended
- 10 criteria as are the member states. So when we do
- our update, a lot of the changes we make in our
- 12 standards are based on US EPA's most recent
- 13 criteria, and that's what the member states do as
- 14 well. And so we really try to take into account the
- 15 comments, but also the needs of the Commission in
- 16 coming up with the proposal.
- 17 To do that, just a little background
- on how the pollution control standards committee
- 19 really went from October to February to come up with
- 20 the proposal. I think it's helpful to look at the
- 21 key tenets that were really kind of put out there to
- 22 work through this. And first and foremost, we need
- 23 to make sure that any proposal is consistent with
- 24 the compact. So they really stepped back and looked
- 25 at our compact -- it's on our website,

- 1 ORSANCO.org -- and really made sure that, you know,
- 2 we are consistent with those requirements of the
- 3 compact and then wanted to make sure that it's a
- 4 cost-effective use of ORSANCO and state resources.
- 5 We have about 19 full-time employees
- 6 at ORSANCO, and we have a lot of scientific work
- 7 that we do, just a number of great programs, and so
- 8 we wanted to make sure there was a good balance
- 9 between what we do for our scientific monitoring in
- 10 response to the Ohio River, as well as our work with
- 11 permit review and then also with the state
- 12 resources.
- 13 Effectively, the Commission is our
- 14 member states. We are a collaboration of our eight
- 15 member states agreeing to work together to take a
- 16 collaborative review and view of making sure that
- 17 the uses of the Ohio River, as the chairman read
- 18 just a few moments ago, are being met. And so we
- 19 really wanted to make sure that whatever proposal
- 20 that we had, although it wouldn't be mandated to the
- 21 states, it would make sure that the uses and the
- 22 needs of the Ohio River are being met.
- 23 It's important to recognize that our
- 24 criteria only apply to the mainstream Ohio River.
- 25 The proposal that had been put out for public

- 1 comment, that process has already been used for all
- 2 of the other interstate bodies of water within the
- 3 compact district. Our standards only apply to the
- 4 Ohio River. And the Ohio River is made up of --
- 5 about 90 of its flow comes from the tributaries. So
- 6 this process is a process that has actually been
- 7 used for decades on the other interstate bodies of
- 8 water within the compact area.
- 9 And then we wanted to make sure the
- 10 proposal would preserve the PCS, to also be able to
- 11 be used for future challenges, emerging contaminants
- 12 concerning challenges from it. So it essentially
- went from a proposal that would effectively have
- 14 eliminated the criteria to a proposal that would
- 15 keep the criteria but would really focus on the end
- 16 result of a permit, making sure that the end result
- 17 of a permit achieved the water quality that we were
- 18 looking for in the river, rather than our time being
- 19 spent making sure that each individual state tried
- 20 to use the exact same number.
- 21 So, you know, this is a substantially
- 22 different proposal than anything that had been put
- forward prior to this, which is why we went out for
- 24 an unprecedented third comment period. So, in a
- 25 way, we've kind of gone into overtime with this

- 1 review. We're in a third review to make sure that
- 2 we've done this very thoroughly.
- 3 So just a general statement about the
- 4 proposal itself. In essence, this proposal would
- 5 retain the Commission's pollution control standards
- 6 we've adopted by the Commission. It would give the
- 7 state the flexibility to use alternative criteria.
- 8 They each have their own challenges within their
- 9 programs, and they have to meet those, working with
- 10 US EPA and interstate processes. So this would give
- 11 that flexibility to them, but it would also make
- 12 sure that any permit would be protective of the Ohio
- 13 River designated uses, and that is really the end
- 14 result of the impact of a permit on the river
- 15 itself.
- So at this point, I'm going to go
- 17 ahead and turn it over to Mr. Heath. He'll be
- 18 talking about the specifics of the proposal, how you
- 19 can comment. And, again, just on behalf of the
- 20 commission staff and the Commission, thank you so
- 21 much for being here this evening.
- 22 Jason.
- MR. HEATH: Good evening. I'm just going
- 24 to go through and read these two sections verbatim.
- 25 It really is the essence of the proposal for

- 1 revision, but there are some other revisions that I
- 2 won't discuss here in the hearing. They're in the
- 3 hard copy redline version. That's available out at
- 4 the table. Is it's also available on our website.
- 5 So on page 2, the fourth paragraph of
- 6 the redline version of the pollution control
- 7 standards reads, It is recognized by the Commission
- 8 that permitting water quality standards development
- 9 processes of the individual states may vary as
- 10 contemplated by the compact due to a number of
- 11 factors, including the administration of the federal
- 12 states' NPDS program as established in the federal
- 13 Clean Water Act.
- 14 And then, again, the fourth paragraph
- 15 continues on, It is recognized further by the
- 16 Commission that each discharge permit issued
- 17 pursuant to the Clean Water Act or other federal or
- 18 state law may not contain requirements addressing
- 19 one or more of the pollution control standards. The
- 20 Commission in each signatory state have committed to
- 21 implementation of discharge permit limitations that
- 22 provide comparable use protection in achievement of
- 23 the compact goals as provided by these standards.
- 24 To that end, each signatory state will provide
- 25 notice and an opportunity for comment to the

- 1 Commission of any proposed or draft discharge permit
- 2 to the maintenance of the Ohio River.
- 3 So that paragraph there, those two
- 4 sections in that paragraph there are pretty much the
- 5 language that goes along with what Richard was
- 6 talking about, to summarize the main part of the
- 7 proposed revisions.
- 8 Now I will turn to the public review
- 9 schedule. We opened up a 45-day public review on
- 10 March 1st. We held informational webinars on
- 11 March 12th and 14th, and then you can see the three
- 12 public hearings that we are holding, obviously, the
- 13 one in Pittsburgh tonight and then also in
- 14 Evansville and Cincinnati. So we're trying to give
- 15 geographical distribution so that folks from around
- 16 the Ohio River can attend, if they like. The public
- 17 review period closes on April 15th, so please submit
- 18 your comments -- if you are going to submit comments
- 19 in writing, please do that by April 15th, although,
- your comments tonight will also be part of the
- 21 public record.
- The public notification; we sent out a
- 23 notice of the public review and these hearings, and
- 24 we've got approximately 200 media outlets; we've got
- 25 an e-mail distribution list of over 3,000 entities,

- 1 and then to all of the NPDS permitted discharges on
- 2 the Ohio River, we sent written notice, because
- 3 sometimes people change jobs and that kind of thing.
- 4 We have established a website to
- 5 support this public review. If you Google ORSANCO
- 6 and then go to the pollution control standards
- 7 section, you will find all of the information to
- 8 support this review.
- 9 How the public can comment; comments
- 10 must be submitted in writing or by mail or e-mail to
- 11 us by midnight of April 15th of this year.
- 12 Instructions on submitting comments are also on the
- 13 website. We ask that e-mails be sent directly from
- 14 the sender, and they go to our mailbox at
- 15 pcs@orsanco.org. And we also ask to protect our IT
- 16 system's integrity that you do not include
- 17 attachments, and you can send by regular mail to our
- 18 mailing address as well as, as I've said, today's
- 19 comments will also part of the official record. And
- 20 that's all I have.
- MR. POTESTA: Thank you, Jason.
- We will now open the floor to
- 23 statements on the proposed revision. I have a list
- of folks here that have signed up to speak. We'll
- 25 go through that first, and then if there's others,

- 1 we will open that up as well.
- 2 It would be appreciated if you can
- 3 submit any written comments of your statement,
- 4 either now or in the remainder of the comment
- 5 period. We'd like you to reintroduce yourself and,
- 6 if appropriate, the organization that you represent.
- 7 We'll try and keep this to five-minute
- 8 presentations. I will be watching the clock here
- 9 and signal you when we get to that time frame. And
- 10 if you could, we'd obviously appreciate you bringing
- 11 your comments to a close at that point.
- 12 We'll start here, and forgive me if I
- 13 mispronounce your name. So correct me, and I'll try
- 14 to correct myself. But the first speaker we have is
- 15 Randi Pokladnik.
- 16 MR. HEATH: And we have a mic back here
- 17 or you could use the microphone up there, whichever
- 18 you prefer, if you have a loud enough voice to speak
- 19 up.
- MS. COCHRAN: I apologize for the
- 21 interruption. May I request that you go up to the
- 22 front. That's where the media would prefer.
- MS. POKLADNIK: My name is Randi
- 24 Pokladnik. I live in Uhrichsville, Ohio. I'm here
- 25 to speak for my family and friends who live along

- 1 the Ohio River from Troy, Ohio, to Marietta, Ohio.
- 2 The Ohio River Valley Watershed is
- 3 very fortunate to have had a multistate compact in
- 4 place since 1948 which protects many uses of the
- 5 river, including its use as the drinking water
- 6 source for five million residents.
- 7 While I applaud the new revisions and
- 8 that they will keep the pollution control standards
- 9 in place and are far superior to the proposed option
- 10 two of the PCS released in 2018, I would urge these
- 11 standards not be made discretionary, but instead be
- 12 mandatory.
- 13 Permit-by-permit review by states
- 14 fails to hold states accountable, as it does not
- 15 address equivalent levels of protection under
- 16 ORSANCO. I realize ORSANCO has no authority to
- 17 mandate or enforce standards and, today, does not
- 18 address the monumental buildup of the petrochemical
- 19 industry in Ohio River Valley. However, the current
- 20 uses of the Ohio River by both historical industries
- 21 as well as the impact of petrochemical development
- 22 will certainly have far-reaching effects on water
- 23 quality.
- 24 Under the Energy and Policy Act of
- 25 2005 (sic), oil and gas facilities are exempt from

- 1 most major environmental laws. This does not mean
- 2 that they do not release toxic compounds and affect
- 3 human health and the environment. This buildup will
- 4 undoubtedly affect the drinking water of millions of
- 5 residents and the aquatic ecosystems.
- 6 It is my understanding that, except
- 7 for ORSANCO's monitoring of organic contaminants,
- 8 there are no other agencies or facilities that have
- 9 any programs in place to protect citizens from
- 10 contaminants that will enter the river from
- 11 watersheds that are currently being fracked.
- 12 Additionally, the construction of shale's ethane
- 13 cracker in Monaca, PA, and the possible planning of
- 14 another ethane cracker in Belmont County will
- 15 significantly increase the amount of pollution
- 16 coming from well pads using high-pressure hydraulic
- 17 fracking in the watersheds.
- 18 Peer-reviewed studies show that many
- 19 chemicals released through the process of fracking
- 20 and plastic production are carcinogenic,
- 21 endocrine-disrupting, obesogenic and become
- 22 persistent in the environment.
- 23 Without ORSANCO's organic detection
- 24 system, there is no way to ensure that spills or
- 25 nonpoint pollutants will be detected.

- In light of this, I have recommended
- 2 that my extended family consider purchasing a
- 3 state-of-the-art water filtration system to use for
- 4 their residential drinking water.
- 5 I urge that ORSANCO takes secure
- 6 avenues and permanent long-term funding for the
- 7 organic detection system. Additionally, in light of
- 8 the petrochemical development buildup, the ODS
- 9 system, along with other testing programs, should be
- 10 expanded. These additions might include more CCMS
- 11 systems along the river, more septic sites closer to
- 12 regions affected by fracking and petrochemical
- 13 facilities, keep triannual review in place every
- 14 three years, conduct a nonpoint source study -- the
- 15 last one was done in 2003 -- add the OC compounds
- 16 that are found in fracking industries to the ODS
- 17 analysis and companies adhere to chemical storage
- 18 data.
- 19 People along the Ohio River Valley are
- 20 counting on their water being safe for drinking. I
- 21 am sure water consumers would be willing to pay a
- 22 modest fee, such as \$10 per household, to support
- 23 additional testing financially or ORSANCO might
- 24 consider adding a per-point source help book for all
- 25 industrial users of the river.

- 1 Thank you for taking my comments.
- 2 (Applause.)
- 3 MR. POTESTA: Bob Donnan's the next
- 4 registered speaker.
- 5 MR. DONNAN: Hi, commissioners. I really
- 6 wish you were more like the Delaware River Basin
- 7 Commission, where you'd actually have some control
- 8 over the river instead of just doing some studies on
- 9 fish and so forth.
- 10 So one of the two rivers that creates
- 11 the Ohio is the Monongahela. Ten years ago after
- 12 the frackers got ramped up here drilling and
- 13 fracking, they were taking their wastewater to
- 14 public treatment works, McKeesport, Clairton, just
- to name two of them. So what happened? People's
- 16 water started to stink; the TDS increased; our
- 17 Pennsylvania American Water, when they chlorinated
- 18 it, they were creating trihalomethanes. The limit
- 19 is 80. At one point, they bumped 156 on
- 20 trihalomethanes; one of them is chloroform.
- 21 And long story short, Pennsylvania was
- 22 pretty impudent on the whole thing. Pennsylvania
- 23 DEP was just about worthless, really. After a
- 24 couple years, the EPA finally got involved, leaned
- on the DEP, and the DEP requested that the frackers

- 1 quit dumping their waste at these POTWs. They
- 2 didn't tell them; they requested.
- 3 So any stepping back from water
- 4 standards, especially for the Ohio River Basin is --
- 5 I think it's foolhardy. We found out there's
- 6 radium-226; it's water soluble. And the lady said
- 7 you haven't done a study since 2003. Well, if
- 8 that's the case, you've missed the whole hydraulic
- 9 fracking industry. And we already have 1,700 wells
- 10 out in Washington County. And it takes about
- 11 four million gallons or more to frack each well with
- 12 about one million gallons of that coming back as
- 13 waste. So they're desperate to get rid of this
- 14 stuff. That's the industry's biggest problem.
- 15 So it's commissions like yours that
- 16 really need to stand in the way and hold them
- 17 accountable, or you're going to have a situation
- 18 similar to what we saw with the Mon river. So, you
- 19 know, our water utility switched to chloramination,
- and, of course, that has its whole set of problems
- 21 too with people on dialysis and people with fish
- 22 tanks and so forth and so on.
- 23 So I'd encourage you to be a
- 24 commission. Don't give the states any latitude.
- 25 You don't know what Pennsylvania's going to do. You

- 1 don't know what West Virginia's going to do or Ohio,
- 2 the three states that are producing most of this
- 3 wastewater.
- 4 Okay. Thank you.
- 5 (Applause.)
- 6 MR. POTESTA: The next speaker is Robert
- 7 Reed.
- 8 MR. REED: That's me. I'm a Buckeye from
- 9 Ohio. Sure put a whooping on us there in the
- 10 tournament.
- 11 My name is Robert Reed from
- 12 Bridgeport, Ohio. I was born in 1950 and have lived
- 13 within a thousand feet of the Ohio River my whole
- 14 life. My grandparents lived in Wheeling on the
- 15 island for years before that and endured many
- 16 floods. My uncle died as a result of disease
- 17 attributed to swimming in the river.
- 18 As I grew up, I spent many hours on
- 19 the bank of that river catching catfish and carp.
- 20 The Ohio River was really in bad shape. It was
- 21 basically a cesspool. At the turn of the last
- century, a large steel mill occupied the land a
- 23 hundred fifty yards from my front door called the
- 24 Ethno Standard. Our village wells are drilled
- 25 through the brownfield that was left by the steel

- 1 mill. We all know the industrial history of the
- 2 river valley and the legacy of toxic waste left
- 3 behind.
- 4 I've been heartened by the comeback of
- 5 aquatic life in the river, that I fear now, that all
- 6 the games and more may be wiped away by a runaway
- 7 push for petrochemical complexes being planned for
- 8 the area.
- 9 The environmental rigs are under
- 10 pressure by politics in the industry. Industry
- 11 lobbyists have way too much influence over the
- 12 process. And then when ex-oil company execs and
- 13 lobbyists are appointed to head the EPA, it causes
- 14 me great concern. The playing field is no longer
- 15 level, if it ever was.
- And in conclusion, I hope that ORSANCO
- 17 implements rigorous standards to protect our river
- 18 from the assault that is surely coming.
- 19 So I'm not totally familiar with all
- 20 the things that are in here, but I hope whatever you
- 21 do, that you take into consideration that there are
- 22 so many sources of pollution in our valley, from up
- 23 the Mon all the way down to Cincinnati and beyond,
- 24 and especially in my county, we've got a lot of gas
- 25 wells going in. So we are really subject to not

- 1 only all the pollution from those wells -- because
- 2 every one of those wells has tanks on them, and
- 3 under certain atmospheric conditions, you see the
- 4 vapors coming right out of those tanks.
- 5 We went on a tour recently where a gas
- 6 well is being drilled or potentially going to be
- 7 drilled. They've already made the gravel bed for it
- 8 about a hundred yards away from the daycare center.
- 9 Little kids are going to be playing on a playground,
- 10 and this thing is going to be right down the hill
- 11 from it. And I can't believe that anybody in their
- 12 right mind would put a gas well right where these
- 13 little kids are going to play. The vapors, the
- 14 diesel and everything else are going to come right
- 15 on that playground.
- 16 And, you know, the same thing with the
- 17 water, we're just -- we're playing with destruction
- 18 here. I mean, we all want to be healthy. We all
- 19 want to live a long life and have gray hair and be
- 20 able to retire and do fun things. And if the cancer
- 21 rate gets worse than what it is now, we're in for a
- 22 rough road to hell. Thank you.
- MR. POTESTA: Thank you. Next speaker is
- 24 Nora Johnson.
- MS. JOHNSON: Good evening. My name is

- 1 Nora Johnson, and I live here in Pittsburgh. Thank
- 2 you very much for giving us this opportunity to
- 3 testify. This is such an important issue for the
- 4 Ohio River Valley.
- 5 Consistently ranked as the most
- 6 polluted river in the United States, the Ohio River
- 7 provides drinking water to five million people.
- 8 ORSANCO must reject the 2019 revisions to its
- 9 pollution control standards. These revisions would
- 10 eliminate the current mandate for states to adopt
- 11 the pollution control standards. But standards that
- 12 are uniform for all ORSANCO states are needed to
- 13 improve Ohio River quality. Therefore, all states
- 14 must be required to adopt one set of pollution
- 15 control standards.
- 16 Standards maintained by one agency
- 17 provide cost-effective management for Ohio River
- 18 states, and one standard provides clarity for
- 19 businesses who apply for permits to build along the
- 20 river. The importance of clarity for riverside
- 21 businesses is obvious when considering that stretch
- 22 of the Ohio River along the southern border of the
- 23 state of Ohio.
- Just upstream from the border in
- 25 Beaver County, Pennsylvania, an ethane cracker plant

- 1 is under construction, and it will produce billions
- 2 of pounds of polyethylene plastic every year. Just
- 3 a short distance downstream in Belmont County, Ohio,
- 4 a second ethane cracker is in the permitting phase.
- 5 Estimates of the potential frackable natural gas in
- 6 the Marcellus Shale site suggest that as many as
- 7 seven of these plastic factories could eventually be
- 8 built along the river. These factories will require
- 9 thousands of miles of pipeline crisscrossing the
- 10 countryside and the towns to convey the fracked
- 11 natural gas and the highly volatile gas liquids from
- 12 wells to processing plants to cryogenic plants to
- 13 the factories, themselves.
- 14 As we know from recent bitter
- 15 experience, these pipelines leak and explode. Since
- 16 ethane, a required component of many plastics, is
- 17 heavier than air, it hugs the ground when released,
- 18 and, because it is highly volatile, can cause an
- 19 explosion if triggered by as small of a current as
- 20 the use of a cell phone. Fire caused by such an
- 21 explosion may take an extended length of time to
- 22 extinguish, if it is extinguishable at all. Such
- 23 disruption cannot fail to damage headwaters and
- 24 affluent streams that flow into the Ohio River as
- 25 well as cause other kinds of mayhem.

- 1 But gas leaks are not the only stress
- 2 to the water of the Ohio River posed by the
- 3 development of these ethane cracker plants. These
- 4 factories, themselves, are permitted to discharge
- 5 pollutants into the river. At the same time, the
- 6 state of Ohio has a separate set of water quality
- 7 standards for discharges into the Ohio River Valley
- 8 which incorporate some, but not all, of the ORSANCO
- 9 standards.
- 10 It is this combination of the
- 11 circumstances taking place in Ohio that makes clear
- 12 the need for pollution control standards that are
- 13 both adequate for water quality protection and
- 14 uniform across the ORSANCO states.
- 15 I support these guidelines: States
- 16 will be required to adopt ORSANCO standards; states
- 17 should be allowed to deviate from these standards
- 18 for appropriate reasons; any deviation should be
- 19 made public and requires public notice and input
- 20 from, of course, ORSANCO and the public; ORSANCO
- 21 will be required to produce a report every three
- 22 years to assess state standards and prepare these
- 23 standards to ORSANCO; this report will be available
- 24 for the public to review; the proposal should
- 25 require ORSANCO to update pollution control

- 1 standards at least once every three years; ORSANCO
- 2 should continue to evaluate NPDES permits issued by
- 3 states and develop an annual report to summarize the
- 4 findings.
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. The next
- 7 speaker is Michele Fetting.
- 8 MS. FETTING: Thank you so much for being
- 9 here and for holding this hearing. My name is
- 10 Michele Fetting, and I'm the program manager of the
- 11 Breathe Project here in Pittsburgh and a resident of
- 12 Pittsburgh.
- The Ohio River is a vital artery that
- 14 runs through America; its basin connects Western New
- 15 York with the Gulf of Mexico. New and expanded
- 16 industrial operations threaten every wetland, every
- 17 stream, every aquifer, private well, public
- 18 treatment system and every drop of the Ohio River.
- 19 This is happening in the face of powerful industry
- 20 pressure to deflect any obstacle that prevents their
- 21 operation from achieving maximum profit.
- 22 I ask ORSANCO commissioners to stand
- 23 strong and to do more, including these five things:
- 24 Number one, ORSANCO should maintain its pollution
- 25 control standards. It should show the proposal and

- 1 require all states to utilize the ORSANCO standards
- 2 when issuing discharge permits. These standards are
- 3 the product of decades of deliberation and deemed
- 4 necessary to protect the river. The Commission
- 5 should not alter the standards by making them
- 6 advisable to accommodate the two states, Ohio and
- 7 Illinois, who do not utilize the standards or their
- 8 equivalent. The 1948 ORSANCO compact agrees to
- 9 enact any necessary legislation to maintain the
- 10 waters of the Ohio River Basin and make them safe.
- 11 Perhaps now is the time for this action.
- 12 Number two, ORSANCO must consider new
- 13 and future pollutants and radionuclides from the oil
- 14 and gas and petrochemical industries. Our region is
- 15 the target for a plastic hub that would extract our
- 16 gas and turn it into plastic and ship our gas liquid
- 17 overseas to markets in Europe and Asia. The 1948
- 18 ORSANCO compact also pledges faithful cooperation in
- 19 the control of future pollution in the Ohio River
- 20 Basin. That future pollution is already here.
- 21 There are tens of thousands of fracked wells in the
- 22 Ohio River Basin, each one using millions of gallons
- 23 of water that becomes radioactive and toxic.
- 24 Fracking wastewater is illegally dumped and spilled
- and thrown on our roads and often in swimming pools.

- 1 Pipelines have leaked and exploded. The Appalachian
- 2 storage hub would span over 400 square miles and
- 3 store natural gas liquids directly under the Ohio
- 4 River. Many petrochemical plants are planned in the
- 5 shale facility about 30 miles away from here and is
- 6 already well underway. ORSANCO does not consider
- 7 pollutants from the oil and gas and petrochemical
- 8 industries. It is critical that these are included
- 9 in its pollution control standards. We will never
- 10 know the full impact of new and future pollution
- 11 activities if we are not testing for contaminants
- 12 from these industries.
- Number three, ORSANCO must consider
- 14 the public health threats of water pollution in the
- 15 Ohio River Basin. Our region is becoming a
- 16 sacrifice zone and communities are suffering. In
- 17 the Canon-McMillan School District, just 15 miles
- 18 from where we sit tonight, there is an epidemic of
- 19 Ewing's sarcoma, a rare childhood bone cancer. This
- 20 is an area with more than a thousand wells drilled,
- 21 and it also has a landfill that has accepted
- 22 thousands of tons of radioactive drill cutting from
- 23 gas well sites. Multiple academic studies have
- 24 found higher rates of childhood cancers in areas
- 25 where fracking is occurring. Pregnant women and

- 1 young children also bear the brunt of this industry.
- 2 I will leave this shale, gas extraction and public
- 3 health resource, that includes contaminants
- 4 associated the with the oil and gas industry and the
- 5 health impacts, with you so you can review what
- 6 contaminants you might want to include (indicating).
- 7 With 33 drinking water and sustained tanks that
- 8 serve five million people, ORSANCO must consider the
- 9 health impact of water pollution.
- 10 Number four, ORSANCO should create a
- 11 more robust monitoring network and increase the
- 12 number of monitoring sites in the Ohio River and its
- 13 tributaries, given the buildup of oil and gas in the
- 14 Ohio, the Allegheny, the Monongahela and other
- 15 rivers in the basin and the fact that these wells do
- 16 not have to abide by any major environmental
- 17 regulations, we strongly advocate for adding
- 18 additional sampling sites to the current organic
- 19 detection system to allow for a better coverage, and
- 20 earlier detection of spills and harmful pollution
- 21 level increases.
- 22 And number five, ORSANCO should also
- 23 advocate for the full disclosure of the secret
- 24 ingredients in half of the fracking fluid that are
- 25 exempt from the Clean Water Act through the

- 1 Halliburton loophole that was part of the 2005
- 2 Bush/Cheney energy bill. There are chemicals posing
- 3 risks to the Ohio River that we may not even know
- 4 of. ORSANCO and its commissioners have the power to
- 5 do more.
- 6 I especially call upon my own
- 7 commissioners from Pennsylvania, Patrick McDonnell,
- 8 Charles Duritsa and Davitt Woodwell to take the lead
- 9 on strengthening ORSANCO and to use their extensive
- 10 experience in environmental protection in
- 11 Pennsylvania.
- 12 Everything that happens in the Ohio
- 13 River Basin has an impact on the Mississippi River
- 14 and the Gulf of Mexico. ORSANCO commissioners have
- 15 a responsibility and an opportunity to make
- 16 pollution control standards stronger and prevent
- 17 further harm to the people, communities, environment
- 18 and wildlife that live and thrive in the Ohio River
- 19 Valley and everywhere downstream. Thank you.
- 20 MR. POTESTA: The next speaker is Terrie
- 21 Baumgardner.
- MS. BAUMGARDNER: Good evening. I thank
- 23 you for allowing us to testify and for listening
- 24 tonight, hopefully, to the voice of citizens who are
- 25 concerned about what seems like an invasion here in

- 1 Southwestern Pennsylvania.
- 2 My name is Terrie Baumgardner. I live
- 3 in Beaver, six miles from the shale petrochemical
- 4 plant currently under construction along the Ohio
- 5 River. This is the river that supplies drinking
- 6 water for me and five million other people in the
- 7 region. And as we know, it's already the dirtiest
- 8 river in the country.
- 9 We need protection. Rivers do not
- 10 know state boundaries. Thanks to lobbying,
- 11 political lobbying from 5.2 million in 2017 along
- 12 with Pennsylvania, my community will be the first
- 13 target of the massive growth and expansion of the
- 14 oil and gas industry. It will suffer from the
- 15 impacts that these industries will have on the water
- 16 we drink and the air we breathe. And we're not just
- 17 talking about the petrochemical plant. We're
- 18 talking about the feedstock; the fracking wells, the
- 19 compressor stations, the cryogenic plants, the
- 20 injection wells, the truck and rail transport and
- 21 the diesel fumes and the picking operations and the
- 22 pipelines. All of this will impact the water we
- 23 drink and the air we breathe. The health of the
- 24 people must be protected.
- I urge ORSANCO to keep the existing

- 1 pollution control standards in place. I think we
- 2 all know that voluntary and inconsistent standards
- 3 do not work. It seems to me that what's being
- 4 proposed here is a move backwards, when we need
- 5 stronger protection, not less protection.
- 6 ORSANCO also needs to increase the
- 7 number of monitoring sites and locations, especially
- 8 those that are close to industrial activity. States
- 9 should only be allowed to deviate from the standards
- 10 by providing supporting scientific reasoning through
- 11 a transparent public process. ORSANCO should
- 12 continue its evaluation every three years with full,
- 13 transparent public participation.
- 14 ORSANCO must begin monitoring for
- 15 pollutants from the oil, gas and petrochemical
- 16 industries, as major expansions will further
- 17 threaten the water quality of the Ohio and its
- 18 tributaries. ORSANCO must increase the number of
- 19 monitoring sites on the Ohio River and on Ohio River
- 20 tributaries. The petrochemical industry invading
- our region will increase the amount of plastic waste
- 22 in our waters, oceans and environment. Humans have
- 23 produced more plastic waste over the last ten years
- 24 than during the entire last century, and I think we
- 25 all have heard that there will be more plastic in

- 1 our oceans by 2050 than fish. I hope that we are
- 2 all aware that microplastics are invading our
- 3 bodies, and our tap water and bottled water are
- 4 loaded with those microplastics.
- 5 As this invasion descends upon us, we
- 6 need people to resist it, and I would hope that
- 7 those people would include you. Thank you for your
- 8 time.
- 9 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. Our next
- 10 speaker is Robin Blakeman.
- MS. BLAKEMAN: My name is Robin Blakeman.
- 12 I work with the Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition.
- 13 And I just want to say thank you for having your
- 14 hearing and for having it here. I know when I came
- 15 in and I shook Richard's hand, and I said, well, I
- 16 wish you would have been in Huntington.
- 17 But hearing all of you from the
- 18 Pittsburgh area tonight, I'm very glad that you had
- 19 this hearing here so that you could hear the
- 20 concerns of those who are at ground zero for what we
- 21 consider to be a perfect storm brewing on the Ohio
- 22 River in terms of petrochemical buildout and what it
- 23 might mean.
- So please, pay attention to them. And
- 25 I'm a little off script right now in terms of my

- 1 comments, but I even wonder if you, ORSANCO staff
- 2 and commissioners, if you're staying around this
- 3 area, if you might be able to take a tour with some
- 4 of them tomorrow or at least find the resources
- 5 online that a lot of our folks -- friends here in
- 6 Pennsylvania have in terms of that Beaver cracker
- 7 plant. It's pretty intimidating. I'd encourage you
- 8 to do that.
- 9 Back to my written comments. OVEC is
- 10 a member of the ORSANCO Watershed Organization's
- 11 advisory committee, and as such, we have been
- 12 following this issue for three years and sincerely
- 13 appreciate the hard work that has gone into the
- 14 formation of the current pollution control standards
- 15 proposal by the ORSANCO staff and the commissioners
- 16 who are here and those who are not here.
- 17 Therefore, we want to support this new
- 18 proposal and thank you for the improvement in it to
- 19 keep the pollution control standards intact. We
- 20 also want to state our concern that the current
- 21 proposal makes standards discretionary, weakening
- 22 their intent to protect the integrity of the Ohio
- 23 River's valuable natural resources.
- 24 While standards and existing permits
- 25 may not be able to be removed due to

- 1 anti-backsliding provisions, new permits, however,
- 2 might not take the PCS into consideration. And my
- 3 fear is that this will happen in West Virginia where
- 4 we have used the ORSANCO standards in the past. The
- 5 current proposal provides a permit-by-permit review
- 6 of standards by the states that reserve the uses of
- 7 the river. But there needs to be accountability of
- 8 equivalent levels of protection.
- 9 ORSANCO alleges no single standard is
- 10 applicable due to variable factors. But it is
- 11 important that ORSANCO establish baseline uniform
- 12 reference discharge standards and mechanisms for
- 13 holding states accountable. Although ORSANCO's role
- 14 will not change in developing standards, all member
- 15 states should be strongly advised that these
- 16 standards represent the best means of maintaining
- 17 the designated uses of the river.
- The river and watershed need nutrient
- 19 criteria and standards. By revising the PCS to only
- 20 apply to entity discharging sewage or industrial
- 21 waste, ORSANCO avoids this responsibility. If this
- 22 is the intent, ORSANCO should be working with
- 23 watershed states to create such parameters similar
- 24 to efforts on the Great Lakes and the Chesapeake
- 25 Bay.

- 1 Predating the Clean Water Act, the
- 2 states in the compact took it into their own hands
- 3 to protect the integrity of the Ohio River and made
- 4 a commitment to protecting the special uses of the
- 5 river, and this is why we have a river that we can't
- 6 use for drinking water today. We believe that all
- 7 the states that are members of this compact should
- 8 adopt and implement ORSANCO's pollution control
- 9 standards, but we accept this proposal, this option
- 10 as an interim measure.
- 11 This current pollution control
- 12 standards option is acceptable under the following
- 13 conditions:
- 14 A, ORSANCO works toward requiring that
- 15 all states adopt and implement the PCS or
- 16 equivalent.
- 17 B, states are only allowed to deviate
- 18 from PCS for good scientific reason or based on
- 19 sound and verifiable social and economic
- 20 justification.
- 21 C, policies and procedures are
- 22 developed to respond if a state proposes to deviate
- 23 from the PCS, i.e., that state needs to inform the
- 24 other states, provide public notice of its intention
- 25 to deviate from the PCS and why and allow for the

- 1 public and other states to comment on the proposal.
- 2 The notice and opportunity for staff review should
- 3 occur prior to the commencement of the public notice
- 4 and comment process under the state's NPDES program
- 5 and establish hermination regarding whether the
- 6 draft permit provides comparable-use protection, and
- 7 achievement of compact goals should be placed into
- 8 the record at the time that the draft permit is
- 9 public-noticed and the public comment period begins.
- 10 D, official policies and procedures
- 11 are developed to continue to monitor for deviation
- 12 from ORSANCO standards and are ready for public
- 13 distribution and implementation by the October
- 14 commission meeting.
- 15 E, ORSANCO should maintain the
- 16 triannual review process. Developing a report
- 17 available to the public every three years to compare
- 18 with US ETA criteria and ORSANCO PCS with each
- 19 state's standards for all the parameters is a very
- 20 valuable process and should not be extended to
- 21 longer intervals between reports. In addition to
- the permit-by-permit review, ORSANCO staff should
- 23 review and comment on the differences between the
- 24 PCS standards and the water quality standards of
- 25 each state during their triannual review process.

- 1 And each state not utilizing the ORSANCO PCS or the
- 2 equivalent standard should be obligated to justify
- 3 the decision not to adopt each of the PCS into the
- 4 state water quality regulations.
- 5 F, ORSANCO should continue to perform
- 6 all the essential functions, find stable financing
- 7 for current staff levels and seek funding for
- 8 additional staffing in order to make sure all permit
- 9 reviews, research and water monitoring can be done
- 10 in the same time frames as currently are happening.
- 11 Our additional concerns arise from
- 12 similar situations of buildup of oil and gas
- infrastructure, and I won't go into the details of
- 14 those; they're detailed here.
- 15 But I want to conclude by saying that
- 16 we would strongly advocate for additional
- 17 water-sampling sites when something becomes
- 18 available. We believe we need an effective early
- 19 warning system far enough upstream of each course
- 20 water intake to allow for notation of residents, and
- 21 we do not believe that that exists now. And part of
- 22 that is due to our experience in Huntington, where I
- reside with most of my immediate family and where
- 24 OVEC's office is located. When the 2014 MCA
- 25 pollutant plume event occurred, we were not notified

- 1 of that plume despite the fact that ORSANCO used
- 2 Huntington as a testing site for the contents of
- 3 that plume and then intakes downstream from there
- 4 were closed. So we need additional testing sites
- 5 upstream of Huntington, preferably, in between Point
- 6 Pleasant or Gallipolis in Huntington was the place
- 7 specifically requested.
- 8 Thank you for your time and I'll leave
- 9 these comments.
- 10 (Applause.)
- 11 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. The next
- 12 speaker is Connie Mayle.
- MS. MAYLE: Thank you for getting my name
- 14 right. Hello, my name is Connie Mayle. Along with
- 15 most of my family, I have lived, worked and
- 16 recreated in towns along the Ohio River almost all
- 17 my life. I currently live and work in Huntington,
- 18 West Virginia, but have resided in Belpre and
- 19 Marietta, Ohio, and Williamstown, West Virginia, all
- 20 of those being along the Ohio River.
- 21 I was present in the Cincinnati area
- 22 last summer to give my comments on the previous
- 23 proposed standards, which terrified me in terms of
- 24 what the lack of ORSANCO standards would mean to my
- 25 entire family. I have reviewed the new pollution

- 1 control standards and am glad to see that they
- 2 maintain the ORSANCO standards. I am, however,
- 3 concerned about language in the new proposed
- 4 standard which seems to give states discretion in
- 5 implementation of these standards.
- I think the following measures need to
- 7 be put into place in order to make sure that my
- 8 family and I can continue to rely upon the water we
- 9 use in our homes and to not be afraid it will make
- 10 us sick or cause other issues in our homes.
- 11 Here are the additional steps I think
- 12 need to be put into place as ORSANCO works towards
- 13 requiring all states to adopt and implement the
- 14 pollution control standards, or PCS, or equivalent:
- 15 Number one, states are only allowed to
- 16 deviate from PCS for good, scientific reasons or
- 17 based on social and economic justification.
- 18 Number two, policies and procedures
- 19 are developed to respond if a state proposes to
- 20 deviate from PCS, i.e., that state needs to inform
- 21 the other states, provide public notice of its
- 22 intention to deviate from PCS and why, and allow for
- 23 the public and other states to comment on the
- 24 proposal.
- Number three, policies and procedures

- 1 are developed to continue to monitor for deviations
- 2 from ORSANCO standards and are ready for
- 3 implementation by their October commission meeting.
- 4 Number four, ORSANCO should maintain
- 5 the triannual review process, developing a report
- 6 available to the public every three years to compare
- 7 with US EPA criteria and PCS with each state's
- 8 standards for all parameters.
- 9 Number five, ORSANCO should continue
- 10 to perform permit reviews and seek funding for
- 11 additional staffing in order to make sure such
- 12 reviews can be done and in as timely a manner as
- 13 possible.
- 14 And number six, ORSANCO should seek
- 15 funding to install additional water monitoring
- 16 equipment. I do not feel that there is enough of
- 17 that in the Ohio River in between Point Pleasant,
- 18 West Virginia, and Huntington, West Virginia.
- 19 Again, thank you for my time.
- MR. MANDIROLA: Thank you. The next
- 21 speaker is Josh Eisenfeld.
- MR. EISENFELD: I just want to say thank
- 23 you for giving us the time and the forum for this
- 24 discussion. My name is Josh Eisenfeld. I represent
- 25 Fair Shake Environmental Legal Services, who

- 1 represent and defend residents along the Ohio River
- 2 Basin. I'm also a lifelong resident of the Ohio
- 3 River Valley myself.
- 4 I'd like to start with a reminder of
- 5 why ORSANCO developed its pollution control
- 6 standards by reading a segment of the original 1948
- 7 compact preamble. It states -- and I'll paraphrase
- 8 to save some time -- the growth in industrial
- 9 activity within the Ohio River Basin has resulted,
- 10 in recent years, an increasingly serious pollution
- 11 of the waters and streams within said drainage
- 12 basin, constituting a great menace to health,
- 13 welfare and recreational facilities of the people
- 14 living in such basin and occasioning in great
- 15 economic loss. The control of future pollution and
- 16 the evadement (sic) of existing pollution in the
- 17 waters of the Ohio River Basin are of prime
- 18 importance to the people thereof and can be best
- 19 accomplished with the cooperation of states situated
- therein and by and through with a joint or common
- 21 agency.
- These words are as true today as they
- 23 were in 1948. In fact, according to your own
- 24 reports on climate change in the Ohio River Valley,
- 25 the situation may still be getting worse, as river

- 1 temperatures increase and flow rates continue to
- 2 rise. Therefore, we still have not seen the
- 3 progression that ORSANCO seeks to achieve with
- 4 pollution control standards.
- 5 We should be discussing ways to
- 6 increase the pollution control standards efficacy,
- 7 not reduce its power and provide obvious holes for
- 8 states to lower their own standards and create a
- 9 race to the bottom in order to attract industry. It
- 10 will provide a red carpet for the petrochemical
- industry to take hold of the Ohio River Valley
- 12 without resident consent, and they're ones that bear
- 13 the burden.
- I don't have any direct comments or
- 15 suggestions, but I would say that I would support
- 16 either the -- OVEC's suggestions or Breathe
- 17 Project's suggestions.
- 18 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. The next
- 19 speaker is Bev Reed.
- MS. REED: Thank you for the opportunity
- 21 to comment. My name's Bev. I live in Bridgeport,
- 22 Ohio, directly across the Ohio River from Wheeling,
- 23 West Virginia. My small town is directly situated
- 24 along the Ohio River. It's about 60 or so miles
- 25 southwest of here. I can look out of my living room

- 1 window and see the river. There are a few ways in
- 2 which these pollution control standards might affect
- 3 me because of this proposal. The residential water
- 4 in our town comes from wells near the Ohio River.
- 5 Any weakening of the pollution control standards can
- 6 potentially impact the health of anyone who uses
- 7 water from the river or from wells near the river.
- 8 Also, I recreate on the river. My
- 9 uncle owns what is called a Sea Cycle. It's
- 10 basically a watercraft that has pedal-power drive
- 11 units. It's the perfect way to enjoy the river
- 12 while getting some exercise. When we were out, I
- 13 had the opportunity to swim in the river when my
- 14 uncle and I would park our sea bikes. However, I
- 15 would never dream of doing that, as I am too afraid
- 16 of catching an illness from it.
- 17 The Ohio River has a terrible
- 18 reputation, and for good reason. My entire life,
- 19 people have warned against swimming in the river or
- 20 eating anything out of it. Weakening of the
- 21 pollution control standards is the last thing that
- 22 this river needs.
- 23 In 2015, the US EPA reported that the
- 24 Ohio River was the most polluted river in the U.S.
- 25 for the seventh year in a row. I don't know if it

- 1 still holds that title today. However, with a
- 2 proposed petrochemical buildout of this region, the
- 3 Ohio River will become even more of a dumping ground
- 4 for industrial facilities that will tout our
- 5 landscape, depending on how much of the proposed
- 6 industry actually comes here. My water will be
- 7 affected by the shale cracker plant if it goes on
- 8 line along with any other petrochemical industrial
- 9 facility that might pop up along the river.
- 10 If the proposed cracker plant facility
- in Ohio is built, people south of it will be
- 12 affected by it along with the shale facility
- 13 pollution.
- 14 I respectfully request that ORSANCO
- 15 takes the petrochemical buildout into consideration
- 16 when making its decisions about whether it mandates
- 17 states to abide by the pollution control standards.
- 18 They should be mandated. They should not be
- 19 voluntary. The fact that ORSANCO will require
- 20 states to either follow its pollution control
- 21 standards or demonstrate equivalency seems more
- 22 complicated than simply mandating them. If ORSANCO
- 23 wanted states to demonstrate equivalency anyway, why
- 24 not simply require states to abide by them? This
- 25 aspect of the proposal seems redundant and

- 1 unnecessary. I'm not sure what the motivating force
- 2 behind this part of the proposal is; however, it
- 3 does not feel like the right thing to do, given the
- 4 proposed and current petrochemical buildout of the
- 5 region and the fact that the Ohio River is so
- 6 damaged already.
- 7 Water is sacred and necessary for many
- 8 forms of life, not just humans. At this point in
- 9 human evolution, we should be looking for ways in
- 10 which we can protect our vital elements, such as air
- 11 and water, since many humans are more concerned
- 12 about their level of income than doing the most to
- 13 decrease the risk of harm to others.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 (Applause.)
- MR. POTESTA: Thank you. The next
- 17 speaker is Gail Neustadt.
- 18 MS. NEUSTADT: Don't fall. I call it my
- 19 cane movement. My name's Gail Neustadt. I live in
- 20 Collier, not far from here. I'm not along the Ohio
- 21 River. I grew up in Cleveland, so my experience
- 22 with water has been Lake Erie, and now it's the
- 23 three rivers. I want to thank you all for holding
- 24 this meeting. The Mon river, for you -- you've
- 25 really worked so hard on all of this. What I'm

- 1 going to say is very simplistic compared to what my
- 2 colleagues have said, because they know a whole lot
- 3 more than I do.
- 4 But I'm going to give it a try,
- 5 particularly because -- and this is the first time
- 6 I've shared this with people -- where I live, some
- 7 people think it's Camelot. And yet, we had
- 8 unrestricted dumping about five, six years ago, and
- 9 it was dumping of what, I believe, was frack fluid.
- 10 When everything was unregulated, we had trucks
- 11 coming next to a playground on a beautiful meadow
- 12 that had an area for landfill, and they were calling
- 13 it clean.
- 14 A group of us that insisted that this
- 15 be tested found high levels of arsenic, and we kind
- 16 of thought this was what was being dumped. Because
- 17 at the time, they just didn't know where to put the
- 18 wastewater, so they were taking it out to Ohio, they
- 19 were putting it in injection wells. And then Ohio
- 20 had many earthquakes, so they stopped that. So at
- 21 night, these unmarked trucks were coming and
- 22 dumping. I developed granulomatous disease. I was
- 23 told by my doctor that this was not uncommon for
- 24 people who grew up in the Ohio Valley region. I've
- 25 had other chest x-rays; I've never had that before.

- 1 I was found to have very high levels of barium in my
- 2 blood. No one could figure out what was going on,
- 3 so I just connected the dots. As soon as we found
- 4 that there was arsenic in this dump and in this
- 5 landfill, it got paved over, and my coughing and
- 6 pneumonia stopped. So I'm just connecting the dots
- 7 in my head.
- 8 What I'm going to say has been said
- 9 already, but it deserves repeating, I think. So
- 10 again, thank you, and thank you for holding this
- 11 evening's public meeting right here, the first one
- 12 in the river city. It's significant that this is
- 13 the first of three taking place in Pittsburgh, home
- 14 to the confluence of the Ohio River, which starts at
- the Allegheny and Mon and then flows southwesternly
- 16 (sic) all the way, as we have said, to the mouth of
- 17 the Mississippi. But it starts here. So whatever
- 18 we're doing here and as it moves towards Beaver and
- 19 when it picks up in Beaver, it's taken everywhere
- 20 else. It's not the other way around. It's flowing,
- 21 so it's starting here. So not only does the Ohio
- 22 River flow through six states with a drainage basin
- 23 that includes parts of 15 states, it's also the
- 24 source of drinking water, as we have said
- 25 repeatedly, for three million people.

- I had to ask myself why ORSANCO, which
- 2 was established in 1948, was not considering
- 3 relaxing any of its requirements when the Commission
- 4 is to control and evade pollution in the Ohio River
- 5 Basin. In fact, stated on their website, quote,
- 6 ORSANCO and its member states have cooperated --
- 7 thank you very much -- to improve water quality in
- 8 the Ohio River Basin, ensuring the river can be used
- 9 for drinking, industrial supplies and recreational
- 10 purposes and can support a healthy and diverse
- 11 aquatic community, end of quote. Accordingly,
- 12 ORSANCO operates, again, quote, monitoring programs
- 13 to check for pollutants and toxins that may
- 14 interfere with specific uses of the river.
- 15 But the once-beautiful Ohio River, so
- 16 named by the Seneca tribe, is no longer beautiful,
- 17 and, according to ORSANCO, the Ohio River is ranked
- 18 one of the most polluted rivers in the United
- 19 States.
- 20 Given this information, I had to ask
- 21 myself, why would they want to relax rather than
- 22 tighten standards. So I Googled the organization
- 23 and found a listing of what is called nonpoint
- 24 sources, which has been named this evening, of
- 25 pollution, which include urban runoff, agricultural

- 1 activities at abandoned mines as being the major
- 2 causes of pollution. Something seemed to be
- 3 missing. Could that something be radioactivity,
- 4 arsenic as well as unknown chemicals found in
- 5 polluted water from the fracking industry, which has
- 6 been known to dump its polluted wastewater into our
- 7 rivers and streams for the past ten years?
- 8 Additionally, it is expected that the Falcon
- 9 Pipeline would run through 3 Ohio counties, 46 miles
- 10 in the state as well as through Pennsylvania and
- 11 West Virginia, transporting ethane necessary to fuel
- 12 the cracker plant.
- 13 It might be April Fool's Day, but I
- 14 don't think any of us here have been fooled. With
- 15 the recent buildout of the shale petrochemical plant
- 16 in Beaver County along with the anticipated Falcon
- 17 Pipeline, might the relaxation of ORSANCO, not
- 18 intentionally -- but it might be a way to further
- 19 the gas and oil industry's path to way more
- 20 unnecessary plastic and greater pollution for all.
- 21 If all ORSANCO states are not equally administered,
- then one or more of the states have the potential to
- 23 cause harm to the others.
- 24 At this juncture, it is imperative
- 25 that as many regulations, checks and balances and

- 1 thoughtful planning be continued, enhanced and
- 2 adhered to.
- Thanks. Thanks so much.
- 4 (Applause.)
- 5 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. The next
- 6 speaker is John Stolz.
- 7 MR. STOLZ: I'd like to thank ORSANCO for
- 8 having this meeting. I had previously commented on
- 9 the last round, and I'm so glad that you're having
- 10 these hearings. My name is John Stolz. I'm a
- 11 professor of biology at Duquesne University. I'm
- 12 also the director of the center for environmental
- 13 research and education. I've been funded over the
- 14 last six years to look into and research
- 15 water-quality issues in Southwestern Pennsylvania,
- 16 and I'm a member of the Three Rivers QUEST, which is
- 17 an organization or consortium of academics through
- 18 the University of West Virginia that are regularly
- 19 monitoring water quality upon several sites, many
- 20 sites on the Mon, the Al and the Upper Ohio.
- 21 As someone who has researched
- 22 water-quality issues for many years now, I'm
- 23 expressing my concern with ORSANCO's plans to
- 24 dramatically modify their vigilant monitoring of the
- 25 Ohio River Basin.

- 1 ORSANCO has the unique role in
- 2 assessing the water quality of the Ohio River, as it
- 3 passes from its origin at the confluence of the
- 4 Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers in Pittsburgh to
- 5 its confluence with the Mississippi River. It is
- 6 the only authority that engages all of the states
- 7 within the watershed and monitors the health of the
- 8 river. The Ohio River Basin touches many states and
- 9 is the source of both drinking water and water for
- 10 industry.
- The current plans, as currently
- 12 proposed, would undermine the effectiveness of
- 13 ORSANCO, as ORSANCO standards are critical when one
- 14 considers the industries that are exempt from EPA
- 15 oversight. For example, as has been mentioned
- 16 before, hydraulic fracking is exempt from the Safe
- 17 Drinking Water Act due to the 2005 Energy Policy Act
- 18 and there's significant activity for it in the three
- 19 states here; Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia.
- 20 Further, the extensive development of the
- 21 petrochemical industry, as you have heard already,
- 22 plans for Western Pennsylvania, Eastern Ohio and
- 23 West Virginia pose a new and abridging challenge to
- 24 the Ohio River Basin.
- 25 I'll just give a couple of examples.

- 1 One of them just happened early last year. There
- 2 was a blowout at a well in Barnesville, Ohio, that
- 3 lasted over three weeks before they could control
- 4 it. Interestingly enough, it happened in the
- 5 Captina watershed, which is one of the -- it's known
- 6 for its -- as a certified high quality of watershed
- 7 in Ohio.
- 8 The other thing is, as mentioned
- 9 before, that Pennsylvania had experimented with this
- 10 idea of allowing the wastewater from the fracking
- 11 operation to be treated at municipal wastewater
- 12 treatment plants, and we later discovered that it
- 13 was definitely affecting the water quality in the
- 14 three rivers with increased bromide and organics,
- 15 which was then, subsequently, having an issue with
- 16 the drinking water facilities, which we're then
- 17 dealing with trihalomethanes as a result of that.
- 18 Well, even though we stopped that
- 19 practice, we're still getting bromide and organics
- 20 into the three rivers by another mechanism;
- 21 Pennsylvania's currently allowing drinking water --
- 22 I'm sorry, drilling wastes and sludges to landfill
- 23 to a point of 80 percent per day. And as a result,
- 24 this is affecting the composition of the leachate,
- 25 which is often -- in 2015, the state of Pennsylvania

- 1 authorized a bill that allows this leachate to be
- 2 treated at municipal wastewater treatment plants and
- 3 discharged into the rivers of the Ohio.
- 4 So do not underestimate the importance
- 5 of your jobs to protect the water quality of Ohio
- 6 River and the fact that you would engage all the
- 7 states that these waters pass through. There are
- 8 over 108 provisions in the ORSANCO regulations that
- 9 are not covered by the EPA. Thus, the waters of the
- 10 Ohio are at risk if ORSANCO does not fully enforce
- 11 the PCS. Healthy waters are good for the
- 12 environment. The people who rely on its quality and
- 13 the economy of the states it passes through are
- 14 counting on you. Thank you very much.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 MR. POTESTA: The next speaker is Kurt
- 17 Limbach.
- 18 MR. LIMBACH: Hello. My name is Kurt
- 19 Limbach. I'm from Bolivar, PA. I want to thank the
- 20 Commission for giving us the time to have a public
- 21 hearing on this matter. I'm also here to represent
- 22 two organizations that I'm on the board of. One is
- 23 Mountain Watershed Association. We have almost
- 24 2,500 members, mostly in Western Pennsylvania, and
- then Tubmill Trout Club, which has over 300 members.

- 1 I was first exposed to the
- 2 unconventional gas industry when they came to the
- 3 pristine valley where my farm is in Westmoreland
- 4 County in 2011. Tubmill Creek bisects my farm, and
- 5 according to folks at the Western Pennsylvania
- 6 Conservancy, it's one of the highest quality waters
- 7 in Western PA for water quality and biodiversity.
- 8 And I watched Echo Energy come and drill within 30
- 9 feet of a high-quality stream in spite of residents
- 10 like myself asking, since they had 600 acres, could
- 11 they move their well a little further away.
- 12 Both wells had such impact to the
- 13 stream. I watched Echo Energy turn this beautiful
- 14 stream red, yellow, gray and brown. I watched
- 15 neighbors get sick and lose their water. Also
- 16 experienced in Pittsburgh the change in water
- 17 quality with fracking waste being dumped directly
- 18 into the rivers. I don't drink Pittsburgh water
- 19 anymore. As Mr. Stolz has said, that situation
- 20 really hasn't changed. So -- and we're going to
- 21 continue to have this unregulated industry.
- Now, part of this thing is the jobs
- 23 that are promised to the people in the Ohio Valley.
- 24 These industries employed nobody. There's 152,000
- 25 people in the entire United States in the oil and

- 1 gas industry, and that includes onshore and offshore
- 2 in Alaska. There's 85,000 people working in our
- 3 local hospital system at UPMC. So this is not a job
- 4 provider for the local community. It's to destroy
- 5 the environment which we live in.
- 6 Now, I have two experiences in two
- 7 different watersheds. I live in the Conemaugh
- 8 watershed, but I do a lot of work in the Yough
- 9 watershed. The Yough is a pristine watershed, and
- 10 it has a dynamic ecotourism and recreation industry
- 11 producing hundreds of millions of dollars of benefit
- 12 for people in Fayette County. The Conemaugh is a
- dead river, produces no benefits for its community,
- 14 very little economic benefit other than a place for
- 15 frack water and also coal mine discharge and coal
- 16 power plant discharges. That's the only use that
- 17 the locals get out of this river.
- 18 We don't want to see the Ohio turn
- 19 into just another sewer for somebody that doesn't
- 20 even live here. We want to see this pristine
- 21 waterway that drives economic activity of recreation
- 22 and tourism for the demands of people who live along
- 23 it. And to play with fire with five million
- 24 people's drinking water for a few jobs and some
- 25 outsiders getting rich is just a gross insult to the

- 1 whole community in the area.
- Now, we appreciate the hearings, and I
- 3 know you guys need funding to hold these hearings,
- 4 and I wish you had enough funding that you could
- 5 hold a hearing for every single community that has
- 6 to withdraw drinking water out of the Ohio. They
- 7 all deserve hearings, because they're the ones who
- 8 are really going to be impacted if there's problems
- 9 with this petrochemical buildout. And I want to,
- 10 again, urge you to consider the comments of these
- 11 other folks saying that you need to consider these
- 12 new pollutants and their potentialities to really
- impact negatively the Ohio River like we saw the Mon
- 14 get impacted by fracking waste.
- This needs to be monitored. We need
- 16 you guys to be our knights in shining armor and
- 17 protect us. So we need not to go back on standards
- 18 and not to make them voluntary. We saw how that
- 19 worked with spilling wastewater here. They
- 20 continued to run it through municipal waste
- 21 treatment systems even though they were asked not to
- 22 do it. But they do what's convenient and what
- 23 benefits the bottom line. And this is the bottom
- line of an industry that, according to the New York
- 25 Times, has lost \$240 billion and never made a cent,

- 1 and we're getting subjected to this, this massive
- 2 amount of losing money that taxpayers are going to
- 3 be on the book for at some point.
- 4 But I appreciate the time, and I also
- 5 want to echo the other comments other people made.
- 6 We need far more monitoring points. You can't have
- 7 too many places where you're monitoring the river.
- 8 This is a vast river, and there are variances in
- 9 slight distances because of the current, rainfall,
- 10 the way the discharges work. So you really need
- 11 multiple points of monitoring to really have an
- 12 accurate picture of what's going on. And so we
- 13 would certainly appreciate if you guys would push
- 14 for that.
- 15 I want to thank you for your time. I
- 16 don't have my comments in writing. I didn't have
- 17 much notice. This was off the cuff, but I'll give
- 18 you my cards that represent the two organizations
- 19 I'm with, and I will try to make a synopsis of what
- 20 I said and add it to the public record for you guys.
- 21 But we certainly appreciate the hearings. But we
- 22 need to go forward with water quality protection,
- 23 not backwards. We've seen the public damage in
- 24 Pennsylvania; 40 percent of our streams are dead
- 25 permanently -- that's forever -- from mine acid.

- 1 Now, part of the things the Tubmill watershed does,
- 2 we rely on acid remediation. We know it's possible
- 3 to help treat this water and make it clean again.
- 4 But the problem is that we have to treat it in
- 5 geological perpetuity. Do we want to be creating
- 6 more problems like this that my children and their
- 7 children have to face?
- 8 Now, I want to talk about plastic. We
- 9 don't need more plastic. There is no demand for
- 10 increase in plastic in America in the next ten
- 11 years. This is to go overseas. We have two states,
- 12 major states, that just banned single-use plastic,
- 13 California and New York. Now, I urge people -- we
- 14 have these really great eagles along the Allegheny
- 15 River, two babies that are up there in a nest, and
- 16 you can watch them on camera; they got two babies in
- 17 there. Right now, there's a big piece of black
- 18 plastic garbage bag in their nest. I mean, if this
- 19 doesn't highlight the issue, you know, I don't know
- 20 what does. So we don't need to facilitate for
- 21 foreigners. It doesn't provide any jobs to the
- 22 community, and that's going to eliminate jobs and
- 23 recreation and tourism.
- 24 So thank you for your time, and here
- 25 are my cards.

66

- 1 (Applause.)
- 2 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. I have Terrie
- 3 Baumgardner again. Did you want to speak twice,
- 4 ma'am?
- 5 MS. BAUMGARDNER: No, I'm sorry.
- 6 MR. POTESTA: That's all right. Okay.
- 7 Those are all the speakers that have signed up. If
- 8 anyone else would like to speak at this time, you
- 9 can certainly come to this mic and introduce
- 10 yourself.
- 11 MR. POLLARD: Yes, sir. My apologies.
- 12 I'm won't be able to provide any written comments at
- 13 the time except for little scribbles. My name is
- 14 Flynn Pollard. I live in Dormont just up the road.
- 15 I grew up in Southern West Virginia, but I've lived
- 16 here my entire life, pretty much, except for a few
- 17 beautiful years spent in the State of California.
- 18 But I'm back here because the issues here really
- 19 matter to me. And I want to say, yes, thank you, to
- 20 the Commission, for hosting this hearing, but also,
- 21 thank you to all of you who have come here to engage
- 22 with this topic. I mean, the power of a group of
- 23 citizens getting together and stating their
- 24 concerns; I mean, that's what runs America. That's
- 25 what's ran America for entire history. So thank you

- 1 for doing your civic duty.
- 2 We've heard a lot about threats to
- 3 public health, threats to our environment and what
- 4 those threats will mean for our community. I think
- 5 that argument is really important and that the
- 6 collection of issues that have been brought up
- 7 tonight are incredibly powerful. But if I'm honest,
- 8 my scope of American politics, whether it's the
- 9 federal, the state or the community level, has
- 10 suggested to me that a lot of smart engaged people
- 11 talking about real threats doesn't always accomplish
- 12 anything, unfortunately, because there are other
- 13 concerns, other issues that our representatives want
- 14 to hear about, and so I want to make, potentially, a
- 15 different argument than what we've already heard.
- 16 So, again, my notes are scribbled
- 17 here, but looking at it from an economic commission,
- 18 there's a few things I think we really need to draw
- on when we're thinking about investments in this
- 20 area that's related to the production of plastics.
- 21 Okay?
- Now, the European Union, you know, the
- 23 GDP of the collective European Union is roughly
- 24 \$18.8 trillion. Now, that's a big number, but I
- 25 think the bigger number for me is that that's about

- 1 22 percent of global GDP. So almost a quarter of
- 2 all economic activity, in a sense, is happening in
- 3 the European Union. All right. Roughly 55.3
- 4 percent of EU GDP is related specifically to private
- 5 consumption. So that is households purchasing goods
- 6 and services, people purchasing goods and services,
- 7 businesses purchasing/trading goods and services.
- 8 All of that 55.3 percent of almost a quarter of the
- 9 entire global community. Okay?
- 10 I don't have an exact number on how
- 11 much private consumption has something to do with
- 12 plastics, but plastics is a pretty large collection
- 13 of commodities; right? Yeah, I'm sure we all
- 14 have -- I've got plastic on me all over the place;
- 15 right? About half of those commodities related to
- 16 plastic are packaging; single-use plastics, in other
- 17 words. So we can guess the production of plastics
- 18 coming out of this investment -- collection of
- investments, a lot of it's probably going to go to
- 20 single-use plastics.
- 21 Well, the European Union, again, about
- 22 a quarter of our global economy, has made a move.
- 23 In October of last year, the European Union's
- 24 parliament voted 571 to 53 in favor of banning
- 25 single-use plastics for all 28 EU countries by 2021.

- 1 Now, some of that might be affected by Brexit, but
- 2 that's another conversation. Okay. They also
- 3 passed the greatest -- they wanted to work towards
- 4 the reduction of all other uses of plastics, so not
- 5 single-use, but all other-use plastics by 25 percent
- 6 by 2025. Okay. That's one group. All right.
- 7 India, population, roughly, 1.3-ish,
- 8 1.35 billion -- my guess -- about 10 percent of
- 9 global GDP is coming from India. They will be
- 10 banning single-use plastics by 2022. All right.
- 11 China, a population of about 1.4 billion; GDP about
- 12 18.5 percent of global GDP. They have already
- 13 reported a 66 percent drop in the use of plastic
- 14 bags over the last several years; that's a major
- 15 single-use plastic. Multiple provinces in China are
- 16 already banning single-use plastics. We have reason
- 17 to believe that it could happen at the, you know --
- 18 I don't know if "federal" is the proper word for the
- 19 Chinese government, but that level in China, not
- 20 just provinces. And the United States have already
- 21 brought up -- California and New York are moving to
- 22 ban single-use plastics. California has 12 percent
- of the U.S. population, 16 percent of the U.S.
- 24 GDP -- has moved to ban single-use plastics by 2030.
- New York, 8 percent of U.S. GDP, 6 percent of the

- 1 U.S. population, banning single-use plastics.
- Now, moving it back to this area, I
- 3 find it considerably unlikely that shifts in natural
- 4 resource management policies around the Ohio River
- 5 just coincidentally happened around the same time as
- 6 the investments made in activities that are going to
- 7 pollute said river.
- 8 Now, y'all are under a lot of
- 9 pressure. You're under pressure from a group of
- 10 engaged citizens, environmentalists, people
- 11 concerned about public health. You're under
- 12 pressure from state government. You're under
- 13 pressure from industry. It's your job. You are
- 14 public officials. Your job is to be under pressure;
- 15 right? As natural resource managers, you are tasked
- 16 with, you know, in a sense, assessing and policing
- 17 proper use to this river.
- 18 Let me finish up real quick. All
- 19 right. I understand that the narrative that
- 20 suggests jobs and growth cannot be managed and
- 21 purported alongside the environment is really
- 22 popular around here, but large portions of the
- 23 global economy are rejecting that narrative. That's
- 24 happening in the United States. It's happening
- 25 around the world. Are we going to make investments

- 1 that are set to fail, that also give us cancer, that
- 2 also destroy an ecosystem that our children like to
- 3 play in, that also lead this region down the path
- 4 that it's been on for quite some time of sticking
- 5 their heads in the sand and refusing to change with
- 6 the rest of the world. You have the opportunity,
- 7 with the rulemaking that your commission presides
- 8 over, to either help or hinder this region in its
- 9 progress towards the future. I'm asking that you
- 10 help. Thank you.
- 11 (Applause.)
- MR. POTESTA: Thank you. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 MS. BROWN: I'd like to speak.
- 14 MR. POTESTA: Sure.
- MS. BROWN: Thank you all for allowing us
- 16 this forum. My name is Lisa Brown. I'm the
- 17 executive director of Watersheds of South
- 18 Pittsburgh, and we steward two of the most impaired
- 19 streams in Allegheny County, Streets Run and Saw
- 20 Mill Run watersheds. Both of those streams flow
- 21 into the Ohio, and I have to say that what I see
- 22 every day along those streams is heartbreaking. And
- 23 it's heartbreaking because it's a hundred years of
- 24 abuse going back to mining, going back to land use,
- 25 going back to -- now I have, basically, streams that

- 1 are actually quite pretty, but they're surrounded by
- 2 disinvestment.
- And so when we talk about the economy
- 4 and we talk about the economy of water, one of the
- 5 things that strikes me so often is that we neglect
- 6 our watersheds and our rivers and our streams, what
- 7 we end up with is, essentially, blight. No one
- 8 wants to live in a blighted neighborhood, a blighted
- 9 area, a blighted watershed. And if you want to talk
- 10 about economics, the areas around my stream are
- 11 all -- both of my streams are some of the most
- 12 undervalued properties in Allegheny County, and I
- 13 think that could be said of most of our impaired
- 14 streams, that all of the property along them is
- 15 undervalued, and it's undervalued because what it's
- 16 next to is ugly, stinky and polluted.
- 17 And if we want to talk about the
- 18 investments to make in water and water resources, we
- 19 should be talking about making investments and
- 20 improving water quality and making sure that
- 21 everyone is held to the same standard, to making
- 22 sure that all the states participate in improving
- 23 the water quality in the Ohio River, because our
- 24 water is essential to our lives, and it's also
- 25 essential to our economic growth.

- 1 So I implore you to really reconsider
- 2 some of the things that were talked about today. I
- 3 don't want to go into everything, but I think that
- 4 most of the people here really express some very,
- 5 very solid strategies for moving forward on
- 6 improving the situation with the Ohio River. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 MR. POTESTA: Thank you.
- 9 Yes, sir. Do you want to speak?
- 10 FR. ZIEBARTH: My name is Father Michael
- 11 Ziebarth. Yes, I'm a priest, but at the moment, I
- 12 speak for myself, and myself alone.
- 13 My parish is basically the county. It
- 14 goes right along the river. I've been there for
- 15 about five years. I can't see the river from my
- 16 window because I look at West Virginia from the
- 17 river (sic). The -- your website tells me that
- 18 there are 160 species of fish living in the Ohio
- 19 River, and I've never met anybody who would be
- 20 willing to eat one of them.
- 21 Tremendous things have been done to
- 22 improve the river over the course of the last 80
- 23 years, but we still don't eat the fish, and I'm told
- 24 that it's one of the most polluted rivers in the
- 25 country.

- 1 If I understood the initial
- 2 presentations properly, the primary concern of these
- 3 discussions -- and thank you very much for providing
- 4 the forum -- seems to hinge on whether or not to
- 5 adjust the function of ORSANCO in relation to the
- 6 individual states and how much should be delegated
- 7 to the states rather than a consistent
- 8 river-systemwide application.
- 9 I would say I doubt that delegating
- 10 out this kind of responsibility will improve the
- 11 quality of the water of the river. We seem to see
- 12 just the opposite. And to the specific matter that
- 13 I understand this forum to be, I would speak to
- 14 maintain the existing long-established community
- 15 standard of the entire river drainage of ORSANCO. I
- 16 would speak against delegating it out because the
- 17 states don't seem to always be careful about it.
- 18 Water is our life. The
- 19 industry-productive jobs are essential. But I don't
- 20 think we can trust, from experience, the industries
- and the companies to police themselves.
- I will speak to one item not involving
- 23 the petrochemical industry. We know it for a long
- 24 time, Parkersburg. And in the 20 years I've led
- 25 litigation over CA contamination out of the Teflon

75

- 1 plant. It was going on for decades before it went
- 2 to court. It went to court as a civil litigation,
- 3 not by any regulation. The company was mortified.
- 4 It changed itself into new names to duck its
- 5 responsibility. It's still fighting liability after
- 6 20 years. All the other industries along the way,
- 7 whether it be shale or PTT out of Bangkok, Korea and
- 8 China -- that wants to build a cracking plant (sic)
- 9 in my parish. You need to keep an eye on things.
- 10 At least the regulations that you now
- 11 have -- and I don't understand any of the
- 12 regulations being dropped that you already have in
- 13 more pages than I can read of hexachlorobenzene.
- 14 But there probably are a few more regulations that
- 15 you might want to consider with all the new
- 16 pollutions that have been -- new contaminants that
- 17 have been invented since ORSANCO came into existence
- 18 in 1948. And there will be accidents if you let
- 19 them.
- 20 (Cell phone rings.)
- 21 FR. ZIEBARTH: Is that telling me my
- 22 time's up?
- MR. POTESTA: Finish, please.
- FR. ZIEBARTH: Because the professor's
- 25 quite right. They had a well-control incident in

- 1 Belmont County, and I could stand on the hill a mile
- 2 and a half away watching the plume of radioactive
- 3 grime 200 feet in the air, and if I looked to my
- 4 left, I saw the Ohio River Valley; it was that
- 5 close. Accidents happen. If you build it,
- 6 accidents will happen.
- 7 Please, please, please protect the
- 8 river. Make it better than it is. Let their
- 9 children eat the fish they catch in it. Thank you.
- 10 MR. POTESTA: Thank you. Is there anyone
- 11 else that would like to make a comment?
- 12 Thank you very much for your
- 13 attendance and for your comments and for your
- 14 consideration of the proposed proposal. We
- 15 appreciate the input, and all is recorded.
- 16 The hearing is closed. Thank you very
- 17 much.
- 18 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was
- 19 concluded at 7:45 p.m.)
- 20 -----

21

22

23

24

25

\$	20th [1] - 10:23	9	addition [2] - 7:1, 43:21
	22 [1] - 68:1		additional [10] - 11:22,
\$10 [1] - 23:22	24th [1] - 9:23	90 [1] - 15:5	23:23, 35:18, 44:8, 44:11,
\$240 [1] - 63:25	25 [1] - 69:5		44:16, 45:4, 46:11, 47:11,
\$240 [1] - 03.23	26th [2] - 10:23, 10:24	92 [1] - 11:7	47:15
1	27 [1] - 10:14	Α	additionally [3] - 22:12, 23:7,
1	28 [1] - 68:25	A	56:8
			additions [1] - 23:10 address [3] - 19:18, 21:15,
1 [1] - 1:11	3	abandoned [1] - 56:1	21:18
1,700 [1] - 25:9		abide [3] - 35:16, 51:17,	
1.3-ish [1] - 69:7	3 [1] - 56:9	51:24	addressing [1] - 17:18 adequate [1] - 31:13
1.35 [1] - 69:8	3,000 [1] - 18:25	able [5] - 15:10, 28:20, 40:3,	' ''
1.4 [1] - 69:11	3,266 [1] - 2:17	40:25, 66:12	adhere [1] - 23:17
10 [1] - 69:8	30 [2] - 34:5, 61:8	above-entitled [1] - 76:18	adhered [1] - 57:2
108 [1] - 60:8	300 [1] - 60:25	abridging [1] - 58:23	adjust [1] - 74:5
10th [2] - 3:23, 9:23	33 [1] - 35:7	abuse [1] - 71:24	adjustments [1] - 8:3
12 [1] - 69:22	38 [1] - 11:3	academic [1] - 34:23	administered [1] - 56:21
12th [1] - 18:11		academics [1] - 57:17	administration [1] - 17:11
14th [1] - 18:11	4	accept [3] - 5:21, 6:2, 42:9	adopt [8] - 3:17, 29:10,
15 [2] - 34:17, 54:23	-	acceptable [1] - 42:12	29:14, 31:16, 42:8, 42:15,
152,000 [1] - 61:24		accepted [2] - 9:12, 34:21	44:3, 46:13 adopted [1] - 16:6
15205 [1] - 1:8	40 [1] - 64:24	accepting [1] - 5:24	adopted [1] - 10.6
156 [1] - 24:19	400 [1] - 34:2	accidents [3] - 75:18, 76:5,	advised [1] - 41:15
15th [3] - 18:17, 18:19, 19:11	45-day [1] - 18:9	76:6	advisory[3] - 12:16, 12:18,
16 [1] - 69:23	46 [1] - 56:9	accommodate [1] - 33:6	40:11
160 [1] - 73:18	48 [1] - 11:7	accomplish [1] - 67:11	advocate [3] - 35:17, 35:23,
18.5 [1] - 69:12		accomplished [1] - 48:19	44:16
18.8 [1] - 67:24	5	according [4] - 48:23, 55:17,	affect [3] - 22:2, 22:4, 50:2
19 [1] - 14:5		61:5, 63:24	affected [4] - 23:12, 51:7,
1948 [9] - 2:25, 6:18, 21:4,	5,700 [1] - 11:4	accordingly [1] - 55:11	51:12, 69:1
33:8, 33:17, 48:6, 48:23,	5.2 [1] - 37:11	account [4] - 11:18, 12:13,	affecting [2] - 59:13, 59:24
55:2, 75:18	500 [1] - 1:7	13:1, 13:14	affluent [1] - 30:24
1950 [1] - 26:12	53 [1] - 68:24	accountability [1] - 41:7	afraid [2] - 46:9, 50:15
197 [1] - 2:18	55.3 [2] - 68:3, 68:8	accountable [3] - 21:14,	agencies [1] - 22:8
1st [2] - 2:15, 18:10	571 [1] - 68:24	25:17, 41:13	agency [2] - 29:16, 48:21
	-	accurate [1] - 64:12	AGENCY [1] - 1:25
2	6	accurately [1] - 77:4	ago [4] - 7:20, 14:18, 24:11,
	Ī	achieve [1] - 49:3	53:8
2 [1] - 17:5		achieved [1] - 15:17	agreeing [1] - 14:15
2,500 [1] - 60:24	6 [1] - 69:25	achievement [2] - 17:22, 43:7	agrees [1] - 33:8
20 [2] - 74:24, 75:6	6,000 [1] - 11:1	achieving [1] - 32:21	agricultural [1] - 55:25
200 [2] - 18:24, 76:3	60 [1] - 49:24 600 [1] - 61:10	acid [2] - 64:25, 65:2	ahead [1] - 16:17
2003 [2] - 23:15, 25:7	66 [1] - 69:13	acres [1] - 61:10	air [5] - 30:17, 37:16, 37:23,
2005 [3] - 21:25, 36:1, 58:17	• •	Act [10] - 8:14, 8:22, 13:7,	52:10, 76:3
2011 [1] - 61:4	6:00 [3] - 1:12, 2:3, 2:4	17:13, 17:17, 21:24, 35:25,	Al [1] - 57:20
2014 [1] - 44:24	7	42:1, 58:17	Alaska [1] - 62:2
2015 [5] - 4:3, 5:19, 5:24,	1	action [2] - 3:7, 33:11	alleges [1] - 41:9
50:23, 59:25		actions [1] - 4:7	Allegheny [6] - 35:14, 54:15,
2017 [2] - 9:11, 37:11	719 [1] - 2:19	activities [3] - 34:11, 56:1,	58:4, 65:14, 71:19, 72:12
2018 [6] - 3:23, 9:24, 10:9,	7:45 [1] - 76:19	70:6	allow [5] - 6:15, 35:19, 42:25,
10:23, 11:20, 21:10		activity [5] - 38:8, 48:9,	44:20, 46:22
2019 [3] - 1:11, 2:16, 29:8	8	58:18, 62:21, 68:2	allowed [4] - 31:17, 38:9,
2021 [1] - 68:25		ad [5] - 7:21, 8:8, 8:17, 9:9,	42:17, 46:15
2022 [1] - 69:10		9:25	allowing [4] - 36:23, 59:10,
2025 [1] - 69:6	8 _[2] - 10:14, 69:25	adaptable [1] - 3:13	59:21, 71:15
2030 [1] - 69:24	80 [3] - 24:19, 59:23, 73:22	add [2] - 23:15, 64:20	allows [1] - 60:1
2050 [1] - 39:1	85,000 [1] - 62:2	adding [2] - 23:24, 35:17	almost [4] - 45:16, 60:23,
	1	20.27, 00.11	1

2 68:1, 68:8 Article [3] - 3:5, 3:16, 3:21 36:13, 48:2, 48:9, 48:17, Board [1] - 1:15 55:5, 55:8, 57:25, 58:8, alone [1] - 73:12 Asia [1] - 33:17 board [4] - 5:10, 7:3, 7:4, alongside [1] - 70:21 58:24 60:22 aspect [1] - 51:25 alter [1] - 33:5 aspects [1] - 8:24 basin [5] - 32:14, 35:15, Bob [1] - 24:3 alternative [8] - 9:2, 9:8, assault [1] - 27:18 48:12. 48:14. 54:22 bodies [3] - 15:2, 15:7, 39:3 10:1, 10:3, 11:3, 11:5, 16:7 assess [1] - 31:22 basis [1] - 8:1 Bolivar [1] - 60:19 Baumgardner [3] - 36:21, alternatives [5] - 8:19, 8:25, assessing [2] - 58:2, 70:16 bone [1] - 34:19 37:2. 66:3 9:1, 9:25, 12:5 book [2] - 23:24, 64:3 assist [1] - 4:6 **BAUMGARDNER**[2] - 36:22, America [4] - 32:14, 65:10, associated [1] - 35:4 border [2] - 29:22, 29:24 66:5 66:24, 66:25 **Association** [1] - 60:23 born [1] - 26:12 American [2] - 24:17, 67:8 Bay [1] - 41:25 atmospheric [1] - 28:3 **bottled** [1] - 39:3 bear [2] - 35:1, 49:12 bottom [3] - 49:9, 63:23 amount [4] - 11:12, 22:15, attachments [1] - 19:17 38:21, 64:2 beautiful [5] - 53:11, 55:15, **boundaries** [1] - 37:10 attempt [1] - 3:24 55:16, 61:13, 66:17 analysis [1] - 23:17 attend [1] - 18:16 Breathe [2] - 32:11, 49:16 Beaver [6] - 29:25, 37:3, announced [1] - 2:14 attendance [1] - 76:13 breathe [2] - 37:16, 37:23 40:6, 54:18, 54:19, 56:16 annual [1] - 32:3 attending [1] - 2:6 brewing [1] - 39:21 become [4] - 6:5, 8:11, anti [1] - 41:1 attention [1] - 39:24 Brexit [1] - 69:1 22:21. 51:3 anti-backsliding [1] - 41:1 attract [1] - 49:9 Bridgeport [2] - 26:12, 49:21 becomes [2] - 33:23, 44:17 anticipated [1] - 56:16 brief [2] - 4:15, 6:6 attributed [1] - 26:17 becoming [1] - 34:15 anyway [1] - 51:23 bringing [1] - 20:10 August [1] - 10:23 bed [1] - 28:7 apologies [1] - 66:11 bromide [2] - 59:14, 59:19 authority [5] - 2:23, 3:16, apologize [1] - 20:20 began [1] - 7:19 brought [4] - 9:9, 12:11, 7:13, 21:16, 58:6 begin [1] - 38:14 Appalachian [1] - 34:1 AUTHORIZATION[1] - 1:25 67:6, 69:21 begins [1] - 43:9 applaud [1] - 21:7 authorized [2] - 10:10, 60:1 **BROWN** [2] - 71:13, 71:15 behalf [2] - 4:18, 16:19 applause [1] - 26:5 brown [1] - 61:14 authorizes [1] - 3:16 behind [2] - 27:3, 52:2 **Applause** [7] - 24:2, 45:10, available [8] - 2:20, 3:9, Brown [1] - 71:16 Belmont [3] - 22:14, 30:3, 52:15, 57:4, 60:15, 66:1, 17:3, 17:4, 31:23, 43:17, brownfield [1] - 26:25 76:1 71:11 44:18, 47:6 brunt [1] - 35:1 Belpre [1] - 45:18 applicable [1] - 41:10 Avenue [1] - 1:7 Buckeye [1] - 26:8 benefit [2] - 62:11, 62:14 application [1] - 74:8 avenues [1] - 23:6 **build** [3] - 29:19, 75:8, 76:5 apply [4] - 14:24, 15:3, benefits [2] - 62:13, 63:23 avoids [1] - 41:21 buildout [6] - 39:22, 51:2, best [2] - 41:16, 48:18 29:19, 41:20 aware [1] - 39:2 51:15, 52:4, 56:15, 63:9 appointed [3] - 7:5, 7:7, better [3] - 12:5, 35:19, 76:8 buildup [5] - 21:18, 22:3, between [8] - 8:24, 9:23. 27:13 23:8, 35:13, 44:12 В 10:23, 14:9, 43:21, 43:23, appointment [2] - 7:21, 8:8 built [2] - 30:8, 51:11 45:5. 47:17 appreciate [7] - 20:10, 40:13, bumped [1] - 24:19 babies [2] - 65:15, 65:16 Bev [2] - 49:19, 49:21 63:2, 64:4, 64:13, 64:21, burden [1] - 49:13 background [2] - 7:18, 13:17 beyond [1] - 27:23 76:15 Bush/Cheney [1] - 36:2 backsliding [1] - 41:1 appreciated [1] - 20:2 **big** [2] - 65:17, 67:24 businesses [3] - 29:19, backwards [2] - 38:4, 64:23 appropriate [2] - 20:6, 31:18 bigger [1] - 67:25 29:21, 68:7 **bad** [1] - 26:20 approval [1] - 3:5 biggest [1] - 25:14 **bylaws** [1] - 2:16 bag [1] - 65:18 approved [1] - 6:21 bikes [1] - 50:14 bags [1] - 69:14 April [5] - 1:11, 18:17, 18:19, bill [2] - 36:2, 60:1 balance [1] - 14:8 19:11, 56:13 billion [3] - 63:25, 69:8, balances [1] - 56:25 aquatic [4] - 3:12, 22:5, 27:5, 69:11 CA [1] - 74:25 ban [2] - 69:22, 69:24 55:11 billions [1] - 30:1 California [4] - 65:13, 66:17, Bangkok [1] - 75:7 aquifer [1] - 32:17 biodiversity [1] - 61:7 69:21, 69:22 bank [1] - 26:19 area [11] - 15:8, 27:8, 34:20, biology [1] - 57:11 Camelot [1] - 53:7 banned [1] - 65:12 39:18, 40:3, 45:21, 53:12, bisects [1] - 61:4 camera [1] - 65:16 banning [4] - 68:24, 69:10, 63:1, 67:20, 70:2, 72:9 **bit** [3] - 4:24, 7:18, 10:6 69:16, 70:1 cancer [3] - 28:20, 34:19, areas [2] - 34:24, 72:10 bitter [1] - 30:14 barium [1] - 54:1 71:1 argument [2] - 67:5, 67:15 black [1] - 65:17 Barnesville [1] - 59:2 cancers [1] - 34:24 BLAKEMAN [1] - 39:11 arise [1] - 44:11 cane [1] - 52:19 based [4] - 12:1, 13:12, armor [1] - 63:16 Blakeman [2] - 39:10, 39:11 cannot [2] - 30:23, 70:20 arsenic [3] - 53:15, 54:4, 42:18, 46:17 blight [1] - 72:7 baseline [1] - 41:11 Canon [1] - 34:17 56:4 blighted [3] - 72:8, 72:9 Basin [15] - 24:6, 25:4, Canon-McMillan [1] - 34:17 art [1] - 23:3 blood [1] - 54:2 33:10, 33:20, 33:22, 34:15, capable [1] - 3:11 artery [1] - 32:13 blowout [1] - 59:2

3 Captina [1] - 59:5 citizens [4] - 22:9, 36:24, 16:20, 25:24, 43:14, 47:3, component [1] - 30:16 67:17, 71:7 carcinogenic [1] - 22:20 66:23, 70:10 composition [1] - 59:24 city [1] - 54:12 Commission [41] - 2:10, cards [2] - 64:18, 65:25 compounds [2] - 22:2, 23:15 civic [1] - 67:1 2:24, 3:17, 3:23, 4:6, 4:18, compressor [1] - 37:19 care [1] - 5:7 civil [1] - 75:2 4:22. 5:4. 5:8. 5:13. 6:18. careful [1] - 74:17 concern [4] - 27:14, 40:20, 7:14. 8:9. 9:10. 9:11. 9:17. carp [1] - 26:19 Clairton [1] - 24:14 57:23, 74:2 9:21, 10:7, 10:9, 10:10, carpet [1] - 49:10 clarity [2] - 29:18, 29:20 concerned [4] - 36:25, 46:3, 10:20, 11:21, 11:23, 12:3, Clean [7] - 8:13, 8:22, 13:6, 52:11, 70:11 case [1] - 25:8 12:9, 12:14, 12:23, 13:15, catch [1] - 76:9 17:13, 17:17, 35:25, 42:1 concerning [1] - 15:12 14:13, 16:6, 16:20, 17:7, concerns [4] - 39:20, 44:11, catching [2] - 26:19, 50:16 clean [2] - 53:13, 65:3 17:16, 17:20, 18:1, 24:7, clear [1] - 31:11 66:24, 67:13 catfish [1] - 26:19 33:4, 55:3, 60:20, 66:20 conclude [1] - 44:15 caused [1] - 30:20 Cleveland [1] - 52:21 Commission's [6] - 2:16, concluded [1] - 76:19 causes [2] - 27:13, 56:2 climate [1] - 48:24 10:9, 12:10, 12:20, 13:8, CCMS [1] - 23:10 clock [1] - 20:8 conclusion [1] - 27:16 16:5 condition [1] - 3:9 cell [1] - 30:20 close [3] - 20:11, 38:8, 76:5 Commissioner [5] - 2:11, Cell [1] - 75:20 closed [2] - 45:4, 76:16 **conditions** [2] - 28:3, 42:13 2:12, 5:10, 5:11, 5:13 cent [1] - 63:25 closer [1] - 23:11 conduct [2] - 3:24, 23:14 commissioners [11] - 7:5, conducted [2] - 10:12, 10:22 center [2] - 28:8, 57:12 closes [1] - 18:17 7:7, 10:15, 11:14, 24:5, Club [1] - 60:25 Conemaugh [2] - 62:7, 62:12 centered [1] - 7:16 32:22, 36:4, 36:7, 36:14, coal [2] - 62:15 confluence [3] - 54:14, 58:3, century [2] - 26:22, 38:24 40:2, 40:15 58:5 **Coalition** [1] - 39:12 certain [1] - 28:3 commissions [2] - 13:2, Cochran [1] - 1:20 Congress [2] - 3:5, 6:21 certainly [4] - 21:22, 64:13, 25:15 64:21, 66:9 **COCHRAN** [1] - 20:20 **connected** [1] - 54:3 commitment [1] - 42:4 certified [1] - 59:6 coincidentally [1] - 70:5 connecting [1] - 54:6 committed [1] - 17:20 collaboration [1] - 14:14 connects [1] - 32:14 certify [1] - 77:3 committee [16] - 4:1, 7:21, **CERTIFYING** [1] - 1:25 collaborative [1] - 14:16 Connie [2] - 45:12, 45:14 8:9, 8:17, 9:9, 10:1, 10:13, cesspool [1] - 26:21 colleagues [1] - 53:2 consensus [3] - 11:14, 12:3, 10:17, 10:18, 11:11, 11:21, 12:11 chair [1] - 2:10 collection [3] - 67:6, 68:12, 12:1, 12:16, 12:18, 13:18, consent [1] - 49:12 68.18 chairman [3] - 4:17, 5:13, 40:11 Conservancy [1] - 61:6 collective [1] - 67:23 14:17 **committee's** [1] - 9:12 consider [11] - 9:19, 23:2, **challenge** [1] - 58:23 Collier [1] - 52:20 **committees** [2] - 10:15, 23:24, 33:12, 34:6, 34:13, challenges [3] - 15:11, combination [1] - 31:10 10:16 35:8, 39:21, 63:10, 63:11, 15:12, 16:8 comeback [1] - 27:4 **commodities** [2] - 68:13, 75:15 change [5] - 19:3, 41:14, coming [9] - 13:16, 22:16, 68:15 considerably [1] - 70:3 48:24, 61:16, 71:5 25:12, 27:18, 28:4, 53:11, common [1] - 48:20 consideration [5] - 12:10, changed [2] - 61:20, 75:4 53:21, 68:18, 69:9 Communications [1] - 1:20 changes [1] - 13:11 27:21, 41:2, 51:15, 76:14 Comission's [1] - 2:17 communities [2] - 34:16, considered [1] - 10:7 Charles [1] - 36:8 commence [1] - 2:3 36:17 considering [2] - 29:21, 55:2 commencement [1] - 43:3 check [1] - 55:13 community [11] - 37:12, considers [2] - 4:6, 58:14 checks [1] - 56:25 comment [17] - 10:20, 11:9, 55:11, 62:4, 62:13, 63:1, consistent [3] - 13:23, 14:2, chemical [1] - 23:17 12:20, 12:25, 15:1, 15:24, 63:5, 65:22, 67:4, 67:9, 74:7 chemicals [3] - 22:19, 36:2, 16:19, 17:25, 19:9, 20:4, 68:9, 74:14 43:1, 43:4, 43:9, 43:23, consistently [1] - 29:5 56:4 compact [21] - 3:6, 3:8, 3:22, Chesapeake [1] - 41:24 46:23, 49:21, 76:11 consortium [1] - 57:17 6:19, 6:20, 7:1, 7:12, constituting [1] - 48:12 chest [1] - 53:25 **commented** [1] - 57:8 13:24, 13:25, 14:3, 15:3, childhood [2] - 34:19, 34:24 commenters [1] - 11:7 construction [3] - 22:12, 15:8, 17:10, 17:23, 21:3, 30:1, 37:4 children [5] - 35:1, 65:6, comments [37] - 2:7, 4:5, 33:8, 33:18, 42:2, 42:7, consumers [1] - 23:21 4:10, 4:21, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 65:7, 71:2, 76:9 43:7, 48:7 9:18, 9:24, 10:25, 11:1, consumption [2] - 68:5, China [4] - 69:11, 69:15, Compact [2] - 2:24, 3:16 11:4, 11:8, 11:12, 11:18, 68:11 69:19, 75:8 companies [2] - 23:17, 74:21 12:2, 12:12, 13:15, 18:18, contain [1] - 17:18 **Chinese** [1] - 69:19 company [2] - 27:12, 75:3 18:20, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19, contained [1] - 77:4 chloramination [1] - 25:19 comparable [2] - 17:22, 43:6 20:3, 20:11, 24:1, 40:1, contaminants [7] - 15:11, **chlorinated** [1] - 24:17 comparable-use [1] - 43:6 40:9, 45:9, 45:22, 49:14, 22:7, 22:10, 34:11, 35:3, **chloroform** [1] - 24:20 compare [2] - 43:17, 47:6 63:10, 64:5, 64:16, 66:12, 35:6, 75:16 Chuck [3] - 1:16, 2:11, 5:11 compared [1] - 53:1 76:13 contamination [1] - 74:25 Cincinnati [3] - 18:14, 27:23, completed [1] - 9:21 commission [11] - 10:10, contemplated [1] - 17:10 45:21 complexes [1] - 27:7 10:14, 11:20, 11:25, 12:22, contents [1] - 45:2 circumstances [1] - 31:11 complicated [1] - 51:22

4 continue [10] - 11:22, 32:2, crisscrossing [1] - 30:9 details [2] - 11:2, 44:13 distributed [1] - 2:15 38:12, 43:11, 44:5, 46:8, criteria [14] - 8:2, 8:4, 9:4, detected [1] - 22:25 distribution [3] - 18:15, 47:1, 47:9, 49:1, 61:21 10:3, 13:10, 13:13, 14:24, 18:25. 43:13 detection [4] - 22:23, 23:7, continued [2] - 57:1, 63:20 15:14, 15:15, 16:7, 41:19, 35:19, 35:20 district [1] - 15:3 continues [1] - 17:15 43:18, 47:7 develop[1] - 32:3 District [1] - 34:17 control [53] - 2:8, 3:25, 4:1, **critical** [2] - 34:8, 58:13 developed [7] - 12:9, 42:22, diverse [1] - 55:10 4:2, 5:17, 5:19, 5:23, 7:11, cryogenic [2] - 30:12, 37:19 43:11, 46:19, 47:1, 48:5, doctor [1] - 53:23 7:16, 7:22, 7:25, 8:11, cuff [1] - 64:17 53.22 document [1] - 2:24 8:21, 10:12, 10:17, 11:10, current [14] - 2:10, 7:10, developing [3] - 41:14, dollars [1] - 62:11 11:25, 13:1, 13:18, 16:5, 21:19, 29:10, 30:19, 35:18, 43:16, 47:5 done [8] - 9:14, 9:22, 16:2, 17:6, 17:19, 19:6, 21:8, 40:14, 40:20, 41:5, 42:11, development [5] - 17:8, 23:15, 25:7, 44:9, 47:12, 24:7, 29:9, 29:11, 29:15, 44:7, 52:4, 58:11, 64:9 21:21, 23:8, 31:3, 58:20 73:21 31:12, 31:25, 32:25, 33:19, cutting [1] - 34:22 deviate [8] - 31:17, 38:9, **DONNAN** [1] - 24:5 34:9, 36:16, 38:1, 40:14, Cycle [1] - 50:9 42:17, 42:22, 42:25, 46:16, Donnan's [1] - 24:3 40:19, 42:8, 42:11, 46:1, 46:20, 46:22 door [1] - 26:23 46:14, 48:5, 48:15, 49:4, D deviation [2] - 31:18, 43:11 **Dormont** [1] - 66:14 49:6, 50:2, 50:5, 50:21, deviations [1] - 47:1 dots [2] - 54:3, 54:6 51:17, 51:20, 55:4, 59:3, dialysis [1] - 25:21 DoubleTree [1] - 1:6 damage [2] - 30:23, 64:23 75:25 died [1] - 26:16 doubt [1] - 74:9 damaged [1] - 52:6 convenient [1] - 63:22 diesel [2] - 28:14, 37:21 down [3] - 27:23, 28:10, 71:3 data [1] - 23:18 conversation [1] - 69:2 differences [1] - 43:23 downstream [3] - 30:3, Davitt [1] - 36:8 convey [1] - 30:10 different [7] - 8:5, 8:14, 36:19, 45:3 daycare [1] - 28:8 cooperated [1] - 55:6 12:24, 13:3, 15:22, 62:7, draft [3] - 18:1, 43:6, 43:8 dead [2] - 62:13, 64:24 cooperation [2] - 33:18, 67:15 drainage [3] - 48:11, 54:22, dealing [1] - 59:17 48:19 differently [1] - 13:5 74:15 decades [3] - 15:7, 33:3, Coordinator [1] - 1:20 direct [1] - 49:14 dramatically [1] - 57:24 75:1 **copy** [2] - 2:19, 17:3 direction [1] - 7:13 draw [1] - 67:18 decision [4] - 4:12, 7:8, 7:10, correct [3] - 20:13, 20:14, directly [5] - 19:13, 34:3, dream [1] - 50:15 44:3 77:6 49:22, 49:23, 61:17 drill [2] - 34:22, 61:8 decision-makers [1] - 7:8 cost [2] - 14:4, 29:17 director [5] - 4:15, 5:4, 5:6, drilled [4] - 26:24, 28:6, 28:7, decision-making [1] - 4:12 cost-effective [2] - 14:4, 57:12, 71:17 34.20 decisions [2] - 4:8, 51:16 29:17 Director [2] - 1:19, 1:20 drilling [2] - 24:12, 59:22 decrease [1] - 52:13 coughing [1] - 54:5 directors [1] - 7:4 drink [3] - 37:16, 37:23, counties [1] - 56:9 deemed [1] - 33:3 dirtiest [1] - 37:7 61.18 defend [1] - 48:1 counting [2] - 23:20, 60:14 discharge [8] - 9:5, 17:16, **Drinking** [1] - 58:17 deferring [1] - 8:22 countries [1] - 68:25 17:21, 18:1, 31:4, 33:2, drinking [15] - 21:5, 22:4, definitely [1] - 59:13 country [2] - 37:8, 73:25 41:12, 62:15 23:4, 23:20, 29:7, 35:7, deflect [1] - 32:20 countryside [1] - 30:10 discharged [1] - 60:3 37:5, 42:6, 54:24, 55:9, degree [1] - 3:20 County [10] - 22:14, 25:10, discharges [7] - 4:2, 5:18, 58:9, 59:16, 59:21, 62:24, **Delaware** [1] - 24:6 29:25, 30:3, 56:16, 61:4, 5:23, 19:1, 31:7, 62:16, 63:6 delegated [1] - 74:6 62:12, 71:19, 72:12, 76:1 64:10 drive [1] - 50:10 county [2] - 27:24, 73:13 delegating [2] - 74:9, 74:16 discharging [1] - 41:20 drives [1] - 62:21 deliberation [2] - 11:22, 33:3 couple [2] - 24:24, 58:25 disclosure [1] - 35:23 drop [2] - 32:18, 69:13 demand [1] - 65:9 course [4] - 25:20, 31:20, discovered [1] - 59:12 dropped [1] - 75:12 demands [1] - 62:22 44:19, 73:22 discretion [1] - 46:4 duck [1] - 75:4 demonstrate [2] - 51:21, Court [1] - 77:11 discretionary [2] - 21:11, due [5] - 17:10, 40:25, 41:10, 51:23 court [3] - 6:9, 75:2 40:21 44:22, 58:17 **DEP** [3] - 24:23, 24:25 coverage [1] - 35:19 discuss [1] - 17:2 dump [2] - 54:4, 56:6 derived [1] - 13:9 covered [1] - 60:9 discussed [1] - 9:25 dumped [3] - 33:24, 53:16, descends [1] - 39:5 cracker [9] - 22:13, 22:14, **discussing** [1] - 49:5 61:17 29:25, 30:4, 31:3, 40:6, deserve [1] - 63:7 discussion [1] - 47:24 dumping [5] - 25:1, 51:3, 51:7, 51:10, 56:12 deserves [1] - 54:9 discussions [1] - 74:3 53:8, 53:9, 53:22 designated [2] - 16:13, 41:17 cracking [1] - 75:8 disease [2] - 26:16, 53:22 Duquesne [2] - 1:9, 57:11 create [3] - 35:10, 41:23, desperate [1] - 25:13 disinvestment [1] - 72:2 duration [1] - 8:16 despite [1] - 45:1 49.8 disrupting [1] - 22:21 during [2] - 38:24, 43:25 destroy [2] - 62:4, 71:2 creates [1] - 24:10 disruption [1] - 30:23 **Duritsa** [4] - 1:16, 2:11, 5:11, creating [2] - 24:18, 65:5 destruction [1] - 28:17 distance [1] - 30:3 36:8 Creek [1] - 61:4 detailed [3] - 11:2, 11:3, distances [1] - 64:9 duty [1] - 67:1 44:14

dynamic [1] - 62:10 endured [1] - 26:15 56:11 43:20 energy [1] - 36:2 Ethno [1] - 26:24 extensive [3] - 10:25, 36:9, Ε Energy [4] - 21:24, 58:17, **EU** [2] - 68:4, 68:25 58:20 61:8, 61:13 Europe [1] - 33:17 extensively [1] - 10:16 enforce [2] - 21:17, 60:10 European [5] - 67:22, 67:23, extinguish [1] - 30:22 e-mail [2] - 18:25, 19:10 extinguishable [1] - 30:22 engage [2] - 60:6, 66:21 68:3, 68:21, 68:23 e-mails [2] - 2:18, 19:13 engaged [2] - 67:10, 70:10 evade [1] - 55:4 extract [1] - 33:15 eagles [1] - 65:14 engages [1] - 58:6 **evadement** [1] - 48:16 extraction [1] - 35:2 early [2] - 44:18, 59:1 enhanced [1] - 57:1 evaluate [1] - 32:2 eye [1] - 75:9 earthquakes [1] - 53:20 evaluation [1] - 38:12 enjoy [1] - 50:11 Eastern [1] - 58:22 F ensure [1] - 22:24 **Evansville** [1] - 18:14 eat [3] - 73:20, 73:23, 76:9 evening [5] - 16:21, 16:23, ensuring [1] - 55:8 eating [1] - 50:20 28:25, 36:22, 55:24 enter [1] - 22:10 Echo [2] - 61:8, 61:13 face [2] - 32:19, 65:7 entered [1] - 6:13 evening's [1] - 54:11 echo [1] - 64:5 facilitate [1] - 65:20 entire [8] - 38:24, 45:25, event [1] - 44:25 economic [8] - 42:19, 46:17, facilities [6] - 21:25, 22:8, 50:18, 61:25, 66:16, 66:25, eventually [1] - 30:7 48:15, 62:14, 62:21, 67:17, 23:13, 48:13, 51:4, 59:16 68:9, 74:15 every-three-year [1] - 8:1 facility [4] - 34:5, 51:9. 68:2, 72:25 entities [1] - 18:25 everywhere [2] - 36:19, 51:10. 51:12 economics [1] - 72:10 entitled [1] - 76:18 54:19 fact [7] - 35:15, 45:1, 48:23, economy [5] - 60:13, 68:22, entity [1] - 41:20 evidence [1] - 77:3 51:19, 52:5, 55:5, 60:6 70:23, 72:3, 72:4 entrance [1] - 2:21 evolution [1] - 52:9 factories [4] - 30:7, 30:8, ecosystem [1] - 71:2 **environment** [8] - 22:3, Ewing's [1] - 34:19 ecosystems [1] - 22:5 30:13, 31:4 22:22, 36:17, 38:22, 60:12, ex [1] - 27:12 ecotourism [1] - 62:10 factors [2] - 17:11, 41:10 62:5, 67:3, 70:21 ex-oil [1] - 27:12 fail [2] - 30:23, 71:1 education [1] - 57:13 Environmental [2] - 39:12, exact [2] - 15:20, 68:10 fails [1] - 21:14 effect [1] - 5:20 47:25 example [1] - 58:15 Fair [1] - 47:25 effective [3] - 14:4, 29:17, environmental [5] - 22:1, examples [1] - 58:25 fairly [2] - 5:1, 8:16 44:18 27:9, 35:16, 36:10, 57:12 except [3] - 22:6, 66:13, faithful [1] - 33:18 effectively [4] - 9:3, 10:2, environmentalists [1] -66:16 Falcon [2] - 56:8, 56:16 14:13, 15:13 70:10 execs [1] - 27:12 effectiveness [1] - 58:12 fall [1] - 52:18 **EPA**[9] - 8:13, 13:9, 16:10, executive [3] - 4:14, 5:4, effects [1] - 21:22 familiar [1] - 27:19 24:24, 27:13, 47:7, 50:23, 71:17 efficacy [1] - 49:6 family [6] - 20:25, 23:2, 58:14, 60:9 Executive [1] - 1:19 efforts [1] - 41:24 44:23, 45:15, 45:25, 46:8 EPA's [1] - 13:12 exempt [4] - 21:25, 35:25, far [5] - 21:9, 21:22, 44:19, eight [4] - 6:20, 6:21, 7:6, EPA-recommended [1] -58:14, 58:16 14:14 52:20, 64:6 13:9 exercise [1] - 50:12 Eisenfeld [2] - 47:21, 47:24 far-reaching [1] - 21:22 epidemic [1] - 34:18 existence [1] - 75:17 farm [2] - 61:3, 61:4 **EISENFELD** [1] - 47:22 equally [1] - 56:21 existing [4] - 37:25, 40:24, Father [1] - 73:10 either [4] - 20:4, 49:16, **equipment** [1] - 47:16 48:16, 74:14 51:20, 71:8 favor [4] - 11:2, 11:3, 11:15, equivalency [2] - 51:21, exists [1] - 44:21 element [1] - 4:10 68:24 expanded [3] - 9:7, 23:10, Fayette [1] - 62:12 elements [1] - 52:10 equivalent [6] - 21:15, 33:8, 32:15 eliminate [2] - 29:10, 65:22 fear [2] - 27:5, 41:3 41:8, 42:16, 44:2, 46:14 expanding [1] - 10:1 February [4] - 9:23, 12:22, eliminated [4] - 9:3, 9:6, Erie [1] - 52:22 **expansion** [1] - 37:13 12:23, 13:19 10:2, 15:14 especially [4] - 25:4, 27:24, **expansions** [1] - 38:16 eliminating [1] - 8:20 federal [8] - 6:24, 7:6, 13:6, 36:6, 38:7 expected [1] - 56:8 17:11, 17:12, 17:17, 67:9, emerging [1] - 15:11 essence [2] - 16:4, 16:25 **experience** [5] - 30:15, 69:18 emphasize [1] - 4:8 essential [4] - 44:6, 72:24, 36:10, 44:22, 52:21, 74:20 fee [1] - 23:22 employed [1] - 61:24 72:25, 74:19 **experienced** [1] - 61:16 **employees** [1] - 14:5 feedstock [1] - 37:18 essentially [4] - 7:13, 8:23, experiences [1] - 62:6 feet [3] - 26:13, 61:9, 76:3 enabling [1] - 3:4 15:12, 72:7 experimented [1] - 59:9 Fetting [2] - 32:7, 32:10 enact [1] - 33:9 establish [2] - 41:11, 43:5 explode [1] - 30:15 **FETTING** [1] - 32:8 **enactment** [1] - 3:3 established [4] - 17:12, 19:4, **exploded** [1] - 34:1 few [7] - 6:12, 14:18, 50:1, encourage [2] - 25:23, 40:7 55:2, 74:14 explosion [2] - 30:19, 30:21 62:24, 66:16, 67:18, 75:14 end [7] - 10:20, 15:15, 15:16, estimates [1] - 30:5 exposed [1] - 61:1 field [1] - 27:14 16:13, 17:24, 55:11, 72:7 ETA [1] - 43:18 express [1] - 73:4 fifty [1] - 26:23 endocrine [1] - 22:21 ethane [7] - 22:12, 22:14, **expressing** [1] - 57:23 fighting [1] - 75:5 endocrine-disrupting [1] -29:25, 30:4, 30:16, 31:3, extended [3] - 23:2, 30:21, figure [1] - 54:2 22:21

6 frack [3] - 25:11, 53:9, 62:15 **filtration** [1] - 23:3 goals [4] - 10:8, 12:13, 60.11 final [1] - 4:8 frackable [1] - 30:5 17:23, 43:7 hear [2] - 39:19, 67:14 goods [3] - 68:5, 68:6, 68:7 heard [4] - 38:25, 58:21, finally [1] - 24:24 fracked [3] - 22:11, 30:10, 33:21 Google [1] - 19:5 67:2, 67:15 financially [1] - 23:23 frackers [2] - 24:12, 24:25 Googled [1] - 55:22 HEARING [1] - 1:4 financing [1] - 44:6 findings [1] - 32:4 fracking [15] - 22:17, 22:19, government [3] - 6:25, Hearing [1] - 1:15 finish [2] - 70:18, 75:23 23:12, 23:16, 24:13, 25:9, 69:19, 70:12 hearing [23] - 2:5, 2:14, 2:22, 33:24, 34:25, 35:24, 37:18, governors [3] - 2:25, 6:20, 4:4, 4:20, 5:10, 5:21, 6:1, fire [2] - 30:20, 62:23 first [9] - 4:19, 13:22, 19:25, 56:5, 58:16, 59:10, 61:17, 7:5 6:13, 6:16, 10:24, 11:6, 63:14 17:2, 32:9, 39:14, 39:17, 20:14, 37:12, 53:5, 54:11, grandparents [1] - 26:14 frame [1] - 20:9 39:19, 60:21, 63:5, 66:20, 54:13, 61:1 granted [1] - 11:23 frames [1] - 44:10 granulomatous [1] - 53:22 76:16, 77:5 fish [7] - 3:12, 24:9, 25:21, free [1] - 3:12 hearing's [1] - 3:15 39:1, 73:18, 73:23, 76:9 gravel [1] - 28:7 friends [2] - 20:25, 40:5 hearings [7] - 18:12, 18:23, **five** [15] - 6:14, 8:25, 9:24, gray [2] - 28:19, 61:14 FROM [1] - 1:25 57:10, 63:2, 63:3, 63:7, 12:4, 20:7, 21:6, 29:7, Great [1] - 41:24 64:21 front [2] - 20:22, 26:23 great [5] - 14:7, 27:14, 48:12, 32:23, 35:8, 35:22, 37:6, heartbreaking [2] - 71:22, 47:9, 53:8, 62:23, 73:15 fuel [1] - 56:11 48:14, 65:14 71:23 five-minute [1] - 20:7 full [4] - 14:5, 34:10, 35:23, greater [1] - 56:20 heartened [1] - 27:4 flexibility [2] - 16:7, 16:11 38:12 greatest [1] - 69:3 **Heath** [4] - 1:20, 5:6, 6:3, floods [1] - 26:16 full-time [1] - 14:5 Green [1] - 1:6 16:17 floor [1] - 19:22 fully [2] - 60:10, 77:4 grew [4] - 26:18, 52:21, **HEATH** [2] - 16:23, 20:16 flow [5] - 15:5, 30:24, 49:1, fumes [1] - 37:21 53:24, 66:15 heavier [1] - 30:17 54:22, 71:20 fun [1] - 28:20 grime [1] - 76:3 held [3] - 2:7, 18:10, 72:21 flowing [1] - 54:20 function [1] - 74:5 gross [1] - 62:25 hell [1] - 28:22 flows [1] - 54:15 functions [1] - 44:6 ground [3] - 30:17, 39:20, hello [2] - 45:14, 60:18 fluid [2] - 35:24, 53:9 fundamental [1] - 8:7 51:3 help [4] - 23:24, 65:3, 71:8, Flynn [1] - 66:14 funded [1] - 57:13 group [5] - 7:9, 53:14, 66:22, 71.10 69:6, 70:9 focus [1] - 15:15 funding [6] - 23:6, 44:7, growth [4] - 37:13, 48:8, helpful [1] - 13:20 47:10, 47:15, 63:3, 63:4 focused [1] - 10:1 hereby [1] - 77:3 folks [7] - 11:7, 12:16, 18:15, future [7] - 15:11, 33:13, 70:20, 72:25 19:24, 40:5, 61:5, 63:11 33:19, 33:20, 34:10, 48:15, guess [2] - 68:17, 69:8 hermination [1] - 43:5 hexachlorobenzene [1] follow [1] - 51:20 71:9 guidelines [1] - 31:15 75:13 following [5] - 3:3, 12:8, Gulf [2] - 32:15, 36:14 hi [1] - 24:5 40:12, 42:12, 46:6 G Gush [1] - 77:10 high [5] - 22:16, 53:15, 54:1, Fool's [1] - 56:13 guys [4] - 63:3, 63:16, 64:13, 59:6, 61:9 fooled [1] - 56:14 64:20 Gail [2] - 52:17, 52:19 high-pressure [1] - 22:16 foolhardy [1] - 25:5 **Gallipolis** [1] - 45:6 high-quality [1] - 61:9 force [1] - 52:1 Н gallons [3] - 25:11, 25:12, higher [1] - 34:24 foreigners [1] - 65:21 33:22 foremost [1] - 13:22 highest [1] - 61:6 games [1] - 27:6 hair [1] - 28:19 forever [1] - 64:25 **highlight** [1] - 65:19 half [3] - 35:24, 68:15, 76:2 garbage [1] - 65:18 highlighting [1] - 4:15 forgive [1] - 20:12 gas [23] - 21:25, 27:24, 28:5, Halliburton [1] - 36:1 highly [2] - 30:11, 30:18 formal [1] - 6:8 28:12, 30:5, 30:11, 31:1, hand [1] - 39:15 hill [2] - 28:10, 76:1 formation [1] - 40:14 33:14, 33:16, 34:3, 34:7, hands [1] - 42:2 Hilton [1] - 1:6 **formed** [1] - 6:18 34:23, 35:2, 35:4, 35:13, hard [3] - 17:3, 40:13, 52:25 hinder [1] - 71:8 forming [1] - 4:11 37:14, 38:15, 44:12, 56:19, harm [3] - 36:17, 52:13, hinge [1] - 74:4 forms [1] - 52:8 61:2, 62:1 56:23 historical [1] - 21:20 forth [2] - 24:9, 25:22 GDP [8] - 67:23, 68:1, 68:4, harmful [1] - 35:20 history [3] - 4:25, 27:1, 66:25 fortunate [1] - 21:3 69:9, 69:11, 69:12, 69:24, Harrison [3] - 1:19, 4:14, 5:3 **hoc** [5] - 7:21, 8:8, 8:17, 9:9, forum [4] - 47:23, 71:16, 69:25 **HARRISON** [1] - 4:17 9:25 74:4, 74:13 general [2] - 11:4, 16:3 head [2] - 27:13, 54:7 hold [5] - 21:14, 25:16, forward [7] - 8:19, 9:10, geographical [1] - 18:15 heads [1] - 71:5 49:11, 63:3, 63:5 9:13, 12:24, 15:23, 64:22, geological [1] - 65:5 headwaters [1] - 30:23 holding [5] - 18:12, 32:9, 73:5 given [3] - 35:13, 52:3, 55:20 health [11] - 22:3, 34:14, 41:13, 52:23, 54:10 four [4] - 7:20, 25:11, 35:10, glad [3] - 39:18, 46:1, 57:9 35:3. 35:5. 35:9. 37:23. holds [1] - 51:1 47:4 global [6] - 68:1, 68:9, 68:22, 48:12, 50:6, 58:7, 67:3, holes [1] - 49:7 fourth [2] - 17:5, 17:14 69:9, 69:12, 70:23 70:11 holistic [1] - 8:6 FR [3] - 73:10, 75:21, 75:24 goal [1] - 10:19 healthy [3] - 28:18, 55:10,

home [1] - 54:13 homes [2] - 46:9, 46:10 honest [1] - 67:7 hope [4] - 27:16, 27:20, 39:1, 39.6 hopefully [1] - 36:24 hospital [1] - 62:3 hosting [1] - 66:20 hours [1] - 26:18 household [1] - 23:22 households [1] - 68:5 **hub** [2] - 33:15, 34:2 hugs [1] - 30:17 human [2] - 22:3, 52:9 humans [3] - 38:22, 52:8, 52:11 hundred [3] - 26:23, 28:8, 71:23 hundreds [1] - 62:11 **Huntington** [7] - 39:16, 44:22, 45:2, 45:5, 45:6, 45:17, 47:18 hydraulic [3] - 22:16, 25:8, 58:16

I

i.e [2] - 42:23, 46:20 idea [1] - 59:10 illegally [1] - 33:24 Illinois [3] - 3:1, 6:22, 33:7 illness [1] - 50:16 immediate [1] - 44:23 impact [9] - 16:14, 21:21, 34:10, 35:9, 36:13, 37:22, 50:6, 61:12, 63:13 impacted [2] - 63:8, 63:14 impacts [2] - 35:5, 37:15 impaired [2] - 71:18, 72:13 imperative [1] - 56:24 implement [5] - 7:14, 13:4, 42:8, 42:15, 46:13 implementation [4] - 17:21, 43:13, 46:5, 47:3 implements [1] - 27:17 implore [1] - 73:1 importance [3] - 29:20, 48:18, 60:4 important [5] - 4:10, 14:23, 29:3, 41:11, 67:5 **importantly** [1] - 12:13 **improve** [4] - 29:13, 55:7, 73:22, 74:10 improvement [1] - 40:18 improving [3] - 72:20, 72:22, impudent [1] - 24:22

incident [1] - 75:25

include [5] - 19:16, 23:10, 35:6, 39:7, 55:25 included [1] - 34:8 includes [3] - 35:3, 54:23, 62:1 including [3] - 17:11, 21:5, 32:23 income [1] - 52:12 inconsistent [1] - 38:2 incorporate [1] - 31:8 increase [8] - 22:15, 35:11, 38:6, 38:18, 38:21, 49:1, 49:6, 65:10 increased [2] - 24:16, 59:14 increases [1] - 35:21 increasingly [1] - 48:10 **incredibly** [1] - 67:7 India [2] - 69:7, 69:9 Indiana [2] - 3:1, 6:22 indicating) [1] - 35:6 individual [3] - 15:19, 17:9, 74:6 industrial [11] - 3:10, 3:19, 23:25, 27:1, 32:16, 38:8, 41:20, 48:8, 51:4, 51:8, 55.9 industries [11] - 21:20, 23:16, 33:14, 34:8, 34:12, 37:15, 38:16, 58:14, 61:24, 74:20, 75:6 industry [24] - 8:2, 21:19, 25:9, 27:10, 32:19, 35:1, 35:4, 37:14, 38:20, 49:9, 49:11, 51:6, 56:5, 58:10, 58:21, 61:2, 61:21, 62:1, 62:10, 63:24, 70:13, 74:19, 74:23 industry's [2] - 25:14, 56:19 industry-productive [1] -74:19

influence [1] - 27:11

55:20

inform [2] - 42:23, 46:20

informational [1] - 18:10

infrastructure [1] - 44:13

initial [3] - 9:15, 9:22, 74:1

injection [2] - 37:20, 53:19

input [5] - 5:16, 10:5, 10:6,

ingredients [1] - 35:24

initiate [1] - 9:13

31:19, 76:15

insisted [1] - 53:14

instead [2] - 21:11, 24:8

instructions [1] - 19:12

install [1] - 47:15

insult [1] - 62:25

intact [1] - 40:19

information [3] - 2:20, 19:7,

January [2] - 3:23, 9:23

itself [3] - 16:4, 16:15, 75:4

issuing [1] - 33:2

IT [1] - 19:15

item [1] - 74:22

Jason [5] - 1:20, 5:5, 5:7, 16:22, 19:21 job [3] - 62:3, 70:13, 70:14 jobs [8] - 19:3, 60:5, 61:22, 62:24, 65:21, 65:22, 70:20, 74.19 John [2] - 57:6, 57:10 Johnson [2] - 28:24, 29:1 **JOHNSON** [1] - 28:25 joint [1] - 48:20 Josh [2] - 47:21, 47:24 July [1] - 10:24 juncture [1] - 56:24 June [3] - 2:25, 10:9, 10:23 justification [2] - 42:20, 46:17

intake [1] - 44:20 justify [1] - 44:2 intakes [1] - 45:3 integrity [3] - 19:16, 40:22, 42:3 intent [2] - 40:22, 41:22 intention [2] - 42:24, 46:22 intentionally [1] - 56:18 interested [1] - 2:18 interestingly [1] - 59:4 interfere [1] - 55:14 interim [1] - 42:10 interruption [1] - 20:21 interstate [4] - 7:16, 15:2, 15:7, 16:10 intervals [1] - 43:21 intimidating [1] - 40:7 introduce [1] - 66:9 introducing [1] - 5:5 Krista [1] - 77:10 invading [2] - 38:20, 39:2 Kurt [2] - 60:16, 60:18 invasion [2] - 36:25, 39:5 invented [1] - 75:17 investment [1] - 68:18 investments [6] - 67:19, 68:19, 70:6, 70:25, 72:18, 72:19 inviting [1] - 6:7 involved [1] - 24:24 involving [1] - 74:22 island [1] - 26:15 **issue** [4] - 29:3, 40:12, 59:15, 65:19 issued [2] - 17:16, 32:2 issues [6] - 46:10, 57:15, 57:22, 66:18, 67:6, 67:13

J

keep [8] - 12:25, 15:15, 20:7, 21:8, 23:13, 37:25, 40:19, 75.9 Kentucky [2] - 3:1, 6:22 kept [1] - 9:4 key [2] - 10:8, 13:21 kids [2] - 28:9, 28:13 kind [6] - 4:24, 13:21, 15:25, 19:3, 53:15, 74:10 kinds [1] - 30:25 knights [1] - 63:16 **known** [2] - 56:6, 59:5 Korea [1] - 75:7

K

7

L

lack [1] - 45:24 lady [1] - 25:6 Lake [1] - 52:22 Lakes [1] - 41:24 land [2] - 26:22, 71:24 landfill [4] - 34:21, 53:12, 54:5, 59:22 landscape [1] - 51:5 language [2] - 18:5, 46:3 large [3] - 26:22, 68:12, 70:22 largely [2] - 8:12, 13:9 last [13] - 9:23, 23:15, 26:21, 38:23, 38:24, 45:22, 50:21, 57:9, 57:14, 59:1, 68:23, 69:14, 73:22 lasted [1] - 59:3 latitude [1] - 25:24 law [1] - 17:18 laws [1] - 22:1 leachate [2] - 59:24, 60:1 lead [2] - 36:8, 71:3 leak [1] - 30:15 leaked [1] - 34:1 leaks [1] - 31:1 leaned [1] - 24:24 least [3] - 32:1, 40:4, 75:10 leave [2] - 35:2, 45:8 led [1] - 74:24 left [3] - 26:25, 27:2, 76:4 legacy [1] - 27:2 Legal [1] - 47:25 legislation [2] - 3:4, 33:9 legitimate [1] - 3:14 length [1] - 30:21

name [17] - 5:3, 20:13, 20:23,

lower [1] - 49:8

24:15, 26:11, 28:25, 32:9, 37:2, 39:11, 45:13, 45:14, 47:24, 57:10, 60:18, 66:13, 71:16, 73:10 name's [3] - 2:9, 49:21, 52:19 named [2] - 55:16, 55:24 names [1] - 75:4 **narrative** [2] - 70:19, 70:23 natural [6] - 30:5, 30:11, 34:3, 40:23, 70:3, 70:15 near [2] - 50:4, 50:7 necessary [5] - 3:20, 33:4, 33:9, 52:7, 56:11 need [23] - 8:3, 13:22, 25:16, 31:12, 37:9, 38:4, 39:6, 41:18, 44:18, 45:4, 46:6, 46:12, 63:3, 63:11, 63:15, 63:17, 64:6, 64:10, 64:22, 65:9, 65:20, 67:18, 75:9 needed [2] - 3:7, 29:12 needs [10] - 12:21, 13:2, 13:15, 14:22, 38:6, 41:7, 42:23, 46:20, 50:22, 63:15 **negatively** [1] - 63:13 neglect [1] - 72:5 neighborhood [1] - 72:8 **neighbors** [1] - 61:15 nest [2] - 65:15, 65:18 **network** [1] - 35:11 Neustadt [2] - 52:17, 52:19 **NEUSTADT**[1] - 52:18 never [5] - 34:9, 50:15, 53:25, 63:25, 73:19 New [8] - 3:1, 6:22, 7:2, 32:14, 63:24, 65:13, 69:21, 69:25 new [13] - 21:7, 32:15, 33:12, 34:10, 40:17, 41:1, 45:25, 46:3, 58:23, 63:12, 75:4, 75:15, 75:16 newer [1] - 11:18 next [16] - 6:12, 24:3, 26:6, 28:23, 32:6, 36:20, 39:9, 45:11, 47:20, 49:18, 52:16, 53:11, 57:5, 60:16, 65:10, 72:16 night [1] - 53:21 nobody [1] - 61:24 nonpoint [3] - 22:25, 23:14, 55:23 Nora [2] - 28:24, 29:1 normally [1] - 8:1 notation [1] - 44:20 notes [2] - 67:16, 77:5 notice [12] - 2:15, 2:19, 3:24, 17:25, 18:23, 19:2, 31:19,

42:24, 43:2, 43:3, 46:21,

64:17

noticed [1] - 43:9 notification [1] - 18:22 notified [1] - 44:25 NPDES [2] - 32:2, 43:4 NPDS [2] - 17:12, 19:1 nuisances [1] - 3:13 Number [2] - 34:13, 47:4 number [22] - 9:2, 9:8, 10:2, 14:7, 15:20, 17:10, 32:24, 33:12, 35:10, 35:12, 35:22, 38:7, 38:18, 46:15, 46:18, 46:25, 47:9, 47:14, 67:24, 67:25, 68:10 numeric [1] - 9:4 nutrient [1] - 41:18

0

obesogenic [1] - 22:21 **objectives** [1] - 3:21 obligated [1] - 44:2 obstacle [1] - 32:20 **obvious** [2] - 29:21, 49:7 obviously [2] - 18:12, 20:10 OC [1] - 23:15 occasioning [1] - 48:14 occupied [1] - 26:22 occur [1] - 43:3 occurred [1] - 44:25 occurring [1] - 34:25 oceans [2] - 38:22, 39:1 October [7] - 9:11, 11:20, 11:24, 13:19, 43:13, 47:3, 68:23 odors [1] - 3:13 **ODS** [2] - 23:8, 23:16 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 1:24 office [1] - 44:24 official [2] - 19:19, 43:10 officials [1] - 70:14 offshore [1] - 62:1 often [3] - 33:25, 59:25, 72:5 Ohio [118] - 2:19, 2:23, 3:1, 4:3, 5:18, 5:24, 6:17, 6:23, 14:10, 14:17, 14:22, 14:24, 15:4, 16:12, 18:2, 18:16, 19:2, 20:24, 21:1, 21:2, 21:19. 21:20. 23:19. 24:11. 25:4. 26:1. 26:9. 26:12. 26:13, 26:20, 29:4, 29:6, 29:13, 29:17, 29:22, 29:23, 30:3, 30:24, 31:2, 31:6, 31:7, 31:11, 32:13, 32:18, 33:6, 33:10, 33:19, 33:22, 34:3, 34:15, 35:12, 35:14, 36:3, 36:12, 36:18, 37:4, 38:17, 38:19, 39:12, 39:21, 40:22, 42:3, 45:16, 45:19,

45:20, 47:17, 48:1, 48:2, 48:9, 48:17, 48:24, 49:11, 49:22, 49:24, 50:4, 50:17, 50:24, 51:3, 51:11, 52:5, 52:20, 53:18, 53:19, 53:24, 54:14, 54:21, 55:4, 55:8, 55:15, 55:17, 56:9, 57:20, 57:25, 58:2, 58:8, 58:19, 58:22, 58:24, 59:2, 59:7, 60:3, 60:5, 60:10, 61:23, 62:18, 63:6, 63:13, 70:4, 71:21, 72:23, 73:6, 73:18, 76:4 oil [11] - 21:25, 27:12, 33:13, 34:7, 35:4, 35:13, 37:14, 38:15, 44:12, 56:19, 61:25

once [2] - 32:1, 55:15 once-beautiful [1] - 55:15 one [32] - 9:1, 10:16, 10:24, 17:19, 18:13, 23:15, 24:10, 24:19, 24:20, 25:12, 28:2, 29:14, 29:16, 29:18, 32:24, 33:22, 46:15, 54:2, 54:11, 55:18, 56:22, 58:13, 59:1, 59:5, 60:22, 61:6, 69:6, 72:4, 72:7, 73:20, 73:24, 74:22

ones [2] - 49:12, 63:7 online [1] - 40:5 onshore [1] - 62:1 open [3] - 11:9, 19:22, 20:1 opened [1] - 18:9 operates [1] - 55:12 operation [2] - 32:21, 59:11 operations [2] - 32:16, 37:21 opportunity [7] - 17:25, 29:2, 36:15, 43:2, 49:20, 50:13, 71:6

opposed [2] - 11:5, 11:8 opposite [1] - 74:12 option [3] - 21:9, 42:9, 42:12 order [6] - 2:5, 4:6, 44:8, 46:7, 47:11, 49:9 organic [4] - 22:7, 22:23, 23:7, 35:18 organics [2] - 59:14, 59:19 Organisation's [1] - 12:15 organization [3] - 20:6,

55:22, 57:17 Organization's [1] - 40:10 organizations [2] - 60:22, 64:18

origin [1] - 58:3 original [2] - 12:7, 48:6 ORSANCO [84] - 1:4, 1:15,

1:18, 6:17, 14:4, 14:6, 19:5, 21:16, 23:5, 23:23, 27:16, 29:8, 29:12, 31:8, 31:14, 31:16, 31:20, 31:23,

31:25, 32:1, 32:22, 32:24, 33:1, 33:8, 33:12, 33:18, 34:6, 34:13, 35:8, 35:10, 35:22, 36:4, 36:9, 36:14, 37:25, 38:6, 38:11, 38:14, 38:18, 40:1, 40:10, 40:15, 41:4, 41:9, 41:11, 41:21, 41:22, 42:14, 43:12, 43:15, 43:18, 43:22, 44:1, 44:5, 45:1, 45:24, 46:2, 46:12, 47:2, 47:4, 47:9, 47:14, 48:5, 49:3, 51:14, 51:19, 51:22, 55:1, 55:6, 55:12, 55:17, 56:17, 56:21, 57:7, 58:1, 58:13, 60:8, 60:10, 74:5, 74:15, 75:17 ORSANCO's [9] - 2:8, 4:13, 7:22, 9:3, 22:7, 22:23, 41:13, 42:8, 57:23 ORSANCO.org [1] - 14:1 other-use [1] - 69:5 outlets [2] - 2:18, 18:24 outreach [1] - 6:2 outside [1] - 12:4 outsiders [1] - 62:25 **OVEC** [1] - 40:9 **OVEC's** [2] - 44:24, 49:16 overseas [2] - 33:17, 65:11 oversight [1] - 58:15 overtime [1] - 15:25 own [5] - 16:8, 36:6, 42:2, 48:23, 49:8 owns [1] - 50:9

Р

p.m [4] - 1:12, 2:3, 2:4, 76:19 PA [4] - 1:8, 22:13, 60:19, 61:7 packaging [1] - 68:16 packet [1] - 2:20 pads [1] - 22:16 page [1] - 17:5 pages [1] - 75:13 paragraph [4] - 17:5, 17:14, 18:3, 18:4 parameters [3] - 41:23, 43:19, 47:8 paraphrase [1] - 48:7 parish [2] - 73:13, 75:9 park [1] - 50:14 **Parkersburg** [1] - 74:24 parliament [1] - 68:24 part [13] - 4:11, 6:5, 7:1, 8:15, 10:7, 18:6, 18:20, 19:19, 36:1, 44:21, 52:2, 61:22, 65:1 participants [1] - 6:15

10 68:12, 68:16, 68:17, 68:20, popular [1] - 70:22 participate [2] - 6:16, 72:22 **permanently** [1] - 64:25 permit [18] - 14:11, 15:16, 68:25, 69:4, 69:5, 69:10, participated [1] - 6:22 population [4] - 69:7, 69:11, 15:17, 16:12, 16:14, 17:16, 69:16, 69:22, 69:24, 70:1 69:23 70:1 participation [2] - 6:24, 17:21, 18:1, 21:13, 41:5, play [3] - 28:13, 62:23, 71:3 38:13 portions [1] - 70:22 particular [4] - 5:2, 5:21, 6:1, 43:6, 43:8, 43:22, 44:8, playground [3] - 28:9, 28:15, pose [1] - 58:23 47.10 53.11 posed [1] - 31:2 particularly [2] - 7:15, 53:5 permit-by-permit [3] - 21:13, playing [3] - 27:14, 28:9, posing [1] - 36:2 28:17 parties [1] - 2:18 41:5, 43:22 possible [3] - 22:13, 47:13, permits [5] - 29:19, 32:2, parts [1] - 54:23 Pleasant [2] - 45:6, 47:17 33:2, 40:24, 41:1 pleasure [1] - 4:18 potential [2] - 30:5, 56:22 pass [1] - 60:7 permitted [2] - 19:1, 31:4 pledges [1] - 33:18 passed [1] - 69:3 potentialities [1] - 63:12 permittees [1] - 2:19 plume [4] - 44:25, 45:1, 45:3, passes [2] - 58:3, 60:13 potentially [3] - 28:6, 50:6, permitting [2] - 17:8, 30:4 76:2 67:14 past [3] - 12:23, 41:4, 56:7 perpetuity [1] - 65:5 **pneumonia** [1] - 54:6 path [2] - 56:19, 71:3 Potesta [3] - 1:16, 2:9, 5:12 persistent [1] - 22:22 Point [2] - 45:5, 47:17 POTESTA[20] - 2:4, 19:21, Patrick [1] - 36:7 petrochemical [22] - 21:18, point [8] - 4:24, 16:16, 20:11, paved [1] - 54:5 24:3, 26:6, 28:23, 32:6, 21:21, 23:8, 23:12, 27:7, 23:24, 24:19, 52:8, 59:23, pay [2] - 23:21, 39:24 36:20, 39:9, 45:11, 49:18, 33:14, 34:4, 34:7, 37:3, 52:16, 57:5, 60:16, 66:2, **PCS** [19] - 7:10, 15:10, 21:10, 37:17, 38:15, 38:20, 39:22, **points** [2] - 64:6, 64:11 66:6, 71:12, 71:14, 73:8, 41:2, 41:19, 42:15, 42:18, 49:10, 51:2, 51:8, 51:15, Pokladnik [2] - 20:15, 20:24 75:23, 76:10 42:23, 42:25, 43:18, 43:24, 52:4, 56:15, 58:21, 63:9, **POKLADNIK** [1] - 20:23 POTWs [1] - 25:1 44:1, 44:3, 46:14, 46:16, 46:20, 46:22, 47:7, 60:11 74:23 police [1] - 74:21 pounds [1] - 30:2 **phase** [2] - 10:11, 30:4 policies [5] - 42:21, 43:10, power [5] - 36:4, 49:7, 50:10, pcs@orsanco.org[1] phone [2] - 30:20, 75:20 46:18, 46:25, 70:4 62:16, 66:22 19:15 picking [1] - 37:21 policing [1] - 70:16 powerful [2] - 32:19, 67:7 pedal [1] - 50:10 picks [1] - 54:19 Policy [2] - 21:24, 58:17 practice [1] - 59:19 **pedal-power** [1] - 50:10 picture [1] - 64:12 policy [2] - 7:3, 7:8 preamble [1] - 48:7 peer [1] - 22:18 piece [1] - 65:17 peer-reviewed [1] - 22:18 political [1] - 37:11 predating [1] - 42:1 **Pipeline** [2] - 56:9, 56:17 politics [2] - 27:10, 67:8 prefer [2] - 20:18, 20:22 Pennsylvania [22] - 2:11, pipeline [1] - 30:9 **POLLARD**[1] - 66:11 preferably [1] - 45:5 3:2, 5:11, 6:23, 24:17, 24:21, 24:22, 29:25, 36:7, pipelines [3] - 30:15, 34:1, Pollard [1] - 66:14 preferred [1] - 10:3 37:22 36:11, 37:1, 37:12, 40:6, pollutant [1] - 44:25 pregnant [1] - 34:25 56:10, 57:15, 58:19, 58:22, PITTSBURGH [1] - 1:4 pollutants [7] - 22:25, 31:5, prepare [1] - 31:22 59:9, 59:25, 60:24, 61:5, Pittsburgh [11] - 1:8, 18:13, 33:13, 34:7, 38:15, 55:13, prescribe [1] - 3:17 29:1, 32:11, 32:12, 39:18, 64:24 63.12 present [1] - 45:21 54:13, 58:4, 61:16, 61:18, Pennsylvania's [2] - 25:25, pollute [1] - 70:7 presentation [2] - 4:21, 6:7 71:18 59:21 polluted [7] - 29:6, 50:24, presentations [2] - 20:8, people [31] - 19:3, 23:19, place [12] - 21:4, 21:9, 22:9, 55:18, 56:5, 56:6, 72:16, 74:2 23:13, 31:11, 38:1, 45:6, 25:21, 29:7, 35:8, 36:17, 73:24 preserve[1] - 15:10 46:7, 46:12, 54:13, 62:14, 37:6, 37:24, 39:6, 39:7, pollution [64] - 2:8, 3:25, 4:2, presided [1] - 10:18 68:14 48:13, 48:18, 50:19, 51:11, 5:17, 5:19, 5:23, 7:11, president [1] - 7:7 53:6, 53:7, 53:24, 54:25, placed [1] - 43:7 7:17, 7:22, 7:24, 8:11, presides [1] - 71:7 60:12, 61:23, 61:25, 62:2, places [1] - 64:7 8:21, 10:12, 10:17, 11:10, presiding [1] - 7:9 62:12, 62:22, 64:5, 65:13, planned [2] - 27:7, 34:4 11:25, 12:25, 13:18, 16:5, pressure [8] - 22:16, 27:10, 67:10, 68:6, 70:10, 73:4 planning [2] - 22:13, 57:1 17:6, 17:19, 19:6, 21:8, 32:20, 70:9, 70:12, 70:13, people's [2] - 24:15, 62:24 plans [3] - 57:23, 58:11, 22:15, 27:22, 28:1, 29:9, 70:14 per [3] - 23:22, 23:24, 59:23 58:22 29:11, 29:14, 31:12, 31:25, pretty [7] - 10:15, 18:4, per-point [1] - 23:24 plant [11] - 29:25, 37:4, 32:24, 33:19, 33:20, 34:9, 24:22, 40:7, 66:16, 68:12, percent [13] - 59:23, 64:24, 37:17, 40:7, 51:7, 51:10, 34:10, 34:14, 35:9, 35:20, 68:1, 68:4, 68:8, 69:5, 56:12, 56:15, 62:16, 75:1, 36:16, 38:1, 40:14, 40:19, prevent [1] - 36:16 69:8, 69:12, 69:13, 69:22, 42:8, 42:11, 45:25, 46:14, prevents [1] - 32:20 69:23, 69:25 plants [7] - 30:12, 31:3, 34:4, 48:5, 48:10, 48:15, 48:16, previous [1] - 45:22 49:4, 49:6, 50:2, 50:5, perfect [2] - 39:21, 50:11 37:19, 59:12, 60:2 previously [1] - 57:8 perform [3] - 5:8, 44:5, 47:10 50:21, 51:13, 51:17, 51:20, plastic [18] - 22:20, 30:2, priest [1] - 73:11 55:4, 55:25, 56:2, 56:20 30:7, 33:15, 33:16, 38:21, perhaps [1] - 33:11 **primary** [1] - 74:2 period [6] - 9:22, 10:20, **pollutions** [1] - 75:16 38:23, 38:25, 56:20, 65:8, prime [1] - 48:17 polyethylene [1] - 30:2 65:9, 65:10, 65:12, 65:18, 15:24, 18:17, 20:5, 43:9 pristine [3] - 61:3, 62:9, pools [1] - 33:25 68:14, 68:16, 69:13, 69:15 periods [1] - 10:21 62:20 plastics [15] - 30:16, 67:20, **pop** [1] - 51:9 permanent [1] - 23:6

private [3] - 32:17, 68:4, 68:11 problem [2] - 25:14, 65:4 problems [3] - 25:20, 63:8, 65.6 procedures [4] - 42:21, 43:10, 46:18, 46:25 PROCEEDINGS [1] - 1:1 proceedings [1] - 77:3 Proceedings [1] - 2:3 process [17] - 4:12, 4:25, 7:19, 8:2, 10:11, 10:24, 15:1, 15:6, 22:19, 27:12, 38:11, 43:4, 43:16, 43:20, 43:25, 47:5 processes [2] - 16:10, 17:9 processing [1] - 30:12 **produce** [2] - 30:1, 31:21 produced [1] - 38:23 produces [1] - 62:13 producing [2] - 26:2, 62:11 product [1] - 33:3 production [3] - 22:20, 67:20, 68:17 **productive** [1] - 74:19 Professional [1] - 77:11 professor [1] - 57:11 professor's [1] - 75:24 profit [1] - 32:21 program [7] - 8:6, 8:11, 8:20, 8:23, 17:12, 32:10, 43:4 Programs [1] - 1:20 programs [10] - 5:6, 5:7, 7:15. 8:12. 13:6. 14:7. 16:9, 22:9, 23:9, 55:12 progress [1] - 71:9 progression [1] - 49:3 **PROHIBITED** [1] - 1:24 Project [1] - 32:11 Project's [1] - 49:17 promised [1] - 61:23 promises [1] - 3:6 promulgate [1] - 3:17 proper [2] - 69:18, 70:17 properly [1] - 74:2 properties [1] - 72:12 property [1] - 72:14 proposal [40] - 4:9, 5:2, 6:3, 9:20, 10:7, 11:8, 11:15, 12:7, 12:9, 12:10, 12:19, 12:24, 12:25, 13:3, 13:16, 13:20, 13:23, 14:19, 14:25, 15:10, 15:13, 15:14, 15:22, 16:4, 16:18, 16:25, 31:24, 32:25, 40:15, 40:18, 40:21, 41:5, 42:9, 43:1, 46:24, 50:3, 51:25, 52:2, 76:14 proposed [19] - 2:7, 4:5, 4:7,

4:16, 5:17, 5:22, 18:1, 18:7, 19:23, 21:9, 38:4, 45:23, 46:3, 51:2, 51:5, 51:10, 52:4, 58:12, 76:14 proposes [2] - 42:22, 46:19 proposing [1] - 4:1 protect [10] - 19:15, 22:9, 27:17, 33:4, 40:22, 42:3, 52:10, 60:5, 63:17, 76:7 protected [1] - 37:24 protecting [1] - 42:4 protection [10] - 17:22, 21:15, 31:13, 36:10, 37:9, 38:5, 41:8, 43:6, 64:22 **protective** [1] - 16:12 protects [1] - 21:4 provide [12] - 4:15, 4:21, 10:19, 17:22, 17:24, 29:17, 42:24, 46:21, 49:7, 49:10, 65:21, 66:12 provided [1] - 17:23 provider [1] - 62:4 provides [5] - 7:12, 29:7, 29:18, 41:5, 43:6 **providing** [3] - 6:6, 38:10, 74:3 provinces [2] - 69:15, 69:20 provisions [2] - 41:1, 60:8 Proxy [2] - 2:12, 5:13 PTT [1] - 75:7 **PUBLIC** [1] - 1:4 **public** [51] - 2:15, 3:9, 5:15, 5:16, 5:22, 9:13, 9:14, 9:15, 9:16, 11:4, 11:9, 12:12, 12:20, 12:24, 14:25, 18:8, 18:9, 18:12, 18:16, 18:21, 18:22, 18:23, 19:5, 19:9, 24:14, 31:19, 31:20, 31:24, 32:17, 34:14, 35:2, 38:11, 38:13, 42:24, 43:1, 43:3, 43:9, 43:12, 43:17, 46:21, 46:23, 47:6, 54:11, 60:20, 64:20, 64:23, 67:3, 70:11, 70:14 public-noticed [1] - 43:9 published [1] - 3:24 purchasing [3] - 23:2, 68:5, 68:6 purchasing/trading [1] -68:7 purported [1] - 70:21 **purpose** [2] - 4:4, 5:15 **purposes** [1] - 55:10 pursuant [2] - 2:16, 17:17 push [2] - 27:7, 64:13

put [9] - 12:24, 13:21, 14:25,

15:22, 26:9, 28:12, 46:7,

46:12, 53:17

putting [1] - 53:19

Q

quality [29] - 3:20, 13:5, 13:7, 15:17, 17:8, 21:23, 29:13, 31:6, 31:13, 38:17, 43:24, 44:4, 55:7, 57:15, 57:19, 57:22, 58:2, 59:6, 59:13, 60:5, 60:12, 61:6, 61:7, 61:9, 61:17, 64:22, 72:20, 72:23, 74:11 quarter [3] - 68:1, 68:8, 68:22 QUEST [1] - 57:16 questions [1] - 8:7 quick [1] - 70:18 quit [1] - 25:1 quite [4] - 10:6, 71:4, 72:1, 75:25 quote [3] - 55:5, 55:11, 55:12

R

race [1] - 49:9 radioactive [3] - 33:23, 34:22, 76:2 radioactivity [1] - 56:3 radionuclides [1] - 33:13 radium-226 [1] - 25:6 rail [1] - 37:20 rainfall [1] - 64:9 ramped [1] - 24:12 ran [1] - 66:25 Randi [2] - 20:15, 20:23 ranged [1] - 8:20 ranked [2] - 29:5, 55:17 rare [1] - 34:19 rate [1] - 28:21 rates [2] - 34:24, 49:1 rather [3] - 15:18, 55:21, 74.7 rays [1] - 53:25 reach [2] - 11:13, 12:2 reaching [1] - 21:22 read [4] - 10:5, 14:17, 16:24, 75:13 reading [1] - 48:6 reads [1] - 17:7 ready [2] - 43:12, 47:2 real [2] - 67:11, 70:18 realize [1] - 21:16 really [46] - 4:25, 7:8, 7:21, 8:7, 8:10, 8:18, 8:23, 10:8, 10:18, 10:25, 11:11, 11:13, 11:17, 11:18, 11:24, 12:4, 12:11, 12:18, 13:14, 13:19, 13:21, 13:24, 14:1, 14:19, 15:15, 16:13, 16:25, 24:5,

24:23, 25:16, 26:20, 27:25.11 52:25, 61:20, 63:8, 63:12, 64:10, 64:11, 65:14, 66:18, 67:5, 67:18, 70:21, 73:1, reason [4] - 8:15, 42:18. 50:18. 69:16 reasonable [1] - 3:10 reasoning [1] - 38:10 reasons [2] - 31:18, 46:16 receive [2] - 2:7, 4:5 received [2] - 2:17, 12:2 recent [4] - 13:12, 30:14, 48:10, 56:15 recently [1] - 28:5 recognize [2] - 5:9, 14:23 recognized [2] - 17:7, 17:15 recognizes [1] - 13:3 recommendation [3] - 9:10, 9:12, 10:19 recommended [2] - 13:9, 23:1 reconsider [1] - 73:1 record [9] - 5:25, 6:5, 6:8, 6:14, 11:19, 18:21, 19:19, 43:8, 64:20 recorded [1] - 76:15 recreate [1] - 50:8 recreated [1] - 45:16 recreation [3] - 62:10, 62:21, 65:23 recreational [3] - 3:11, 48:13, 55:9 red [2] - 49:10, 61:14 redline [2] - 17:3, 17:6 reduce [1] - 49:7 reduction [1] - 69:4 redundant [2] - 8:12, 51:25 Reed [3] - 26:7, 26:11, 49:19 REED [2] - 26:8, 49:20 reference [1] - 41:12 referred [1] - 9:7 refusing [1] - 71:5 regarding [1] - 43:5 region [9] - 33:14, 34:15, 37:7, 38:21, 51:2, 52:5, 53:24, 71:3, 71:8 regions [1] - 23:12 registered [1] - 24:4 regular [1] - 19:17 regularly [1] - 57:18 regulation [1] - 75:3 regulations [8] - 3:18, 35:17, 44:4, 56:25, 60:8, 75:10, 75:12, 75:14 reintroduce [1] - 20:5 reject [1] - 29:8

rejecting [1] - 70:23

sampling [2] - 35:18, 44:17 12 related [3] - 67:20, 68:4, respectfully [1] - 51:14 49:11, 49:22, 49:24, 50:4, 50:17, 50:24, 51:3, 52:5, 68:15 respond [2] - 42:22, 46:19 sand [1] - 71:5 relation [1] - 74:5 52:21, 54:14, 54:22, 55:4, sanitary [1] - 3:8 responding [1] - 5:25 relax [1] - 55:21 55:8, 55:15, 55:17, 57:25, Sanitation [2] - 2:23, 6:18 response [1] - 14:10 relaxation [1] - 56:17 58:2, 58:5, 58:8, 58:24, responsibility [4] - 36:15, sarcoma [1] - 34:19 60:6, 63:13, 65:15, 70:4, relaxing [1] - 55:3 41:21, 74:10, 75:5 save [1] - 48:8 72:23, 73:6, 73:19, 76:4 release [1] - 22:2 rest [1] - 71:6 saw [4] - 25:18, 63:13, 63:18, river [56] - 3:20, 15:18, released [3] - 21:10, 22:19, result [6] - 15:16, 16:14, 76:4 16:14, 21:5, 22:10, 23:11, 26:16, 59:17, 59:23 Saw [1] - 71:19 23:25, 24:8, 25:18, 26:17, rely [3] - 46:8, 60:12, 65:2 resulted [1] - 48:9 schedule [1] - 18:9 26:19, 27:2, 27:5, 27:17, remain [1] - 3:8 retain [1] - 16:5 School [1] - 34:17 29:6, 29:20, 30:8, 31:5, retire [1] - 28:20 remainder [1] - 20:4 scientific [5] - 14:6, 14:9, 33:4, 37:5, 37:8, 41:7, remediation [1] - 65:2 review [38] - 3:24, 4:25, 5:1, 38:10, 42:18, 46:16 41:17, 41:18, 42:5, 48:25, 5:16, 7:22, 7:25, 8:5, 8:6, reminder [1] - 48:4 scope [1] - 67:8 50:1, 50:7, 50:8, 50:11, 8:15, 9:13, 9:15, 9:22, removed [1] - 40:25 Scott [3] - 1:17, 2:12, 5:13 50:13, 50:19, 50:22, 50:24, repeatedly [1] - 54:25 10:8, 10:18, 10:21, 10:22, scribbled [1] - 67:16 51:9, 52:24, 54:12, 55:8, repeating [1] - 54:9 11:19, 14:11, 14:16, 16:1, scribbles [1] - 66:13 55:14, 58:8, 62:13, 62:17, 18:8, 18:9, 18:17, 18:23, report [5] - 31:21, 31:23, script [1] - 39:25 64:7, 64:8, 70:7, 70:17, 19:5, 19:8, 21:13, 23:13, 32:3, 43:16, 47:5 Sea [1] - 50:9 73:14, 73:15, 73:17, 73:22, 31:24, 35:5, 41:5, 43:2, reported [2] - 50:23, 69:13 sea [1] - 50:14 74:8, 74:11, 74:15, 76:8 43:16, 43:22, 43:23, 43:25, reporter [1] - 6:9 second [2] - 10:22, 30:4 River's [1] - 40:23 47:5 Reporter [1] - 77:11 secret [1] - 35:23 river-systemwide [1] - 74:8 reviewed [2] - 22:18, 45:25 reports [2] - 43:21, 48:24 section [1] - 19:7 Rivers [2] - 57:16, 58:4 reviews [4] - 9:14, 44:9, represent [6] - 20:6, 41:16, sections [2] - 16:24, 18:4 rivers [12] - 24:10, 35:15, 47:10, 47:12 47:24, 48:1, 60:21, 64:18 secure [1] - 23:5 37:9, 52:23, 55:18, 56:7, revise [1] - 4:1 representatives [1] - 67:13 see [11] - 6:11, 8:3, 18:11, 59:14, 59:20, 60:3, 61:18, revised [1] - 12:9 Representatives [1] - 1:18 28:3, 46:1, 50:1, 62:18, 72:6, 73:24 revising [1] - 41:19 REPRODUCTION [1] - 1:24 62:20, 71:21, 73:15, 74:11 riverside [1] - 29:20 revision [8] - 2:8, 4:3, 4:11, reputation [1] - 50:18 seek [3] - 44:7, 47:10, 47:14 road [2] - 28:22, 66:14 4:16, 5:19, 5:24, 17:1, request [2] - 20:21, 51:14 seeks [1] - 49:3 roads [1] - 33:25 19:23 requested [3] - 24:25, 25:2, seem [2] - 74:11, 74:17 Robert [2] - 26:6, 26:11 revisions [9] - 4:5, 4:8, 5:17, 45.7 segment [1] - 48:6 Robin [2] - 39:10, 39:11 5:22, 17:1, 18:7, 21:7, require [5] - 30:8, 31:25, send [1] - 19:17 robust [1] - 35:11 29:8, 29:9 33:1, 51:19, 51:24 sender [1] - 19:14 role [3] - 7:22, 41:13, 58:1 rich [1] - 62:25 required [5] - 8:13, 29:14, Seneca [1] - 55:16 Ron [3] - 1:16, 2:9, 5:11 Richard [4] - 1:19, 4:14, 5:3, 30:16, 31:16, 31:21 sense [3] - 12:19, 68:2, room [1] - 49:25 18:5 requirement [1] - 9:6 70:16 Room [1] - 1:9 Richard's [1] - 39:15 sent [3] - 18:22, 19:2, 19:13 requirements [4] - 9:5, 14:2, rose [1] - 9:24 rid [1] - 25:13 17:18, 55:3 separate [1] - 31:6 rough [1] - 28:22 rigorous [1] - 27:17 requires [1] - 31:19 septic [1] - 23:11 roughly [3] - 67:23, 68:3, rigs [1] - 27:9 requiring [2] - 42:14, 46:13 serious [1] - 48:10 69:7 rings [1] - 75:20 research [3] - 44:9, 57:13, serve [1] - 35:8 round [1] - 57:9 **rise** [1] - 49:2 57:14 services [3] - 68:6, 68:7 row [1] - 50:25 risk [2] - 52:13, 60:10 researched [1] - 57:21 Services [1] - 47:25 rulemaking [1] - 71:7 risks [1] - 36:3 reserve [1] - 41:6 set [4] - 25:20, 29:14, 31:6, rules [1] - 3:18 River [86] - 2:19, 2:23, 4:3, reside [1] - 44:23 71:1 run [2] - 56:9, 63:20 5:18, 5:24, 6:17, 14:10, resided [1] - 45:18 sets [1] - 7:13 Run [2] - 71:19, 71:20 14:17, 14:22, 14:24, 15:4, resident [3] - 32:11, 48:2, seven [1] - 30:7 runaway [1] - 27:6 16:13, 18:2, 18:16, 19:2, 49:12 seventh [1] - 50:25 runoff [1] - 55:25 21:1, 21:2, 21:19, 21:20, residential [2] - 23:4, 50:3 several [4] - 8:18, 12:16, 23:19, 24:6, 25:4, 26:13, runs [2] - 32:14, 66:24 residents [5] - 21:6, 22:5, 57:19, 69:14 26:20, 29:4, 29:6, 29:13, 44:20, 48:1, 61:9 sewage [2] - 3:19, 41:20 29:17, 29:22, 30:24, 31:2, S resist [1] - 39:6 sewer [1] - 62:19 31:7, 32:13, 32:18, 33:10, resource [3] - 35:3, 70:4, Shake [1] - 47:25 33:19, 33:22, 34:4, 34:15, 70:15 **shale** [7] - 34:5, 35:2, 37:3, sacred [1] - 52:7 35:12. 36:3. 36:13. 36:18. resources [5] - 14:4, 14:12, **sacrifice**[1] - 34:16 51:7, 51:12, 56:15, 75:7 37:5, 38:19, 39:22, 42:3, 40:4, 40:23, 72:18 safe [3] - 3:8, 23:20, 33:10 **Shale** [1] - 30:6 45:16, 45:20, 47:17, 48:1, respect [2] - 4:7, 4:9 shale's [1] - 22:12 Safe [1] - 58:16 48:3, 48:9, 48:17, 48:24,

13 shape [1] - 26:20 sound [1] - 42:19 43:24, 45:23, 45:24, 46:1, stink [1] - 24:16 shared [1] - 53:6 source [5] - 21:6, 23:14, 46:2, 46:5, 46:14, 47:2, stinky [1] - 72:16 23:24, 54:24, 58:9 47:8, 48:6, 49:4, 49:6, Stolz [3] - 57:6, 57:10, 61:19 shifts [1] - 70:3 sources [2] - 27:22, 55:24 49:8, 50:2, 50:5, 50:21, shining [1] - 63:16 STOLZ [1] - 57:7 south [1] - 51:11 51:17, 51:21, 55:22, 58:13, ship [1] - 33:16 stopped [3] - 53:20, 54:6, 63:17 shook [1] - 39:15 South [1] - 71:17 59:18 start [2] - 20:12, 48:4 short [2] - 24:21, 30:3 Southern [1] - 66:15 storage [2] - 23:17, 34:2 started [3] - 7:20, 8:8, 24:16 southern [1] - 29:22 store [1] - 34:3 shortly [1] - 5:5 starting [1] - 54:21 show [2] - 22:18, 32:25 southwest [1] - 49:25 storm [1] - 39:21 sic [4] - 21:25, 48:16, 54:16. starts [2] - 54:14, 54:17 Southwestern [2] - 37:1, story [1] - 24:21 state [24] - 14:4, 14:11, 57:15 strategies [1] - 73:5 75.8 15:19, 16:7, 17:18, 17:20, sic) [1] - 73:17 southwesternly [1] - 54:15 stream [5] - 32:17, 61:9, 17:24, 23:3, 29:23, 31:6, sick [2] - 46:10, 61:15 **span** [1] - 34:2 61:13, 61:14, 72:10 31:22, 37:10, 40:20, 42:22, signal [1] - 20:9 speaker [13] - 20:14, 24:4, streams [11] - 30:24, 48:11, 42:23, 43:25, 44:1, 44:4, signatory [4] - 3:6, 6:19, 26:6, 28:23, 32:7, 36:20, 56:7, 64:24, 71:19, 71:20, 46:19, 46:20, 56:10, 59:25, 39:10, 45:12, 47:21, 49:19, 71:22, 71:25, 72:6, 72:11, 17:20, 17:24 67:9, 70:12 signed [3] - 2:24, 19:24, 66:7 52:17, 57:6, 60:16 72:14 State [1] - 66:17 speakers [1] - 66:7 Streets [1] - 71:19 significant [3] - 11:12, 54:12, state's [3] - 43:4, 43:19, 47:7 special [1] - 42:4 strengthening [1] - 36:9 58:18 state-of-the-art [1] - 23:3 significantly [1] - 22:15 species [1] - 73:18 stress [1] - 31:1 statement [3] - 4:15, 16:3, specific [5] - 5:17, 5:22, 8:4, stretch [1] - 29:21 signing [1] - 6:19 20:3 similar [4] - 11:6, 25:18, 55:14, 74:12 strikes [1] - 72:5 statements [6] - 5:22, 6:8, specifically [2] - 45:7, 68:4 strong [1] - 32:23 41:23, 44:12 6:10, 6:13, 6:14, 19:23 **specifics** [1] - 16:18 stronger [2] - 36:16, 38:5 simplistic [1] - 53:1 states [70] - 2:25, 3:4, 3:6, simply [2] - 51:22, 51:24 **specified** [1] - 3:21 strongly [3] - 35:17, 41:15, 6:20, 6:21, 6:25, 7:6, 8:13, spent [3] - 15:19, 26:18, sincerely [1] - 40:12 44:16 10:14, 13:2, 13:4, 13:10, 66:17 studies [3] - 22:18, 24:8, single [13] - 41:9, 63:5, 13:13, 14:14, 14:15, 14:21, spilled [1] - 33:24 34:23 65:12, 68:16, 68:20, 68:25, 17:9, 21:13, 21:14, 25:24, study [2] - 23:14, 25:7 69:5, 69:10, 69:15, 69:16, spilling [1] - 63:19 26:2, 29:10, 29:12, 29:13, 69:22, 69:24, 70:1 spills [2] - 22:24, 35:20 stuff [1] - 25:14 29:18, 31:14, 31:15, 31:16, single-use [11] - 65:12, **spite** [1] - 61:9 subject [1] - 27:25 32:3, 33:1, 33:6, 38:8, square [1] - 34:2 68:16, 68:20, 68:25, 69:5, subjected [1] - 64:1 41:6, 41:13, 41:15, 41:23, 69:10, 69:15, 69:16, 69:22, **stable** [1] - 44:6 submit [3] - 18:17, 18:18, 42:2, 42:7, 42:15, 42:17, 69:24, 70:1 staff [8] - 4:14, 7:14, 16:20, 20:3 42:24, 43:1, 46:4, 46:13, sit [1] - 34:18 submitted [1] - 19:10 40:1, 40:15, 43:2, 43:22, 46:15, 46:21, 46:23, 48:7, site [2] - 30:6, 45:2 44:7 **submitting** [1] - 19:12 48:19, 49:8, 51:17, 51:20, sites [10] - 23:11, 34:23, staffing [2] - 44:8, 47:11 subsequently [1] - 59:15 51:23, 51:24, 54:22, 54:23, 35:12, 35:18, 38:7, 38:19, stand [3] - 25:16, 32:22, 76:1 substantially [1] - 15:21 55:6, 56:21, 56:22, 58:6, 44:17, 45:4, 57:19, 57:20 standard [6] - 29:18, 41:9, suffer [1] - 37:14 58:8, 58:19, 60:7, 60:13, situated [2] - 48:19, 49:23 44:2, 46:4, 72:21, 74:15 suffering [1] - 34:16 65:11, 65:12, 72:22, 74:6, situation [4] - 25:17, 48:25, **Standard** [1] - 26:24 sufficiently [1] - 11:20 74:7, 74:17 61:19. 73:6 standards [94] - 2:8, 3:18, suggest [1] - 30:6 States [5] - 29:6, 55:19, 3:25, 4:1, 4:2, 5:18, 5:20, situations [1] - 44:12 suggested [1] - 67:10 61:25, 69:20, 70:24 six [6] - 6:25, 37:3, 47:14. 5:23, 7:11, 7:23, 7:25, suggestions [3] - 49:15, states' [1] - 17:12 53:8, 54:22, 57:14 8:11, 8:21, 10:12, 10:17, 49:16, 49:17 stating [1] - 66:23 slight [1] - 64:9 11:10, 11:25, 13:1, 13:5, suggests [1] - 70:20 stations [1] - 37:19 sludges [1] - 59:22 13:8, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, suitable [1] - 3:11 staying [1] - 40:2 **small** [2] - 30:19, 49:23 15:3, 16:5, 17:7, 17:8, summarize [2] - 18:6, 32:3 steel [2] - 26:22, 26:25 17:19, 17:23, 19:6, 21:8, smart [1] - 67:10 summer [1] - 45:22 stenographic [1] - 77:5 21:11, 21:17, 25:4, 27:17, social [2] - 42:19, 46:17 **superior** [1] - 21:9 step [3] - 7:21, 11:17, 12:4 29:9, 29:11, 29:15, 29:16, solicit [1] - 5:16 supplies [3] - 3:10, 37:5, stepped [6] - 8:9, 8:17, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 31:16, solicited [1] - 9:24 55:9 11:11, 12:1, 12:17, 13:24 31:17, 31:22, 31:23, 32:1, solid [1] - 73:5 support [7] - 19:5, 19:8, stepping [2] - 8:23, 25:3 32:25, 33:1, 33:2, 33:5, soluble [1] - 25:6 23:22, 31:15, 40:17, 49:15, steps [2] - 9:15, 46:11 33:7, 34:9, 36:16, 38:1, someone [1] - 57:21 55:10 steward [1] - 71:18 38:2, 38:9, 40:14, 40:19, **sometimes** [1] - 19:3 supporting [1] - 38:10 sticking [1] - 71:4 40:21, 40:24, 41:4, 41:6, soon [1] - 54:3 surely [1] - 27:18 still [6] - 48:25, 49:2, 51:1, 41:12, 41:14, 41:16, 41:19, sorry [2] - 59:22, 66:5 surrounded [1] - 72:1 59:19, 73:23, 75:5 42:9, 42:12, 43:12, 43:19,

sustained [1] - 35:7 swim [1] - 50:13 swimming [3] - 26:17, 33:25, 50:19 switched [1] - 25:19 synopsis [1] - 64:19 system [8] - 22:24, 23:3, 23:7, 23:9, 32:18, 35:19, 44:19, 62:3 system's [1] - 19:16 systems [2] - 23:11, 63:21 systemwide [1] - 74:8

Т

table [1] - 17:4 tanks [4] - 25:22, 28:2, 28:4, 35:7 tap [1] - 39:3 target [2] - 33:15, 37:13 tasked [1] - 70:15 taxpayers [1] - 64:2 TDS [1] - 24:16 Technical [1] - 1:20 technical [2] - 5:6, 5:7 Teflon [1] - 74:25 temperatures [1] - 49:1 ten [5] - 11:2, 24:11, 38:23, 56:7, 65:10 tenets [1] - 13:21 tens [1] - 33:21 term [1] - 23:6 terms [5] - 12:20, 39:22, 39:25, 40:6, 45:23 terrible [1] - 50:17 **Terrie** [3] - 36:20, 37:2, 66:2 terrified [1] - 45:23 tested [1] - 53:15 testify [2] - 29:3, 36:23 testing [5] - 23:9, 23:23, 34:11, 45:2, 45:4 THE [1] - 1:25 themselves [3] - 30:13, 31:4, 74:21 therefore [3] - 29:13, 40:17, 49.2 therein [1] - 48:20 thereof [1] - 48:18 they've [1] - 28:7 thinking [2] - 9:17, 67:19 third [2] - 15:24, 16:1 THIS [1] - 1:24 thoroughly [1] - 16:2 thoughtful [1] - 57:1 thousand [2] - 26:13, 34:20 thousands [3] - 30:9, 33:21, 34:22

threaten [2] - 32:16, 38:17

threats [5] - 34:14, 67:2, 67:3, 67:4, 67:11 Three [1] - 57:16 three [21] - 7:4, 7:6, 8:1, 18:11, 23:14, 26:2, 31:21, 32:1, 34:13, 38:12, 40:12, 43:17, 46:25, 47:6, 52:23, 54:13, 54:25, 58:18, 59:3, 59:14. 59:20 thrive [1] - 36:18 thrown [1] - 33:25 tighten [1] - 55:22 time's [1] - 75:22 timely [1] - 47:12 title [1] - 51:1 today [5] - 21:17, 42:6, 48:22, 51:1, 73:2 today's [1] - 19:18 together [3] - 12:11, 14:15, 66:23 tomorrow [1] - 40:4 tonight [9] - 4:19, 11:6, 12:17, 18:13, 18:20, 34:18, 36:24, 39:18, 67:7 tonight's [1] - 6:16 tons [1] - 34:22 took [2] - 12:13, 42:2 topic [1] - 66:22 totally [5] - 8:20, 8:22, 12:24, 13:3, 27:19 touches [1] - 58:8 tour [2] - 28:5, 40:3 tourism [2] - 62:22, 65:23 tournament [1] - 26:10 tout [1] - 51:4 toward [1] - 42:14 towards [4] - 46:12, 54:18, 69:3. 71:9 town [2] - 49:23, 50:4 towns [2] - 30:10, 45:16 toxic [3] - 22:2, 27:2, 33:23 toxins [1] - 55:13 transcribing [1] - 6:9 transcript [1] - 77:6 TRANSCRIPT[2] - 1:1, 1:24 transparent [2] - 38:11, 38:13 transport [1] - 37:20

transporting [1] - 56:11

treated [2] - 59:11, 60:2

tremendous [1] - 73:21

43:16, 43:25, 47:5

triannual [5] - 7:25, 23:13,

treatment [7] - 3:10, 3:18,

24:14, 32:18, 59:12, 60:2,

treat [2] - 65:3, 65:4

63:21

Tree [1] - 1:6

38:18. 38:20 tried [2] - 12:19, 15:19 triggered [1] - 30:19 trihalomethanes [3] - 24:18, 24:20, 59:17 trillion [1] - 67:24 Trout [1] - 60:25 **Troy**[1] - 21:1 truck [1] - 37:20 trucks [2] - 53:10, 53:21 **true** [1] - 48:22 trust [1] - 74:20 **try** [6] - 11:13, 13:14, 20:7, 20:13, 53:4, 64:19 trying [1] - 18:14 **Tubmill** [3] - 60:25, 61:4, turn [7] - 6:12, 16:17, 18:8, 26:21, 33:16, 61:13, 62:18 twice [1] - 66:3 two [23] - 9:2, 9:8, 9:15, 10:2, 10:11, 10:21, 16:24, 18:3, 21:10, 24:10, 24:15, 33:6, 33:12, 46:18, 60:22, 62:6, 64:18, 65:11, 65:15, 65:16, 71:18 **type**[1] - 8:14 typically [2] - 7:24, 9:14 U **U.S** [7] - 3:5, 6:21, 50:24, 69:23, 69:25, 70:1 ugly [1] - 72:16 Uhrichsville [1] - 20:24 ultimately [1] - 6:11 uncommon [1] - 53:23

tribe [1] - 55:16

tributaries [4] - 15:5, 35:13,

uncle [3] - 26:16, 50:9, 50:14 unconventional [1] - 61:2 under [17] - 2:22, 3:5, 3:15, 21:15, 21:24, 27:9, 28:3, 30:1, 34:3, 37:4, 42:12, 43:4, 70:8, 70:9, 70:11, 70:12, 70:14 underestimate [1] - 60:4 undermine [1] - 58:12 understood [1] - 74:1 undervalued [3] - 72:12, 72:15 underway [1] - 34:6 undoubtedly [1] - 22:4 unfortunately [1] - 67:12 uniform [3] - 29:12, 31:14, 41:11 Union [4] - 67:22, 67:23,

14 Union's [1] - 68:23 unique [1] - 58:1 United [5] - 29:6, 55:18, 61:25, 69:20, 70:24 units [1] - 50:11 University [2] - 57:11, 57:18 unknown [1] - 56:4 unlikely [1] - 70:3 unmarked [1] - 53:21 unnecessary [2] - 52:1, 56:20 unprecedented [1] - 15:24 unregulated [2] - 53:10, 61:21 unrestricted [1] - 53:8 unsightly [1] - 3:13 **up** [30] - 6:11, 7:4, 7:8, 8:23, 12:19, 13:16, 13:19, 15:4, 18:9, 19:24, 20:1, 20:17, 20:19, 20:21, 24:12, 26:18, 27:22, 51:9, 52:21, 53:24, 54:19, 65:15, 66:7, 66:14, 66:15, 67:6, 69:21, 70:18, 72:7, 75:22 update [3] - 7:10, 13:11, 31:25 **UPMC** [1] - 62:3 Upper [1] - 57:20 upstream [3] - 29:24, 44:19, 45.5 urban [1] - 55:25 urge [5] - 21:10, 23:5, 37:25, 63:10, 65:13 **US** [7] - 8:13, 13:9, 13:12, 16:10, 43:18, 47:7, 50:23 usage [1] - 3:11 users [1] - 23:25 uses [11] - 14:17, 14:21, 16:13, 21:4, 21:20, 41:6, 41:17, 42:4, 50:6, 55:14, 69.4 utility [1] - 25:19

V

utilize [3] - 10:15, 33:1, 33:7

utilizing [1] - 44:1

valley [3] - 27:2, 27:22, 61:3 Valley [16] - 2:23, 5:18, 6:17, 21:2, 21:19, 23:19, 29:4, 31:7, 36:19, 39:12, 48:3, 48:24, 49:11, 53:24, 61:23, 76:4 valuable [2] - 40:23, 43:20 vapors [2] - 28:4, 28:13 variable [1] - 41:10 variances [1] - 64:8 vary [1] - 17:9

68:3, 68:21

vast [1] - 64:8 verbatim [3] - 6:10, 10:5, 16:24 verifiable [1] - 42:19 version [2] - 17:3, 17:6 VI [1] - 3:16 view [1] - 14:16 vigilant [1] - 57:24 village [1] - 26:24 Virginia [20] - 2:13, 3:2, 5:12, 5:14. 6:23. 7:1. 41:3. 45:18, 45:19, 47:18, 49:23, 56:11, 57:18, 58:19, 58:23, 66:15, 73:16 Virginia's [1] - 26:1 vital [2] - 32:13, 52:10 voice [2] - 20:18, 36:24 volatile [2] - 30:11, 30:18 voluntary [3] - 38:2, 51:19, 63:18 voted [2] - 12:23, 68:24

W

wants [2] - 72:8, 75:8 warned [1] - 50:19 warning [1] - 44:19 Washington [1] - 25:10 waste [10] - 3:19, 25:1, 25:13, 27:2, 38:21, 38:23, 41:21, 61:17, 63:14, 63:20 wastes [1] - 59:22 wastewater [10] - 9:5, 24:13, 26:3, 33:24, 53:18, 56:6, 59:10, 59:11, 60:2, 63:19 watch [1] - 65:16 watched [3] - 61:8, 61:13, 61.14 watching [2] - 20:8, 76:2 Water [10] - 6:18, 8:14, 8:22, 13:7, 17:13, 17:17, 24:17, 35:25, 42:1, 58:17 water [78] - 3:10, 7:16, 13:4, 13:7, 15:2, 15:8, 15:17, 17:8, 21:5, 21:22, 22:4, 23:3, 23:4, 23:20, 23:21, 24:16, 25:3, 25:6, 25:19, 28:17, 29:7, 31:2, 31:6, 31:13, 33:23, 34:14, 35:7, 35:9, 37:6, 37:15, 37:22, 38:17, 39:3, 42:6, 43:24, 44:4, 44:9, 44:17, 44:20, 46:8, 47:15, 50:3, 50:7, 51:6, 52:7, 52:11, 52:22, 54:24, 55:7, 56:5, 57:15, 57:19, 57:22, 58:2, 58:9, 59:13, 59:16, 59:21, 60:5, 61:7, 61:15, 61:16, 61:18,

62:15, 62:24, 63:6, 64:22, 65:3, 72:4, 72:18, 72:20, 72:23, 72:24, 74:11, 74:18 water-quality [2] - 57:15, 57:22 water-sampling [1] - 44:17 watercraft [1] - 50:10 waters [9] - 3:8, 33:10, 38:22, 48:11, 48:17, 60:7, 60:9, 60:11, 61:6 watershed [10] - 41:18, 41:23, 58:7, 59:5, 59:6, 62:8, 62:9, 65:1, 72:9 Watershed [4] - 12:15, 21:2, 40:10, 60:23 Watersheds [1] - 71:17 watersheds [5] - 22:11, 22:17, 62:7, 71:20, 72:6 waterway [1] - 62:21 ways [3] - 49:5, 50:1, 52:9 weakening [3] - 40:21, 50:5, 50:20

webinars [1] - 18:10
website [8] - 2:17, 6:11,
13:25, 17:4, 19:4, 19:13,
55:5, 73:17
weeks [2] - 6:12, 59:3
welcome [1] - 2:6
welfare [1] - 48:13
well-control [1] - 75:25
wells [15] - 25:9, 26:24,
27:25, 28:1, 28:2, 30:12,
33:21, 34:20, 35:15, 37:18,
37:20, 50:4, 50:7, 53:19,
61:12
West [18] - 2:12, 3:2, 5:12,

West [18] - 2:12, 3:2, 5:12, 5:14, 6:23, 26:1, 41:3, 45:18, 45:19, 47:18, 49:23, 56:11, 57:18, 58:19, 58:23, 66:15, 73:16

Western [5] - 32:14, 58:22,

60:24, 61:5, 61:7

Westmoreland [1] - 61:3 wetland [1] - 32:16 Wheeling [2] - 26:14, 49:22 whichever [1] - 20:17 whole [7] - 8:10, 24:22, 25:8, 25:20, 26:13, 53:2, 63:1

wildlife [1] - 36:18 Williamstown [1] - 45:19 willing [2] - 23:21, 73:20 window [2] - 50:1, 73:16

whooping [1] - 26:9

wiped [1] - 27:6 wish [3] - 24:6, 39:16, 63:4 withdraw [1] - 63:6

WITHOUT [1] - 1:25 women [1] - 34:25 wonder [1] - 40:1 Woodwell [1] - 36:8 word [1] - 69:18 words [2] - 48:22, 68:17 works [3] - 24:14, 42:14, 46:12 world [2] - 70:25, 71:6 worse [2] - 28:21, 48:25 worthless [1] - 24:23 writing [3] - 18:19, 19:10, 64:16 written [4] - 19:2, 20:3, 40:9,

Χ

x-rays [1] - 53:25

66:12

Υ

y'all [1] - 70:8 yards [2] - 26:23, 28:8 year [7] - 8:1, 9:23, 19:11, 30:2, 50:25, 59:1, 68:23 years [25] - 7:20, 23:14, 24:11, 24:24, 26:15, 31:22, 32:1, 38:12, 38:23, 40:12, 43:17, 47:6, 48:10, 53:8, 56:7, 57:14, 57:22, 65:11, 66:17, 69:14, 71:23, 73:15, 73:23, 74:24, 75:6 yellow [1] - 61:14 York [8] - 3:1, 6:23, 7:2, 32:15, 63:24, 65:13, 69:21, 69:25 Yough [2] - 62:8, 62:9 young [1] - 35:1

Ζ

yourself [2] - 20:5, 66:10

zero [1] - 39:20 ZIEBARTH [3] - 73:10, 75:21, 75:24 Ziebarth [1] - 73:11 zone [1] - 34:16 zones [1] - 9:6